



RFP-2 CABIN BRANCH
DRAFT FINAL MITIGATION PLAN
October 2020 – Updated March 2022



U.S. Department of Transportation
Federal Highway Administration

and



MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

Table of Contents

1	Twelve Mitigation Plan Components.....	3
1.0	Project Objectives	3
1.1	Site Selection.....	4
1.2	Site Protection Instrument.....	4
1.3	Baseline Information.....	5
1.4	Determination of Credits	5
1.5	Mitigation Work Plan	6
1.6	Maintenance Plan	7
1.7	Performance Standards	7
1.8	Monitoring Requirements.....	8
1.9	Long-term Management Plan	8
1.10	Adaptive Management Plan	8
1.11	Financial Assurance.....	9
1.12	Advance Mitigation.....	10
1.13	References	11

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1:	Mitigation Credit Summary.....	6
----------	--------------------------------	---

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1:	Cabin Branch Mitigation Project Site Parcels.....	5
-----------	---	---

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A: RFP-2 Cabin Branch Stream and Wetland Restoration Phase II Mitigation Design Report

Appendix B: Option Agreement with Green Bloom MV Park LLC and Green Bloom MV Development, LLC

Appendix C: Cabin Branch Function Based Rapid Stream Assessment

Appendix D: Maryland Stream Mitigation Framework (MSMF) Stream Mitigation Calculator

Appendix E: Cabin Branch Land Use Vicinity Map

1 Twelve Mitigation Plan Components

In accordance with 33 CFR part 322 Compensatory Mitigation for Losses of Aquatic Resources dated April 10, 2008, the following section discusses the fundamental components that apply to the RFP-2 Cabin Branch mitigation site. Site specific fundamental components (objectives, baseline information, determination of credits, mitigation work plan, maintenance plan, and monitoring requirements) are described below and supporting data is provided in the RFP-2 Cabin Branch Stream and Wetland Restoration Phase II Mitigation Design Report provided in Appendix A.

1.0 Project Objectives

The project objectives are to provide compensatory mitigation for the MDOT SHA's Managed Lanes Study (MLS project) through stream restoration, wetland restoration, wetland buffer enhancement, and stream buffer enhancement.

The RFP-2 Cabin Branch project will restore approximately 7,173 linear feet of stream (plus an additional 810 linear feet on Pepco Property), restore and enhance approximately 4.44 acres of forested non-tidal wetlands, and enhance approximately 11.65 acres of non-tidal wetland and riparian buffers. The project is within the middle Potomac - Catoctin Watershed (Federal 8-digit HUC 02070008), Maryland's Seneca Creek Watershed (8-digit HUC 02140208) and Cabin Branch and its associated tributaries are classified as use I-P stream.

The project is located on a former golf course and the stream and adjacent riparian areas exhibit extensive perturbation as a result of past land use practices and increased watershed urbanization. A land-use map for the site is included in Appendix E. Site impacts include channel modifications, utility encroachment, stream piping, impoundment, channelization, bank armoring, channel blockages, and anthropogenic grading associated with historic golf course infrastructure. As a result, Cabin Branch is highly incised and exhibits minimal floodplain connection except during large flood events. Loss of vertical and lateral stability, combined with historic land use impacts, provides an opportunity to generate significant ecological uplift through large scale, multi-feature restoration in a highly urbanized area. The project also proposes daylighting and creation of natural stream channels for over 2,300 linear feet of currently piped water courses. Historic land use changes have also had significant impacts to the stream valley and what would have been forested floodplain complexes. The creation of water hazards and amenity ponds, in combination with stream channel incision, installation of subsurface drainages, and golf course grading has eliminated almost all wetlands within the project site. As a result of this mitigation project, six open water ponds will be converted to non-tidal forested wetlands that will provide significant ecological and system wide improvements, eliminate thermal pollution, and other important co-benefits to the site and watershed.

Stream design objectives include creation of a self-sustaining planform, cross-section, and profile utilizing natural channel design. The design incorporates priority II and III restoration that includes channel relocation and increases in bed elevation to ensure functioning bank height and entrenchment ratios that will increase floodplain connectivity to either the existing floodplain or proposed floodplain benches. Woody and other habitat structures will be utilized to further promote stability while increasing ecological uplift. Riffle/pool features have been designed to maintain bedform diversity, promote macroinvertebrate and fish habitat, as well as increase hyporheic exchange. This restoration design will result in eight functional assessment categories currently non-functioning or functioning at risk and

restore them to functioning. These include decreased bank height ratio, increased entrenchment ratios, increase floodplain drainage, increased vertical stability, riparian vegetation enhancement, increased lateral stability, aquatic habitat improvement including stable pool to pool spacing, greater pool depths, sediment and nutrient reductions, detritus retainment, and macroinvertebrate habitat. In addition, performance standards and monitoring requirements have been proposed that will validate these goals.

Wetland design objectives include the conversion of open water ponds to forested non-tidal wetlands. The existing ponds will be filled with suitable soil to the appropriate elevation necessary to ensure wetland hydrology. All subsurface and other drainage features will be disconnected, and vegetation will be planted based on reference wetlands in proximity to the project site. In addition to ground water hydrology the proposed wetlands have been integrated into the stream design and will receive flood inputs at larger than bankfull events. This wetland/stream integration provides the greatest overall benefit to the system.

1.1 Site Selection

Site selection for public mitigation sites was based on the traditional mitigation site search that is discussed in Section 5.3.1 of the Compensatory Mitigation Plan (CMP). The private mitigation sites were selected based on MDOT SHA's RFP process that is discussed in Section 5.3.2 of the CMP.

1.2 Site Protection Instrument

The property for the RFP-2 Cabin Branch mitigation project is owned by Green Bloom MV Park LLC and Green Bloom MV Development, LLC. HGS, LLC has obtained an option agreement and Right-of Entry Agreement with the owners that grants the rights to use the property for stream and wetland mitigation, a copy of the option agreement is provided in Appendix B. Pursuant to the Maryland Nontidal Wetlands Protection Act Rules (COMAR 26.23.04), and the Federal Clean Water Act, plus its implementing regulations at 33 CFR Part 332.7(a), the mitigation acreage for this project will be protected by implementing an easement in the form of the MDOT SHA's Grant of Mitigation Easement Template. This easement will grant rights to both United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) and the Maryland Department of Environment (MDE) as required under state and federal rules.

The permanent conservation easement will be recorded in the Land Records of the applicable jurisdiction. All natural resource functions, values and credits will be assigned to the MDOT SHA. The easement will provide project site access and control and allow the MDOT SHA to perform future inspections and maintenance responsibilities once the warranty period and any mandated post-construction monitoring period has expired. Documentation that the easement has been executed and recorded will be submitted to MDE and USACE within 60 days of construction completion. The easement will be acquired in accordance with applicable state and federal laws and policies, including but not limited to the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Act (1970). Once the MDOT SHA determines the site is successful, ownership (in the form of a conservation easement) will be assigned or transferred to the MDOT SHA.

1.3 Baseline Information

The RFP-2 Cabin Branch mitigation site is located at 19550 Montgomery Village Avenue, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20886, and the site's subject parcels are highlighted in Figure 1 below. The existing conditions of the proposed mitigation area are described in Appendix A, this information includes wetland delineations, surveys, groundwater well data and more.

Figure 1: Cabin Branch Mitigation Project Site Parcels



1.4 Determination of Credits

Mitigation credit at the RFP-2 Cabin Branch site will be generated by providing functional uplift to approximately 7,173 linear feet of Cabin Branch and its associated tributaries, restoring approximately 4.37 acres of forested non-tidal wetlands, and enhancing approximately 11.71 acres of non-tidal wetland and riparian buffers. The Stream Function-Based Rapid Assessment is included in Appendix C. Wetland mitigation credits at the RFP-2 Cabin Branch site were determined by calculating the area (acres) of each mitigation type against its ratio. Stream mitigation credits at the RFP-2 Cabin Branch site were calculated using the Maryland Stream Mitigation Framework (MSMF, USACE, 2022) which was recently provided in beta version. The Stream Mitigation Calculator spreadsheet, see Appendix D, was used to determine the mitigation potential of the RFP-2 Cabin Branch mitigation site, measured in functional feet. A functional foot is defined as a linear foot of stream of perfect quality (100% or 1.0 score) and a drainage area of 1 square mile. A functional foot relates to streams of any flow type and quality in a stream network and these factors influence the value of a linear foot of stream as a functional foot.

Table 1: Mitigation Credit Summary

ACTIVITY	LINEAR FEET (LF) ACREAGE (AC)	CREDIT RATIO	CREDIT	STREAM GAINS (FUNCTIONAL FEET)
STREAM RESTORATION	7,173 LF	1:1	7,173	5,149
STREAM RESTORATION (PEPCO PROPERTY)	810 LF	1:1	810	433
WETLAND RESTORATION (PFO)	4.38 AC	1:1	4.38	-
WETLAND ENHANCEMENT	0.06 AC	4:1	0.01	-
WETLAND BUFFER ENHANCEMENT	2.45 AC	15:1	0.16	-
TOTAL WETLAND CREDIT			4.55	-
RIPARIAN BUFFER (35 FOOT BUFFER)	8.27 AC	-	-	-
RIPARIAN BUFFER ENHANCEMENT	0.93 AC	15:1	0.06	-

1.5 Mitigation Work Plan

The RFP-2 Cabin Branch Stream Restoration and Wetland Mitigation Phase II project plan includes plan views with proposed grading and planform alignment, typical sections and details, and landscaping plant schedules and notes. The specific activities required to implement the restoration components of the RFP-2 Cabin Branch Mitigation Site are outlined in the Sequence of Construction on Sheet 15 of the RFP-2 Cabin Branch Stream Restoration and Wetland Mitigation Phase II Erosion & Sediment Control Plan dated. In general, the primary stream restoration objectives include a self-sustaining channel planform and geometry through increases in bank height ratios (BHR) and channel relocation where necessary. Changes in BHR will be accomplished with either raising the existing channel bottom elevation by modifying the channel longitudinal profile and/or in conjunction with floodplain grading. Profile changes will provide stable riffle/pool sequences that are currently missing from the existing channel. In areas where the current planform is outside of design standards, channel relocation will be completed in conjunction with floodplain grading and wetland restoration to provide the greatest ecological uplift to the overall riparian corridor. The proposed stream work will generally take the existing channels from “Not Functioning” to “Functioning”. The highest functional uplift occurs in currently piped streams that will not be free flowing systems within the riparian/floodplain complex. This provides significant geomorphic improvements to these previously impacted systems. Stream and wetland buffer enhancement will also be completed (see planting plan) to establish a forested riparian complex within the Cabin Branch floodplain. Wetland restoration is being achieved by the filling of existing open water ponds to an elevation conducive of forested wetland establishment. In addition to POW to PFO conversion, and existing PEM wetland will be enhanced and included as part of a larger wetland restoration area. A detailed summary of all proposed restoration can be found in Appendix A: RFP-2 Cabin Branch Stream and Wetland Restoration Phase II Mitigation Design Report. All Mitigation work will be completed under the supervision of an approved qualified restoration specialist. All activities including site access, staging, and stockpiling will occur completely within the boundaries of the subject properties and Limits of Disturbance.

1.6 Maintenance Plan

HGS, LLC will be responsible for the maintenance of the mitigation site following construction. HGS, LLC will monitor and control invasive species within the project site per MDE's Performance Standards and Monitoring Protocol for Permittee Responsible Nontidal Wetland Mitigation Sites dated October 30, 2020. Both stream and wetland monitoring will be conducted for 10 years with reports submitted in Years 1, 3, 5, 7, and 10. However, starting in Year 5 if the site meets all final year performance standards for at least two (2) consecutive years the Permittee may request termination of additional monitoring. The planting plan calls for a higher than necessary density of planting to account for deer browse and die-off, if extensive deer browse of riparian plantings occurs, it will be assessed during post-construction monitoring. Installed structures, specific features in the floodplain (habitat depressions, micro-topography, etc.) and within the channel (riffles, pools, grade control structures, etc.) will be observed after major storm events to determine if these features are functioning as designed. Post-construction, a report will be prepared to document any concerns or issues occurring within the project area that may require maintenance or more significant repairs. Adaptive management issues will be addressed by HGS, LLC in a timely manner. Any issues related to vegetation establishment or stream stability within the project area will be brought to the attention of both the USACE and MDE to determine if adaptive management may be warranted.

Following construction, the project will be monitored regularly to determine the progress and continued viability of the project. Monitoring will be conducted per Section 1.8 of this report or until the regulatory agencies agree that no further monitoring is needed. If remediation action is needed, HGS, LLC will prepare a remediation plan to be submitted for agency approval. HGS, LLC will be responsible for implementing any remedial actions.

The presence of invasive species as defined in Section I(A)(3) of *Performance Standards and Monitoring Protocol for Permittee Responsible Non-Tidal Wetland Mitigation Sites* (October 30, 2020) at this site will require invasive species management control. HGS, LLC will adhere Invasive Species Management protocol outlined in the monitoring plan as part of its regular maintenance activities and as outlined on Sheet 50 of the RFP-2 Cabin Branch Stream Restoration and Wetland Mitigation Phase II 65% Plans.

1.7 Performance Standards

Performance standards for the RFP-2 Cabin Branch mitigation site will be in accordance with the *Performance Standards and Monitoring Protocol for Permittee-responsible Nontidal Wetland Mitigation Sites in Maryland*, October 30, 2020. Site-specific monitoring and performance standards for stream and wetland restoration are developed based on the proposed stream restoration goals and objectives. The site-specific stream restoration monitoring and performance standards for the RFP-2 Cabin Branch mitigation site were developed to demonstrate achievement of the proposed restoration goals. Each wetland and stream restoration goal has one or more quantifiable and measurable objectives that are the basis of the proposed performance standards and monitoring requirements. Performance standards are outlined in the mitigation monitoring plan on sheet 50 of the RFP-2 Cabin Branch Stream Restoration and Wetland Mitigation Phase II 65% Plans. In addition to the performance standards, the stream will be evaluated at least twice during the monitoring period using the Maryland Stream Mitigation Framework calculator.

1.8 Monitoring Requirements

Monitoring requirements will be negotiated with the agencies and determined for the RFP-2 Cabin Branch mitigation site during the development of the Phase II Mitigation Design Plans. All mitigation sites will be evaluated in accordance with the *Performance Standards and Monitoring Protocol for Permittee-responsible Nontidal Wetland Mitigation Sites in Maryland*, October 30, 2020.

A monitoring plan for the RFP-2 Cabin Branch mitigation site is provided on Sheets 50-51 of the 65% Phase II plans to document site performance and achievement of approved performance standards. The approved maintenance and monitoring plan will be implemented, following the completion of construction, for the entire maintenance and monitoring period by HGS, LLC, until final regulatory release is secured from MDE and USACE. HGS, LLC will prepare and submit an as-built plan and Construction Completion Report for agency review and approval within 60 days of completing construction. HGS, LLC will begin monitoring the site immediately following the completion of construction and maintain the restoration as needed throughout the Maintenance and Monitoring period. At the first monitoring visits, HGS, LLC will examine the project's initial response to the restoration actions completed. HGS, LLC will provide a regular schedule for subsequent monitoring visits for data gathering, maintenance, and corrective action as needed. A flexible, adaptive management approach shall be applied to address any problems or deficiencies as they arise. Required maintenance activities will be implemented by HGS, LLC as needed ensuring that the site stay on a steady trajectory to achieving self-sustaining equilibrium and functionality. Annual monitoring reports will be prepared and submitted on or before December 31st in each monitoring year.

1.9 Long-term Management Plan

The purpose of the long-term management plan is to ensure that the RFP-2 Cabin Branch mitigation site is monitored and managed after the maintenance and monitoring period is complete and it has been transferred to the Long-Term Steward (LTS). In this case, since all property rights will be assigned to the MDOT SHA once the mitigation site has been determined to be successful, the MDOT SHA will be the LTS. The long-term management plan will establish objectives, priorities, and tasks to monitor, manage, and report on the wetlands and waters of the U.S. plus other valuable habitats on the RFP-2 Cabin Branch mitigation site after all performance standards have been achieved.

The long-term management plan will be prepared by HGS, LLC and implemented by MDOT SHA. The long-term management plan will be subject to final approval by MDE and USACE. During the 10-year monitoring period, the site's overall development and its trajectory toward achieving self-maintenance will be closely monitored and evaluated. Long-term maintenance requirements will be evaluated during or after monitoring year 5. The long-term management plan may be revised during or after monitoring year 5 to more accurately reflect long-term monitoring requirements as the end of the maintenance and monitoring period gets closer. Both MDE and USACE will have review and approval authority over any changes to the long-term management plan.

1.10 Adaptive Management Plan

HGS, LLC shall complete any remedial actions or adaptive management strategies deemed necessary for site success by the USACE and MDE and shall continue monitoring as required by the USACE and MDE until the site is deemed successful and approved by the USACE and MDE. Adaptive management measures

will be applied to ensure that project goals and objectives are achieved. Measures will include reviewing and assessing prevailing field conditions and data, adjusting plans or making field edits and/or post-construction corrective actions. Any construction-related adaptive management measures will be implemented under the direction of the project biologist and/or engineer in order to achieve specific design objectives within current site constraints. Monitoring data will be reviewed carefully to determine the need for any adjustments. Specific focus will be placed on making frequent observations immediately following construction until the site has stabilized and succeeding on a proper trajectory to self-maintenance.

HGS, LLC will advise MDE/USACE in writing of any material changes to the approved final mitigation plan and provide adequate justification. A material change would be one where the composition of the mitigation types change by more than about 10-15%, or change in such a way that the mitigation requirements can no longer be met. Any material changes between the approved design drawings and completed project will be shown on the as-built construction drawings and documented in the Construction Completion Report (CCR). Corrective actions implemented during the growing season will be formally documented in the corresponding annual monitoring report.

1.11 Financial Assurance

MDOT SHA frequently manages and implements roadway projects requiring compensatory mitigation, and has a funded program dedicated to the management and monitoring of its mitigation sites. MDOT SHA has committed funding for the design, construction, and monitoring of the mitigation site as part of the compensatory mitigation for the MLS project. MDOT SHA establishes upfront funding for monitoring based on estimates of past monitoring on similar projects. On an annual basis, SHA reviews its need for funding and includes costs associated with monitoring, management, and remediation. The state has allocated funds to complete the aspects of this project including mitigation and maintenance and has self-interest in completing the mitigation project, in accordance with performance standards. The RFP-2 Cabin Branch mitigation site is funded by MDOT SHA through a full delivery contract to provide stream and wetland mitigation credits. MDOT SHA is making payments to HGS, LLC according to a milestone payment schedule. Delivery milestones include Phase 2 mitigation plan approval, final design, construction, as-builts, monitoring reports, and regulatory approval of mitigation site success.

The purpose of the financial assurance is to establish compliance with the Federal Compensatory Mitigation Rules at 33 CFR 332.3(n). The financial assurances will be posted to ensure a high level of confidence that the compensatory mitigation project will be successfully completed in accordance with its approved performance standards. The amount of the financial assurance will be based on the fair market costs to implement/construct the project and meet the approved performance standards. The financial assurance will utilize the USACE Baltimore District's Sample Compensatory Mitigation Performance Bond template, or another assurance form acceptable to the USACE and MDE, and the assurance amount will consider the relevant "Typical Compensatory Mitigation Cost Estimate Components" (USACE 2010). There are two (2) forms of financial assurances proposed for the RFP-2 Cabin Branch mitigation site:

(1) Construction Assurance and

(2) Maintenance and Monitoring Assurance (inclusive of Interim Monitoring). The construction estimate will include soil erosion and sediment controls, site preparation, earthwork, planting and seeding. The

maintenance and monitoring amount will include the cost to monitor the site, prepare annual monitoring reports and the projected costs associated with periodic maintenance activities. The construction portion of the financial assurance shall be released upon written approval from MDE/USACE indicating that construction is substantially complete in accordance with the approved plans. Assuming the project meets its annual performance-based milestones, HGS, LLC will request that the maintenance and monitoring portion of the financial assurance be reduced on an annual basis, such that it only covers the costs to monitor and maintain the site through the end of the maintenance and monitoring period. HGS, LLC will submit a spreadsheet-based estimate outlining proposed financial assurance cost components with the financial assurances under separate cover for review and approval by the USACE and MDE.

The financial assurances will be posted prior to construction and maintained until all permit requirements have been fully satisfied and the USACE and MDE releases the permittee from its mitigation requirements under the issued permits. Final release of the maintenance and monitoring portion of the financial assurance shall occur once the final submitted annual report to MDE/USACE demonstrates that the success criteria approved for the entire project have been satisfied.

1.12 Advance Mitigation

Advanced Mitigation is no longer being proposed.

1.13 References

Maryland Department of Environment (MDE), 2008. Code of Maryland Title 5. October 6, 2008. MD Nontidal Wetlands Protection Act, COMAR 26.23.

Maryland Department of Environment (MDE). 2018. Performance Standards and Monitoring Protocol for Permittee-responsible Nontidal Wetland Mitigation Sites.

Maryland Department of Natural Resources (MDNR), 2015. Wildlife and Heritage Division – Endangered and Nongame Species Program. Available at:

http://dnr2.maryland.gov/wildlife/Pages/plants_wildlife/rte/espaa.aspx

Maryland Department of Transportation State Highway Authority. Grant of Mitigation Easement. Form SHA 63.11-25 01/03/06.

Maryland Invasive Species Council. 2005. Invasive Species of Concern in Maryland. Maryland State Highway Administration. 2003. Integrated Vegetation Management Manual for Maryland Highways.

Rosgen, D. L. 2006. Watershed Assessment of River Stability and Sediment Supply (WARSSS), 1st

Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Act (1970). 42 USC Ch. 61

USACE, 2022. The Maryland Stream Mitigation Framework Version 1 (MSMF V.1.) Draft Manual for Stream Impacts and Stream Mitigation Calculation (Draft version).

USACE, 2010. Typical Compensatory Mitigation Cost Estimate Components. July 15, 2010.

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) & Maryland Department of Transportation State Highway Administration (MDOT SHA). April 15, 2020. Draft Compensatory Mitigation Plan.

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) & Maryland Department of Transportation State Highway Administration (MDOT SHA). TBD. Final Compensatory Mitigation Plan.



Appendix A: RFP-2 Cabin Branch Stream and Wetland Restoration Phase II Mitigation Design Report

DRAFT

RFP-2 Cabin Branch Stream and Wetland Restoration Phase II Mitigation Design Report

Cabin Branch and Unnamed Tributaries

19550 Montgomery Village Avenue, Montgomery County, Maryland 20879

Prepared By:



HGS LLC – A RES Company
5367 Telephone Road
Warrenton, VA 20187

Corporate Headquarters
5020 Montrose Blvd. Suite 650
Houston, TX 77006
Main: 713.520.5400

65% DRAFT; UPDATED 3/10/2022

I hereby certify that these documents were prepared or approved by me, and that I am a duly licensed professional engineer under the laws of the state of Maryland.
License No. 52852, Expiration Date 6/14/2022.

Cabin Branch Stream and Wetland Restoration Design Report

Cabin Branch and Unnamed Tributaries
Montgomery County, Maryland



Table of Contents

Project Summary	4
1.1. Introduction	4
1.2. Site Selection	5
1.3. Existing Site Conditions	6
Existing Stream Assessment	8
1.4. Existing Site Assessment	8
1.5. Channel Pattern	8
1.6. Channel Profile	8
1.7. Channel Cross-Section	8
Stream Design	10
1.8. Design Philosophy and Approach	10
1.9. Proposed Channel Cross-Section Design	11
1.10. Proposed Profile Design	13
1.11. In-stream Structures	13
1.12. Riffle Mix Design	14
1.13. Proposed Outfall Protection Sizing	15
1.14. Hydraulics and Hydrology Modeling	15
1.15. Floodplain Study	16
Wetland Design	16
1.16. Wetland Restoration Feasibility Analysis	16
1.17. Water Budget Development	17
1.18. Integration with Stream Design	18
Bibliography	19
Appendix A: Wetland Delineation Report Package	A-1
Appendix B: BANCS Summary	B-1
Appendix C: NOAA Atlas 14 Documentation, TR-55 and Outputs TR-20 Outputs	C-1
Appendix D: Floodplain Impacts Analysis	D-1
Appendix E: Well Data	E-1
Appendix F: Wetland Water Budgets	F-1
Appendix G: Sample Easement Agreement Document	G-1
Appendix H: 2/10-Yr Shear Stress and 2/10-Yr Velocity	H-1

Cabin Branch Stream and Wetland Restoration Design Report

Cabin Branch and Unnamed Tributaries
Montgomery County, Maryland



List of Figures

Figure 1: Parcel Boundaries as shown on Google Earth	4
Figure 2: Project Location Map (Not to Scale)	5
Figure 3: Watershed map from StreamStats.	7
Figure 4: Representative Photo of Reach 1 at the Outlet of a Piped Tributary	7
Figure 5: Existing Concrete Pedestrian Bridge that has Collapsed	7
Figure 6: Existing Impoundment for Irrigation along Reach 2	7
Figure 7: Looking Along the Path of a Dilapidated Storm Sewer Pipe	7
Figure 8: Representative Photo of Reach 2	7
Figure 9: Existing Pond with Failing Riser	7
Figure 10: Collapsed Pedestrian Bridge and associated Debris Jam along Reach 1 (Image 1) and Beaver Dam along Reach 2 (Image 2, Beavers have since vacated the site)	8
Figure 11: Existing Cross-Section Location Map	9
Figure 12: Site Specific Bankfull Area Curve in Comparison to Selected Regional Curves	11
Figure 13: Reach Map for Cabin Branch Stream Restoration	12

List of Tables

Table 1: Ex. Channel Cross-Sections Bankfull Area and Width Summary	9
Table 2: Proposed Channel Cross-Section Geometry	12
Table 3: Proposed Stream Planimetric Design Limits by Reach	13
Table 4: Summary of Scour Calculations and Stone Sizes.	14
Table 5: Summary of Reach Max Shear and Largest Moveable Particle Size in Comparison to D84/D100 of Proposed Riffle Mix.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 6: 2, 10, & 100-Yr Peak Discharges *For the Floodplain Study/Analysis the 100-Yr flows from the previously approved study were used.	16
Table 7: Wetland Mitigation Summary	17



Project Summary

1.1. Introduction

The project area covers four (4) parcels, two owned by USL2 MR Montgomery Village Business TR & two owned by Potomac Electric Power Company totaling 128.39-acres (outlined in Figure 1). The site is generally located at 19550 Montgomery Village Avenue, Gaithersburg, Montgomery County, Maryland. RES is seeking agreements with both landowners for access and easements, a sample easement agreement document is included in Appendix G.

Cabin Branch Runs East to West along the length of the project area. There are five (5) unnamed tributaries draining to Cabin Branch in the project area. Of the five tributaries carrying flow to Cabin Branch, three (3) of these are currently piped and one is routed along a concrete channel. Cabin Branch joins Great Seneca Creek approximately half a mile downstream of the project terminus, before draining ultimately to the Potomac River. In addition to the existing streams the site contains nine (9) ponds that were constructed as amenity ponds for the golf course.

This site contains approximately 5,634 feet of Cabin Branch, 1,256 feet of unpiped unnamed tributaries, and 2,000 feet of pipe/channelized unnamed tributaries to Cabin Branch. The stream restoration is being proposed on both Cabin Branch (Reach 1 & 2) and the unnamed tributaries to Cabin Branch (Tributaries 1-5) within the identified parcels and shown in Figure 1. The wetland restoration included in this mitigation plan covers six (6) ponds.

This report discusses the background information and basis of design for the proposed stream and wetland restoration.



Figure 1: Parcel Boundaries as shown on Google Earth



1.2. Site Selection

The Cabin Branch Stream Mitigation site (see Figure 2) was selected because it provides an opportunity to conduct large-scale, multi-feature restoration in a highly urbanized area and the proposed stream segments have the potential for significant ecological uplift upon restoration. Located within the fairway of a former golf course, the proposed restoration reaches have experienced severe channel degradation and diminished water quality from historic land use practices. Converting the adjacent land use from golf course fairways and greens into a restored floodplain with wetland features and a forested riparian buffer will greatly benefit Cabin Branch. Reestablishing Cabin Branch's connection to a restored floodplain and wetlands will enhance the treatment of water within the stream and its tributaries resulting in improved water quality within the system. Relocating the stream channel to improve hydrology and morphology of the stream can be done with minimal impact to fringing forested area since the historic fairway contains few native trees.




Figure 2: Project Location Map (Not to Scale)

Cabin Branch is a third-order major tributary with a drainage area of 4.3 square miles of urban development with little or no stormwater management. The Montgomery County Department of Environmental Protection has classified the Cabin Branch sub-watershed as in 'Fair' condition based on biological monitoring data. Conditions within the stream channel exhibit significant bank erosion, over-widening and incision. Continued development within the watershed has disrupted the hydrology of the stream and caused out-of-bank flood events. Tributaries flowing

Cabin Branch Stream and Wetland Restoration Design Report

Cabin Branch and Unnamed Tributaries
Montgomery County, Maryland



into Cabin Branch are degraded or piped channels, and as a result, are experiencing active head cuts and erosion. Further information is provided in the Existing Stream Assessment Section.

During the feasibility review of the Cabin Branch Project, several potential wetland restoration areas were identified and further assessed. The proposed wetland restoration areas were chosen based on the presence existing ponds that were no longer providing benefit to the property, presence of hydric soils, depth of groundwater, landscape position, and current conditions. Furthermore, due to the existing pond configuration the proposed wetland restoration area will require minimal grading to reconnect and redistribute hydrology which will increase both short and long-term success.

1.3. Existing Site Conditions

The proposed restoration project lies within the floodplain of Cabin Branch and its unnamed tributaries on an abandoned golf course property. Surrounding the project are existing and proposed urban residential areas. The site is currently in a transitional period from an intensively managed golf course, to a naturalized meadow and early growth forest. Much of the site is comprised of grassland species such as meadow fescue (*Festuca pratensis*), red top grass (*Agrostis gigantea*), common milkweed (*Asclepias syriaca*) and species of golden rod (*Solidago* spp.). Dense thickets of box elder (*Acer negundo*) have begun growing within areas in the eastern project limits. A tree line exists adjacent to Cabin Branch throughout the site and contains species such as black willow (*Salix nigra*), pin oak (*Quercus palustris*), and American sycamore (*Platanus occidentalis*). Additionally, invasive species such as Japanese stiltgrass (*Microstegium vimineum*), Japanese honeysuckle (*Lonicera japonica*), small carpet grass (*Arthraxon hispidus*), and Chinese bushclover (*Lespedeza cuneate*) were observed within the project limits. However, these species were not observed to be dominant throughout the site, nor were any large monocultures observed. The site also has nine (9) golf course amenity ponds in various conditions and provide very little ecological resource. A Waters of the United States (WOUS) Delineation was completed by RES in September 2020. A copy of the Wetland Delineation Report is included in Appendix A. The entire project area is underlain by hydric Hatboro Silt Loam soils; however, the landscape, soils, and hydrology are highly disturbed and manipulated due to its previous development as a golf course. After construction, the limits of disturbance will be revegetated to re-establish riparian vegetation in areas that are impacted.

Cabin Branch drains approximately 2,800-acres by the time it leaves the project area, as shown in Figure 3. The existing drainage area is approximately 21.3% impervious based on the 2011 National Land Cover Database (NLCD). On the site, water is generally flowing from east to west. The topography is flat in the fielded areas with steep valley walls.

Cabin Branch Stream and Wetland Restoration Design Report

Cabin Branch and Unnamed Tributaries
Montgomery County, Maryland




Figure 3: Watershed map from StreamStats.

Cabin Branch and Tributary 4 are the two streams currently daylighted on the site, both are incised with bank-height ratios greater than 1.5. Cabin Branch has a very low sinuosity, has been tightly pinched between multiple utilities and the golf course, and has numerous irrigation and pedestrian crossing structures that are in various stages of disrepair. The other tributaries (1-3 & 5) have either been piped underneath the existing golf course or have been routed through a concrete channel. Additionally, a section of Cabin Branch has been impounded to create a source of water for the irrigation system that previously served the golf course. Additionally, nine (9) ponds are onsite to provide aesthetics to the golf course. These ponds provide very minimal habitat and have failed structures. Figures 4-9 show photos of the existing streams and ponds.



Figure 4: Representative Photo of Reach 1 at the Outlet of a Piped Tributary



Figure 7: Looking Along the Path of a Dilapidated Storm Sewer Pipe



Figure 5: Existing Concrete Pedestrian Bridge that has Collapsed



Figure 8: Representative Photo of Reach 2



Figure 6: Existing Impoundment for Irrigation along Reach 2



Figure 9: Existing Pond with Failing Riser

Cabin Branch Stream and Wetland Restoration Design Report

Cabin Branch and Unnamed Tributaries
Montgomery County, Maryland



Existing Stream Assessment

1.4. Existing Site Assessment

Existing site assessments including a geomorphic assessment and level III analyses were completed on Cabin Branch and Tributary 4 in December 2019; Tributaries 1-3 and 5 are currently piped. The Bank Assessment for Non-point source Consequences of Sediment (BANCS) summary is included in Appendix B.

1.5. Channel Pattern

The sinuosity of both existing streams is approximately 1.13. The sinuosity will be increased targeting somewhere between 1.2 & 1.4 depending on channel size.

1.6. Channel Profile

The existing channel profile along Cabin Branch shows very little riffle pool sequencing, facet features, and is mostly plainbed. This is partially due to the numerous debris jams (see figure 10), impoundments and their associated backwaters along Cabin Branch. Tributary 4 has more existing facet features, however, has multiple headcuts that provide vertical instability along the system.



Figure 10: Collapsed Pedestrian Bridge and associated Debris Jam along Reach 1 (Image 1) and Beaver Dam along Reach 2 (Image 2, Beavers have since vacated the site)

1.7. Channel Cross-Section

Channel cross-sections were collected using a rod and level in January-March 2020. Channel cross-sections were taken at locations where there appeared to be good field indicators of bankfull along Cabin Branch (CB) and Tributary 4 (T4). Additionally, supplemental cross-sections were taken along Tributaries 1 & 3 upstream (US) of the crossings under Stewartown Road, See Figure 11. Table 1 below summarizes the geomorphic data collected from the cross-sections.

Cabin Branch Stream and Wetland Restoration Design Report

Cabin Branch and Unnamed Tributaries

Montgomery County, Maryland



Figure 11: Existing Cross-Section Location Map

XS	Drainage Area (AC)	Bkf Width (FT)	Bkf Depth (FT)	Bkf Area (SF)	W/D	Aib (SF)	Aib/Bkf Area (%)
CB-1	2246.60	17.1	2.29	39	7.47	19	49%
CB-2	2349.92	20.8	1.95	40.5	10.67	19	47%
CB-3	2351.71	21.30	1.89	40.2	11.27	19.3	48%
CB-4	2379.92	20.3	1.94	39.3	10.46	12.8	33%
CB-5	2413.01	20.20	1.7	34.4	11.88	-	-
CB-6	2693.14	19.04	2.19	41.8	8.69	20.5	49%
CB-7	2766.25	22.5	2.03	45.8	11.08	25	55%
CB-8	2767.66	20.20	2.05	41.5	9.85	22.4	54%
US-1	56.07	6.57	0.65	4.25	10.11	-	NA
US-2	58.10	8.14	0.32	2.61	25.44	-	NA
US-3	84.15	6.53	0.64	4.2	10.20	-	NA
US-4	86.70	4.69	0.54	2.53	8.69	-	NA
US-5	76.18	5.52	0.5	2.77	11.04	-	NA
T4-1	82.70	3.51	0.44	1.53	7.98	-	NA
T4-2	84.55	2.93	0.55	1.62	5.33	-	NA
T4-3a	58.59	4.24	0.47	1.99	9.02	-	NA
T4-3	50.08	4.59	0.47	2.18	9.77	-	NA

Table 1: Ex. Channel Cross-Sections Bankfull Area and Width Summary

Cabin Branch Stream and Wetland Restoration Design Report

Cabin Branch and Unnamed Tributaries
Montgomery County, Maryland



The collected channel cross-section data showed that the channels are incised. Smaller tributaries were completely entrenched. Cabin Branch had sections that were entrenched, with entrenchment ratios as low as 1.4 and areas that were not entrenched, even though the bankfull channel was disconnected from the floodplain for the entire reach. The average cross-sectional area along Cabin Branch was about 2.1 times larger than the bankfull area, thus making it capable of passing flows approximately 3.6 times larger than the bankfull discharge. Tributary 4 has an existing cross-sectional area about 3.2 times the bankfull area and can pass approximately 7.5 times the bankfull discharge before overtopping the banks.

Based upon the collected data and field observations the reaches have a mixture of segments that are transitioning from a Rosgen C to a Rosgen F and others that are Rosgen F, or a Stage IV, in the channel evolution model (CEM).

Stream Design

1.8. Design Philosophy and Approach

After the initial site walk it was apparent that the site and stream had many challenges facing restoration on the proposed property, however, its location within an urban environment and the wide area of undeveloped adjacent floodplain provided an optimal location for true ecological uplift. Generally, the existing Cabin Branch channel has very little sinuosity and poor riffle-pool sequencing. The upper one-third of Cabin Branch had been excessively riprapped over the years to provide bank protection, whereas the lower two-thirds of Cabin Branch as well as Tributary 4 exhibited high levels of bank erosion and over widening.

With these observations in mind it was determined that in the upper one-third of the stream where the banks were currently protected the best restoration method would be to keep the existing alignment but cut down the bank height to provide floodplain access as well as use structures to modify the existing profile to create a riffle-pool sequence within the existing channel. Keeping within the existing channel footprint also minimizes additional clearing and potential impacts. In the lower two-thirds of the stream where the banks weren't protected and were highly eroded there were also numerous utilities running adjacent to and crossing the stream. Due to these factors it was decided to design a new alignment that could create higher sinuosity, create a higher functioning cross-section, better floodplain access and a better relationship to the utilities crossing the stream. This would create a Rosgen B/C channel in the upper third and a Rosgen C in the lower two thirds.

Tributary 4's drainage comes through two outfalls and at the outfalls of both pipes the channel is deeply incised and has no floodplain connectivity. Additionally, Tributary 4 crosses two large gas-lines, runs near electrical distribution poles and runs over multiple sanitary sewers lines, further downcutting or over-widening in this channel could create damaging impacts to the adjacent infrastructure. With these additional factors in mind, it was decided to bring the channel up as much as possible connecting it the existing floodplain or to a created floodplain bench, allowing for ecological uplift and infrastructure protection simultaneously. The vertical rise in the profile was combined with a modified horizontal alignment to provide higher sinuosity and flow control to mimic a more natural channel. This design philosophy will create a Rosgen C channel in Tributary 4.

Cabin Branch Stream and Wetland Restoration Design Report

Cabin Branch and Unnamed Tributaries
Montgomery County, Maryland



1.9. Proposed Channel Cross-Section Design

The existing cross-section drainage areas and associated bankfull areas collected in the field were used to create a site-specific bankfull area curve, which was then compared with relevant regional curves, these curves are all shown in Figure 12. This comparison showed a good correlation of the data collected ($R^2 = .96$) as well as in comparison to the selected regional curves.




Figure 12: Site Specific Bankfull Area Curve in Comparison to Selected Regional Curves

Using the drainage area to the downstream terminus of each Reach/Sub-Reach (as shown in Figure 13), existing channel cross-section data and site specific/regional curves, target bankfull widths, areas, max depths and discharges were determined for each proposed Reach/Sub-reach. Proposed channel cross-sections were engineered to achieve the target bankfull area, desired geometry and discharge. The proposed channel cross-section geometry is summarized in Table 2; the complete geometry is provided in the plans.

Cabin Branch Stream and Wetland Restoration Design Report

Cabin Branch and Unnamed Tributaries

Montgomery County, Maryland




Figure 13: Reach Map for Cabin Branch Stream Restoration

CROSS-SECTION GEOMETRY							
REACH	RIFFLE BOTTOM WIDTH (FT)	MAX RIFFLE DEPTH* (FT)	RIFFLE BANKFULL WIDTH (FT)	POOL BANKFULL WIDTH (FT)	MAX POOL DEPTH (FT)	RIFFLE BANKFULL AREA (SF)	BANKFULL DISCHARGE (CFS)
1	12.0	2.3	23.2	27.8	4.3	40.2	190.6
2	14.0	2.4	25.6	30.7	4.6	47.1	236.5
T1A	4.2	0.6	6.6	7.9	1.2	3.2	8.5
T1B	4.2	0.6	6.6	7.9	1.2	3.2	8.9
T1-1	3.0	0.5	5.0	6.0	0.9	2.0	2.5
T2	1.7	0.3	3.2	3.8	0.6	0.8	1.4
T3A	2.2	0.5	4.6	5.5	0.9	1.7	2.9
T3B	3.0	0.7	6.2	7.4	1.2	3.0	7.4
T3-1	2.2	0.5	4.6	5.5	0.9	1.7	3.8
T4A	3.0	0.5	5.0	6.0	0.9	2.0	7.2
T4B	3.6	0.6	3.6	4.3	1.2	2.8	11.3
T4-1	2.0	0.4	3.8	4.6	0.8	1.2	4.5
T5	2.2	0.5	4.6	5.5	0.9	1.7	5.4

Table 2: Proposed Channel Cross-Section Geometry

Using the design bankfull widths and proprietary dimensionless ratios developed from previously collected reference reach data by RES, the following (see Table 3) Riffle Length Minimums/Maximums, Pool Length Minimums/Maximums and Minimum Radius of Curvatures were calculated and used in laying out the proposed alignments. The proposed alignments create approximately 8,615 LF of restored stream channel, excluding easements and the PEPCO property generates 7,350 LF of mitigation stream length.

Cabin Branch Stream and Wetland Restoration Design Report

Cabin Branch and Unnamed Tributaries
Montgomery County, Maryland



PLANIMETRIC DESIGN LIMITS

REACH	MIN RIFFLE LENGTH (FT)	MAX RIFFLE LENGTH (FT)	MIN POOL LENGTH (FT)	MAX POOL LENGTH (FT)	MIN RADIUS OF CURVATURE (FT)
1	23.2	78.9	27.8	113.7	58.0
2	25.6	87.0	30.7	125.4	64.0
T1A	6.6	22.4	7.9	32.3	16.5
T1B	6.6	22.4	7.9	32.3	16.5
T1-1	5.0	17.0	6.0	24.5	12.5
T2	3.2	10.9	3.8	15.7	8.0
T3A	4.6	15.6	5.5	22.5	11.5
T3B	6.2	21.1	7.4	30.4	15.5
T3-1	4.6	15.6	5.5	22.5	11.5
T4A	5.0	17.0	6.0	24.5	12.5
T4B	3.6	12.2	4.3	17.6	9.0
T4-1	3.8	12.9	4.6	18.6	9.5
T5	4.6	15.6	5.5	22.5	11.5

Table 3: Proposed Stream Planimetric Design Limits by Reach

1.10. Proposed Profile Design

To achieve a stable stream system this restoration plan was completed using natural channel design principles and utilizes the installation of in-stream structures and channel grading to control elevation and flow patterns. Riffle facet slopes are set to mimic the valley slopes and associated valley slope changes, while pools are flat. In-stream structures, such as Rock Offset Cross Vanes, were utilized to stabilize confluences and to maintain ideal riffle facet slopes in each reach while keeping the proposed stream at an elevation that could ultimately be tied into the downstream and upstream reaches and created no rise in the 100-yr WSE. Pool run and glide slopes are not engineered but left to naturalize in the field. Pool max depths are designed to occur at the mid-point of the pools.

1.11. In-stream Structures

In-stream structures are used for both vertical and horizontal stabilization of the stream channels. These structures redirect the erosive forces of the water away from the outer bend of the stream helping to minimize bank erosion. Vertically they prevent the migration of headcuts and other instabilities through the system, as well as concentrating flows to maintain pool features. Since the stream contracts as the water passes over the structure, contraction scour calculations are used to determine the structure depth and stone size for the structure. For the purposes of these calculations the Offset Cross-vane data is used as it contracts the flow the greatest which would in return create the highest scour. Contraction scour calculations for in-stream structures are detailed in the Stream Restoration Design National Engineering Handbook; Technical Supplement 14-B. The equation and a summary of the calculations and the associated rock sizes are summarized in Table 4 below.

Cabin Branch Stream and Wetland Restoration Design Report

Cabin Branch and Unnamed Tributaries

Montgomery County, Maryland



$$Z_s = \left(-0.0118 + 1.394 \left(\frac{h_d}{W} \right) + 5.514 \left(\frac{Sq_{10}}{W^{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{32.2}} \right) \right) W$$

Where:

Z_s = depth of scour, ft

h_d = height of structure drop, ft

W = Average Active Channel Width, ft

q_{10} = 10yr design flow per unit width over structure invert, cfs/ft

S = average bankfull slope, ft/ft

REACH	RIFFLE BASE WIDTH (W) (FT)	MAX STRUCTURE DROP (HD) (FT)	BANKFULL SLOPE (S) (FT/FT)	STRUCTURE WIDTH (FT)	DESIGN FLOW/INVERT WIDTH (CFS/FT)	FACTORED -SCOUR DEPTH (F- ZS) (FT)	MIN. ROCK HEIGHT (A) (FT)	MIN. ROCK DEPTH (B) (FT)	MIN. ROCK LENGTH (C.) (FT)
1	12	0.5	0.0048	5.8	33	0.90	0.4	0.7	0.9
2	14	0.5	0.0058	6.4	36	0.88	0.4	0.7	0.9
T1A	4.2	0.5	0.0087	1.65	6	1.01	0.5	0.8	1.0
T1B	4.2	0.5	0.0096	1.65	6	1.01	0.5	0.8	1.0
T1-1	3	0	0.0028	1.25	8	-0.03	0.0	0.0	0.0
T2	1.7	0.25	0.011	0.8	13	0.65	0.3	0.5	0.6
T2	1.7	0.15	0.0212	0.8	13	0.58	0.3	0.4	0.6
T3A	2.2	0.1	0.006	1.15	9	0.22	0.1	0.2	0.2
T3B	3	0.5	0.008	1.55	6	1.04	0.5	0.8	1.0
T3-1	2.2	0.5	0.0101	1.15	9	1.09	0.5	0.8	1.1
T4A	3	0.75	0.0522	1.25	8	1.87	0.9	1.4	1.9
T4A	3	0.5	0.0235	1.25	8	1.15	0.6	0.9	1.2
T4B	3.6	0.5	0.0215	0.9	11	1.17	0.6	0.9	1.2
T4-1	2	0.25	0.0453	0.95	11	0.98	0.5	0.7	1.0
T5	2.2	0.25	0.0233	1.15	9	0.68	0.3	0.5	0.7
T5	2.2	0.5	0.0160	1.15	9	1.14	0.6	0.9	1.1

Table 4: Summary of Scour Calculations and Stone Sizes.

1.12. Riffle Mix Design

In a riffle-pool system the slope of a stream is taken in the riffle, therefore, the riffle stone mix must be designed to appropriately handle the erosive forces the stream exerts. The intention of this is to create a threshold design mix to minimize the risk of incision or vertical scour in the future. This project utilizes two Riffle Mixes. For the first Riffle Mix, Mix 1 the D100 of the designed mix is 256mm, and the D84 is 121.5mm, with the largest moveable particle size based on the Rosgen and Colorado Curve for all sub-reaches utilizing this mix being 109mm, therefore, below the D100 of the proposed design mix and close to the D84. For the second Riffle Mix, Mix 2, the D100 of the designed mix is 180mm, and the D84 is 85, with the largest

Cabin Branch Stream and Wetland Restoration Design Report

Cabin Branch and Unnamed Tributaries

Montgomery County, Maryland



moveable particle size based on the Rosgen and Colorado Curve for all sub-reaches being 60mm, therefore, below the D100 of the proposed design mix. In this mix the D84 is higher than the largest moveable particle sizes by a larger amount given the variable flow and flashiness of the reaches where this mix is utilized. Table 5 shows a summary of each reach's max shear and the associated largest moveable particle in comparison to the Design D100 of the applicable proposed Riffle Mix.

REACH	Max BKF Shear Stress (lb/sq ft)	Largest Moveable Particle - Shields (mm)	Largest Moveable Particle - Rosgen (mm)	Design Mix D84 (mm)	Design Mix D100 (mm)
1	0.50	38	91	121.54	256
2	0.64	49	109	121.54	256
T1A	0.26	19	56	85	180
T1B	0.28	21	60	85	180
T1-1	0.07	5	20	85	180
T2	0.16	12	40	85	180
T3A	0.13	9	34	85	180
T3B	0.23	17	52	85	180
T3-1	0.22	16	49	85	180
T4A	0.55	42	98	121.54	256
T4B	0.60	46	105	121.54	256
T4-1	0.63	48	108	121.54	256
T5	0.45	34	84	121.54	256

1.13. Proposed Outfall Protection Sizing

At all daylighted storm sewer pipes and existing outfalls tying into the heads of restored channels, outfall protection was designed in accordance with D-4-2 Standards and Specifications for Plunge Pool as detailed in the 2011 Maryland Standards and Specifications for Soil Erosion and Sediment Control. The facilities (Type I) were sized based upon the max pipe discharge and an assumed tailwater depth of 0.8 times the pipe diameter; details are provided in the construction plans. Max pipe discharge was calculated using the pipes slope, manning's n and diameter.

1.14. Hydraulics and Hydrology Modeling

Hydrology models were used to estimate the 2-Yr, 10-Yr and 100-Yr flows. Zoning data was used to estimate base land use for ultimate development conditions, which was primarily Urban Residential, with some industrial and minor open space. Rainfall depths were based on NOAA Atlas 14 for Montgomery County. WinTR-20 was used to characterize each sub-drainage area to develop a composite curve numbers (CN) and time of concentrations (TC). Drainage Area delineations, zoning maps, and TC flow paths are all provided in the plans. NOAA Atlas information, Win TR-55 & TR20 outputs are all provided in Appendix C. The output flows from WinTR-20 will be used in the HEC-RAS models for existing and proposed conditions for the change analysis for 2/10-Yr shear stress and 2/10-Yr velocity as required by Maryland

Cabin Branch Stream and Wetland Restoration Design Report

Cabin Branch and Unnamed Tributaries

Montgomery County, Maryland



Department of the Environment. The preliminary 2/10-Yr shear stress and 2/10-Yr velocity Tables can be found in Appendix H. 2 The 100-Yr flows were calculated however, for the purposes of the Floodplain Study the flows used and approved in the previous study in this area will be used in order to properly determine the impacts of this project to the 100-Yr WSE.

Reach	Max Inflow	2_Yr	10_Yr	100_Yr
Reach 1	NA	1106.9	2192.5	4215.6*
Reach 2	NA	1155.2	2276.3	4364.6*
Trib 1	201.66	118.5	203.9	333.4
Trib 2	33.55	21.6	33.9	50.7
Trib 3	59.39	106.0	180.2	294.5
Trib 4	106.21	132.4	228.6	370.6*
Trib 5	86.96	78.82	142.25	275.54

Table 5: 2, 10, & 100-Yr Peak Discharges

*For the Floodplain Study/Analysis the 100-Yr flows from the previously approved study were used.

1.15. Floodplain Study

The proposed project is located within a FEMA Zone AE. Floodplain Study (FPDS No. 281949) established a revised 100-yr floodplain based upon proposed development surrounding the restoration area; this model was used as the existing model in the Floodplain Analysis for the mitigation project. In order to ensure continuity between the previously approved floodplain model and the modeling required for this project, the same 100-yr flows and Manning's n are intended to be utilized. The 100-yr discharges in the approved study are similar those RES calculated, and the Manning's n is the same as RES calculated based upon the D84 of the Pebble Count data.

For this project to not create impacts to the proposed and existing developments surrounding the site it is imperative that the work along Cabin Branch results in a no-rise condition on the project parcel or on adjacent parcels. To ensure that the proposed design is feasible a preliminary Floodplain Analysis was run along the proposed reaches of Cabin Branch and Tributary 4. This preliminary assessment showed no rises in the 100-Yr water surface elevation along Cabin Branch that cannot be addressed in further design development. Tributary 4 showed two increases however the rise is completely contained within the existing valley and thus does not create any offsite impacts. A comparison of existing and proposed 100-yr water surface elevations for both Cabin Branch and Tributary 4 are provided in Appendix D as well as the existing and proposed cross-sections.

Wetland Design

1.16. Wetland Restoration Feasibility Analysis

The entire project area is mapped as being underlain by Hatboro Silt Loam, 0-3% slope, frequently flooded. The Hatboro Silt Loam soil series has a hydric rating of 100, and under normal conditions will have groundwater within 6 inches of the soil surface well into the growing season. Groundwater is the primary hydrologic source governing the formation of wetlands in these soils, with the hydrology being supplemented by periodic overbank flooding from adjacent streams. In their natural condition, these soils and their associated hydrology supported a forested wetland community.

Cabin Branch Stream and Wetland Restoration Design Report

Cabin Branch and Unnamed Tributaries

Montgomery County, Maryland



Development of a golf course on these soils required extensive manipulation of the landscape and the hydrology. Ponds were excavated and underground drainage systems were installed to provide the necessary drainage to develop the golf course. Although the ponds were excavated to depths that normally would intercept the groundwater, it is likely that they were lined with clay to ensure that water levels remained consistent for aesthetics purposes.

The conceptual design for wetland restoration involves draining five ponds and backfilling with suitable soils to appropriate elevations for wetland restoration, see Table 7 for Wetland Restoration Summary. To determine the appropriate elevations, groundwater monitoring wells were installed adjacent to each pond planned for restoration. Additionally, surface elevations in the ponds were measured twice during monitoring well download events to compare with the groundwater elevations. This will assist in the determination of whether the pond levels are artificially elevated due to pond liners being present. When the ponds are drained prior to backfilling with soils, a determination will be made as to whether a clay liner is present, and what the thickness of the liner is. If liners are present, the liners will be ripped to the depth necessary to restore groundwater influence prior to backfilling with soils translocated from onsite sources. The use of soils from onsite sources will ensure that the backfilled areas are comprised of soils of similar permeability and texture as would be found naturally. All backfilling will be to an elevation 6" below final grade, and Class A topsoil will be placed to a depth of 6" to bring wetland cell elevations to final grade. Upon approval of final grade elevations by the Engineer, organic compost will be spread evenly across the wetland planting zones at a rate of 60 CY per acre. The entire wetland planting area will be disked and/or ripped to incorporate the compost into the soil and create microtopography throughout the wetland cell.

Wetland Cell	Acreage	Wetland Type
Cell #1	1.19	PFO
Cell #2	0.29	PFO
Cell #3	0.40	PFO
Cell #4	1.51	PFO
Cell #5	1.25	PFO

Table 6: Wetland Mitigation Summary

1.17. Water Budget Development

Each wetland restoration location is a standalone site, independent of the other locations for site hydrology. This required that water budget models be developed for each wetland cell of the proposed site to simulate the balance of the hydrologic inputs and outputs and estimate the water table elevation for the proposed design. Each water budget was prepared using the Wetbud software, which is modeled on the methods described in *Planning Hydrology for Constructed Wetlands* by Gary Pierce (Pierce method). The model balances water sources (inputs), including precipitation and adjacent surface runoff, with water losses (outputs), including evapotranspiration, exfiltration, and weir overflow. Historic precipitation data was obtained from the Gaithersburg Montgomery County NOAA GSOD station, which is located approximately 1.3 miles east of the Site. Evapotranspiration rates were calculated via the Penman-Monteith method.

Water budgets were prepared using data from a dry year, a normal year, and a wet year. It was determined that 2007 was an historically dry year, 1988 was normal, and 2003 was wet. The water budgets demonstrate that each of the five (5) locations will have more than enough water to support establishment of wetlands in normal and wet years, and just enough to support establishment of wetlands in a dry year. The models, however, do not take into account

Cabin Branch Stream and Wetland Restoration Design Report

Cabin Branch and Unnamed Tributaries
Montgomery County, Maryland



overbank flooding from Cabin Branch; nor do they take into account actual groundwater elevations. These sites will all experience overbank flooding and high groundwater; therefore, we believe the models likely underestimate the actual hydrology. To address the potential for too much water, the design incorporates low elevation inlet and outlet weirs to allow excess water to exit the site to prevent drowning of the young trees.

The Well Data is in Appendix E and the collected water budgets are included in Appendix F.

1.18. Integration with Stream Design

Stream designers coordinated directly with the wetland designers to ensure that the streambank grading would not impact the wetland grading; and to incorporate stream design elements that will allow restoration of periodic overbank flooding from the restored stream channels into the wetlands to help ensure successful wetland establishment.

Cabin Branch Stream and Wetland Restoration Design Report

Cabin Branch and Unnamed Tributaries
Montgomery County, Maryland



Bibliography

2011 Maryland Standards and Specifications for Soil Erosion and Sediment Control. Baltimore, Maryland: Maryland Department of the Environment, December 2011. Print.

Cinotto, P. J., 2003, Development of regional curves of bankfull-channel geometry and discharge for streams in the non-urban, Piedmont Physiographic Province, Pennsylvania and Maryland: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 2003-4014, 27 p., <https://pubs.er.usgs.gov/publication/wri034014>.

Lotspeich, R.R., 2009, Regional curves of bankfull channel geometry for non-urban streams in the Piedmont Physiographic Province, Virginia: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2009-5206, 51 p.

McCandless, T. L. and Everett, R. A. (2002), Maryland Stream Survey: Bankfull Discharge and Channel Characteristics Of Streams In The Piedmont Hydrologic Region: U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service Chesapeake Bay Field Office Report CBFO-S02-01, 52 p., <https://www.fws.gov/chesapeakebay/PDF/stream-restoration/Section1.pdf>

Pierce, Gary J. (1993), Planning Hydrology for Constructed Wetlands: Wetlands Training Institute, 49 p.

Stream Restoration Design: Part 654, Technical Supplement 14B-Scour Calculations, National Engineering Handbook. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, 2007. Print.

Cabin Branch Stream and Wetland Restoration Design Report

Cabin Branch and Unnamed Tributaries
Montgomery County, Maryland



Appendix A: Wetland Delineation Report Package

Wetland Delineation Report Site Information Summary
MDSHA 495-270 PRM Cabin Branch Site
39.177500, -77.202865
(30.93 Acres)
Montgomery County, Maryland

Date: August 12, 2021

Applicant/Property Owner

Resource Environmental Solutions, LLC
5367 Telephone Road
Warrenton, Virginia 20187
Email: Reid Cook - rcook@res.us

Consulting Agent

Resource Environmental Solutions, LLC
5367 Telephone Road
Warrenton, Virginia 20187
Email: Reid Cook - rcook@res.us

Latitude/ Longitude in Decimal Degrees using coordinate plane (NAD 1983)

39.177500, -77.202865

Has a previous delineation or JD been performed?

A previous delineation was conducted in January of 2014 by Soltesz. Project number unknown.

Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC)

8 Digit: 02070008

11 Digit: 02070008030

14 Digit: 02070008030129

USGS Topographic Sheet

Gaithersburg Quadrangle, 7.5 Minute

Nearest Waterbody (example given)

Cabin Branch runs east to west throughout the project site, eventually converging with Great Seneca Creek approximately 0.60 miles to the west of the project limits. Additionally, North Creek Lake and Lake Whetstone are approximately 0.50 and 0.60 miles to the north and south of the project limits respectively.

Delineation Methods

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 1987 Wetland Delineation Manual in conjunction with the April 2012 Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Regional Supplement, Version 2.0. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 2016 National Wetland Plant List was used.

On-Site Investigation Date

Wetland boundary delineation and site data collection was conducted on September 10, 2020.

Wetland Delineation Plan

The proposed wetland boundaries and Data Sampling Point locations are depicted on the plan entitled “Waters of the US Delineation Map” prepared by Resource Environmental Solutions, LLC on September 24, 2020.

Wetland Investigation Results

Wetlands: One palustrine emergent (PEM) wetland was observed within the project limits, for a total of 0.06 acres of the project site.

Stream Channels: Approximately 7,008 linear feet of stream channels were observed within the project limits, totaling 1.81 acres. All streams were observed to be R3/perennial in nature.

Other Waters: Numerous Palustrine Open Water (POW) bodies were identified throughout the project site. These POW features totaled approximately 4.61 acres of the project site.

Water bodies onsite identified as Section 10: No water bodies identified as Section 10 were identified onsite.

Uplands: Approximately 24.45 acres of the project site were determined to be upland in nature. These uplands are characterized by Data Sampling Points 3, 4, 5, and 7.

100-Year Floodplains

As depicted on the Federal Emergency Management Agency’s (FEMA) on-line Flood Insurance Rate Map #24031C0187D, effective 9/26/2006. The FEMA floodplain map identifies Zone X, Area of Minimal Flood Hazard; and Zone AE, a Regulatory Floodway.

National Wetlands Inventory

The on-line National Wetland Inventory identifies freshwater ponds classified as PUBHx and freshwater riverine classified as R5UBH.

USDA Soil Survey

The National Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) soils report identifies numerous soil types throughout the project. The most common soil type within the project limits is 54 Hatboro Silt Loam. This soil type runs adjacent to the perennial Cabin Branch stream throughout the entire project limits. W Water Census soils were the next common soils type within the project limits, covering approximately 9.3% of the project area. All soils except for W Water Census are listed as hydric by the NRCS.

Notes: The project property was once used as a golf course, and as such has been previously developed.

Table 1: Soils Table

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent AOI	Listing as a Hydric Soil
1C	Gaila Silt Loam, 8-15% slopes	0.5	1.5%	Yes
5B	Glenville Silt Loam, 3-8% slopes	0.0	0.0%	Yes
6A	Baile Silt Loam, 0-3% slopes	2.0	6.6%	Yes
16D	Brinklow-Blocktown Channery Silt Loams, 15-25% slopes	0.6	2.1%	Yes
54A	Hatboro Silt Loam, 0-3% slopes Frequently Flooded	22.7	73.5%	Yes
66UB	Wheaton-Urban Lan Complex, 0-8% slopes	0.2	0.7%	Yes
66UC	Wheaton-Urban Lan Complex, 8-15% slopes	1.9	6.0%	Yes
W	Census Water	3.0	9.3%	No
Totals for AOI		30.9	100%	


Table 2: Water of the U.S.

Wetland/Water	Latitude	Longitude	Acreage/ Length (feet)	Cowardin Class	Class of Aquatic Resource
POW/1	39.17488	-77.194143	0.11	POW	Non-Tidal
POW/2	39.175332	-77.194577	0.85	POW	Non-Tidal
POW/3	39.176305	-77.194985	0.13	POW	Non-Tidal
POW/4	39.177946	-77.20052	0.37	POW	Non-Tidal
POW/5	39.17908	-77.205946	1.68	POW	Non-Tidal
POW/6	39.17976	-77.208462	1.46	POW	Non-Tidal
POW/7	39.1785	-77.20531	0.03	POW	Non-Tidal
PEM-1	39.176269	-77.194785	0.06	PEM	Non-Tidal
Streams					
R3-CBU	39.177268	-77.1973	2,982	R3	Non-Tidal
R3-T5	39.177924	-77.205483	459	R3	Non-Tidal
R3-T4	39.180125	-77.201821	1,021	R3	Non-Tidal
R3-T41	39.180616	-77.201403	70	R3	Non-Tidal
R3-T6	39.179224	-77.208909	53	R3	Non-Tidal
R3-CBL	39.179601	-77.205883	2,421	R3	Non-Tidal

Attachment A

Figures:

**Project Location Map, Project Vicinity, National Wetland Inventory Map,
Aerial Imagery Map, Soils Map**



LEGEND



APPROXIMATE PROJECT LIMITS

Copyright © 2013 National Geographic Society, i-cubed



CORPORATE | 6575 WEST LOOP SOUTH, SUITE 300, BELLAIRE, TX 77401
P: 713.520.5400

www.res.us

PROJECT LOCATION MAP

CABIN BRANCH

MONTGOMERY COUNTY,
MARYLAND

USGS Topo Quads: Gaithersburg

Latitude: 39.1781

Longitude: -77.2018


Approx. Project Area: 30.93 acres

Elevation: 344 - 384 feet

Scale: 1 inch = 2,000 feet

Source: <http://resources.arcgis.com/>
USA Topo Maps






CORPORATE | 6575 WEST LOOP SOUTH, SUITE 300, BELLAIRE, TX 77401
P: 713.520.5400
www.res.us

VICINITY MAP CABIN BRANCH MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

Street Map Source:
World Street Map
ESRI ArcGIS Online



1 inch = 2,000 feet



LEGEND



APPROXIMATE PROJECT LIMITS



NWI MAPPED WETLANDS



CORPORATE | 6575 WEST LOOP SOUTH, SUITE 300, BELLAIRE, TX 77401
P: 713.520.5400

www.res.us


NATIONAL WETLANDS INVENTORY MAP

**CABIN BRANCH
MONTGOMERY COUNTY,
MARYLAND**

Digital Ortho Photo Source:
World Imagery
ESRI ArcGIS Online
National Inventory Wetlands (NWI)
Source
<http://www.fws.gov/>

1 inch = 600 feet





LEGEND



APPROXIMATE PROJECT LIMITS



P: 713.520.5400

CORPORATE | 6575 WEST LOOP SOUTH, SUITE 300, BELLAIRE, TX 77401
www.res.us

AERIAL IMAGERY

CABIN BRANCH MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

Digital Orthophoto Source:
World Imagery
ESRI ArcGIS Online



1 inch = 600 feet

Custom Soil Resource Report Soil Map



Attachment B
Wetland Delineation Data Sheets

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Eastern Mountains and Piedmont

Project/Site:	MDSHA 495-270 PRM Cabin Branch Site	City/County:	Montgomery County	Sampling Date:	10-Sep-2020
Applicant/Owner:	Resource Environmental Solutions, LLC	State:	Maryland	Sampling Point:	
Investigator(s):	Jacob Fleckenstein, Daniel Buczek	Section, Township, Range:	Montgomery Village		
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Flat	Local relief (concave, convex, none):	None	Slope (%):	0-3
Subregion (LRR or MLRA):	148 Northern Piedmont	Lat:	39.179586	Long:	-77.210214
Soil Map Unit Name:	54A Hatboro Silt Loam, Frequently Flooded	Datum:	WGS83		
NW1 Classification:		None			
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions of the site typical for this time of the year? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y (If no, explain in remarks)					
Are vegetation <input type="checkbox"/> , soil <input type="checkbox"/> , or hydrology <input type="checkbox"/> significantly disturbed? Are "normal circumstances" present? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes					
Are vegetation <input type="checkbox"/> , soil <input type="checkbox"/> , or hydrology <input type="checkbox"/> naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in remarks)					

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic vegetation present?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	Is the sampled area within a wetland? <input type="checkbox"/> N
Hydric soil present?	<input type="checkbox"/> N	
Indicators of wetland hydrology present?	<input type="checkbox"/> N	

Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report)

Data Point 7 exists in the western limits of the project, to the north of Data Point 6. The data point displays upland features.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> True Aquatic Plants (B14)
<input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
<input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
<input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
<input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
<input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7)
<input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)
<input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4)
	<input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:				Wetland Hydrology Present? <input type="checkbox"/> N
Surface water present?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X	Depth (inches): <input type="text"/>	
Water table present?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X	Depth (inches): <input type="text"/>	
Saturation present?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X	Depth (inches): <input type="text"/>	
(includes capillary fringe)				

Describe recorded data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

Wetland Hydrology was not present at this data point.

VEGETATION (Five Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

 Sampling Point: **DP-7**

Tree Stratum		(Plot size: 30 feet)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1	<i>Acer rubrum</i>		60	Y	FAC
2	<i>Diospyros virginiana</i>		15	Y	FAC
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
			75	=Total Cover	

Sapling stratum		(Plot size: 15 feet)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
			0	=Total Cover	

Shrub stratum		(Plot size: 15 feet)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
			0	=Total Cover	

Herb stratum		(Plot size: 5 feet)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1	<i>Microstegium vimineum</i>		70	Y	FAC
2	<i>Boehmeria cylindrica</i>		15	N	FACW
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
			85	=Total Cover	

Woody vine stratum		(Plot size: 30 feet)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
			0	=Total Cover	

Dominance Test Worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	3	(A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across all Strata:	3	(B)
Percent of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	100.00%	(A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet:

Total % Cover of:

OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	15	x 2 =	30
FAC species	145	x 3 =	435
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column totals	160	(A)	465 (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A =			2.91

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

	1 – Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
X	2 – Dominance Test is >50%
X	3 – Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
	4 – Morphological Adaptations ¹ (provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
	Problematic hydrophytic vegetation ¹ (explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Five Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in diameter at breast height (DBH).

Sapling – Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.

Shrub – Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, including herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody plants, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1 m) in height.

Woody vine – All woody vines, regardless of height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Y**

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet)

Hydrophytic Vegetation was dominant at this data point.

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (In.)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-6	10YR 4/2	100%					Loam	
6-18	10YR 4/3	95%	10YR 4/2	2%	C	M	Loam	Faint
			10YR 4/4	3%	C	M	Loam	Faint

¹Type: C = Concentration, D = Depletion, RM = Reduced Matrix, MS = Masked Sand Grains.

²Location: PL = Pore Lining, M = Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- ☐ Histisol (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Black Histic (A3)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Stratified Layers (A5)
- ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)
- ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ☐ (LRR N, MLRA 147, 148)
- ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ☐ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)

- ☐ Dark Surface (S7)
- ☐ Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148)
- ☐ Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox Depressions (F8)
- ☐ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12)
- ☐ (LRR N, MLRA 136)
- ☐ Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122)
- ☐ Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- ☐ 2cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147)
- ☐ Coast Prairie Redox (A16)
- ☐ (MLRA 147, 148)
- ☐ Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19)
- ☐ (MLRA 136, 147)
- ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2)
- ☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ☐ Other (explain in remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type:

Depth (inches):

Hydric Soil Present?

N

Remarks:

Hydric Soil indicators were not present.



Photograph 1. Soils photograph of the data point.



Photograph 2. Overview photograph of the data point.

Attachment C

Waters of the U.S. Delineation Map

0200400600

FEET

LEGEND

APPROXIMATE PROJECT LIMITS

PERENNIAL STREAM LIMITS (R3)

PALUSTRINE OPEN WATER (POW) WETLAND LIMITS

PALUSTRINE EMERGENT (PEM) WETLAND LIMITS

UPLAND DATA POINT

WETLAND DATA POINT

SITE DATA:

TOTAL PROJECT AREA = 30.93 +/- AC

TOTAL WATERS OF THE U.S. = 6.48 +/- AC

STREAMS

TOTAL R3 STREAMS = 1.81 +/- AC (7,008 +/- LF)

TOTAL STREAMS = 1.81 +/- AC (7,008 +/- LF)

OPEN WATER

TOTAL POW = 4.61 +/- AC

WETLANDS

TOTAL PEM = 0.06 +/- AC

TOTAL PFO = 0.00 +/- AC

TOTAL PSS = 0.00 +/- AC

TOTAL WETLANDS = 0.06 +/- AC

res

CORPORATE | 6575 WEST LOOP SOUTH, SUITE 300, BELLAIRE, TX 77401

P: 713.520.5400

WWW.RES.US

PROJECT: CABIN BRANCH

APPLICANT: MDSHA

WATERS OF THE U.S.

DELINEATION MAP

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

PROJECT MANAGER:	RC
DRAWN:	TB
JOB NUMBER:	102054
DATE:	09/25/2020
REVISIONS:	TB – 03/09/2021 TB – 08/12/2021

Montgomery Village

NOTES:

1. BASE MAPPING OBTAINED FROM ESRI.

2. LIMITS OF WETLANDS AND STREAMS DEPICTED ON THIS MAP WERE DELINEATED AND GPS LOCATED BY RES.

3. WETLANDS WERE DELINEATED On SEPTEMBER 10, 2020 BY RES IN ACCORDANCE WITH PROCEDURES OUTLINED IN THE U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS WETLAND DELINEATION MANUAL, AS WELL AS THE REGIONAL SUPPLEMENT TO THE U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS WETLAND DELINEATION MANUAL: ATLANTIC AND GULF COASTAL PLAIN REGION (VERSION 2.0) / EASTERN MOUNTAINS AND PIEDMONT REGION. OTHER WATERS OF THE U.S. ARE DELINEATED BASED ON THE PRESENCE OF AN ORDINARY HIGH WATER MARK, AS DEFINED BY THE U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS DEFINITION OF A WATER OF THE U.S.

Document Path: R:\Resgis\entgis\Projects\102054_MDSHA_Cabin_Branch\102054_Cabin_Branch\maps\102054_WET_SHTI_11x17.mxd - Date Saved: 8/12/2021

0200400600

FEET

1 INCH = 200 FEET

LEGEND

APPROXIMATE PROJECT LIMITS

PERENNIAL STREAM LIMITS (R3)

PALUSTRINE OPEN WATER (POW) WETLAND LIMITS

PALUSTRINE EMERGENT (PEM) WETLAND LIMITS

UPLAND DATA POINT

WETLAND DATA POINT

SITE DATA:

TOTAL PROJECT AREA = 30.93 +/- AC

TOTAL WATERS OF THE U.S. = 6.48 +/- AC

STREAMS

TOTAL R3 STREAMS = 1.81 +/- AC (7,008 +/- LF)

TOTAL STREAMS = 1.81 +/- AC (7,008 +/- LF)

OPEN WATER

TOTAL POW = 4.61 +/- AC

WETLANDS

TOTAL PEM = 0.06 +/- AC

TOTAL PFO = 0.00 +/- AC

TOTAL PSS = 0.00 +/- AC

TOTAL WETLANDS = 0.06 +/- AC

PROJECT: CABIN BRANCH

APPLICANT: MDSA

WATERS OF THE U.S.

DELINEATION MAP

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

PROJECT MANAGER:

RC

DRAWN:

TB

JOB NUMBER:

102054

DATE:

09/25/2020

REVISIONS:

TB – 03/09/2021

TB – 08/12/2021

Corporate

6575 West Loop South, Suite 300, Bellaire, TX 77401

P: 713.520.5400

www.res.us

NOTES:

1. BASE MAPPING OBTAINED FROM ESRI.
2. LIMITS OF WETLANDS AND STREAMS DEPICTED ON THIS MAP WERE DELINEATED AND GPS LOCATED BY RES.
3. WETLANDS WERE DELINEATED On SEPTEMBER 10, 2020 BY RES IN ACCORDANCE WITH PROCEDURES OUTLINED IN THE U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS WETLAND DELINEATION MANUAL, AS WELL AS THE REGIONAL SUPPLEMENT TO THE U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS WETLAND DELINEATION MANUAL: ATLANTIC AND GULF COASTAL PLAIN REGION (VERSION 2.0) / EASTERN MOUNTAINS AND PIEDMONT REGION. OTHER WATERS OF THE U.S. ARE DELINEATED BASED ON THE PRESENCE OF AN ORDINARY HIGH WATER MARK, AS DEFINED BY THE U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS DEFINITION OF A WATER OF THE U.S.

Document Path: R:\Resgis\entgis\Projects\102054_MDSA_Cabin_Branch\102054_Cabin_Branch\maps\102054_WET_SHTI_11x17.mxd - Date Saved: 8/12/2021

0200400600

FEET

1 INCH = 200 FEET

LEGEND

APPROXIMATE PROJECT LIMITS

PERENNIAL STREAM LIMITS (R3)

PALUSTRINE OPEN WATER (POW) WETLAND LIMITS

PALUSTRINE EMERGENT (PEM) WETLAND LIMITS

UPLAND DATA POINT

WETLAND DATA POINT

SITE DATA:

TOTAL PROJECT AREA = 30.93 +/- AC

TOTAL WATERS OF THE U.S. = 6.48 +/- AC

STREAMS

TOTAL R3 STREAMS = 1.81 +/- AC (7,008 +/- LF)

TOTAL STREAMS = 1.81 +/- AC (7,008 +/- LF)

OPEN WATER

TOTAL POW = 4.61 +/- AC

WETLANDS

TOTAL PEM = 0.06 +/- AC

TOTAL PFO = 0.00 +/- AC

TOTAL PSS = 0.00 +/- AC

TOTAL WETLANDS = 0.06 +/- AC

Project: Cabin Branch

Applicant: MDSA

Waters of the U.S. Delineation Map

Montgomery County, Maryland

Project Manager: RC

Drawn: TB

Job Number: 102054


Date: 09/25/2020

Revisions: TB - 03/09/2021, TB - 08/12/2021

Corporate: 6575 West Loop South, Suite 300, Bellaire, TX 77401

P: 713.520.5400

www.res.us



Attachment D

**Palustrine Open Water (POW)
Photographs**

Palustrine Open Water (POW) PHOTOGRAPHS
Cabin Branch

POW—1



Photograph of POW Pond 1

Palustrine Open Water (POW) PHOTOGRAPHS
Cabin Branch

POW—2



Photographs of POW Pond 2

Palustrine Open Water (POW) PHOTOGRAPHS
Cabin Branch

POW—3



Photographs of POW Pond 3

Palustrine Open Water (POW) PHOTOGRAPHS
Cabin Branch

POW—4



Photographs of POW Pond 4

Palustrine Open Water (POW) PHOTOGRAPHS
Cabin Branch

POW—5



Photographs of POW Pond 5

Palustrine Open Water (POW) PHOTOGRAPHS
Cabin Branch

POW—6



Photographs of POW Pond 6

Palustrine Open Water (POW) PHOTOGRAPHS
Cabin Branch

POW—7



Photographs of POW Pond 7

Attachment E
NRCS Soils Report




United States
Department of
Agriculture

NRCS

Natural
Resources
Conservation
Service

A product of the National
Cooperative Soil Survey,
a joint effort of the United
States Department of
Agriculture and other
Federal agencies, State
agencies including the
Agricultural Experiment
Stations, and local
participants

Custom Soil Resource Report for **Montgomery County, Maryland**



September 25, 2020

Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (<http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/>) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (<https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs>) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/?cid=nrcs142p2_053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require

alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Contents

Preface	2
How Soil Surveys Are Made	5
Soil Map	8
Soil Map.....	9
Legend.....	10
Map Unit Legend.....	11
Map Unit Descriptions	11
Montgomery County, Maryland.....	13
1C—Gaila silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	13
5B—Glenville silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	14
6A—Baile silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	15
16D—Brinklow-Blocktown channery silt loams, 15 to 25 percent slopes	16
54A—Hatboro silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes, frequently flooded.....	18
66UB—Wheaton-Urban land complex, 0 to 8 percent slopes	19
66UC—Wheaton-Urban land complex, 8 to 15 percent slopes	20
W—Census water	21
References	22

How Soil Surveys Are Made

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil

scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.

Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and

Custom Soil Resource Report

identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.

Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.

Custom Soil Resource Report Soil Map



MAP LEGEND

Area of Interest (AOI)

Area of Interest (AOI)

Soils

 Soil Map Unit Polygons

 Soil Map Unit Lines

 Soil Map Unit Points

Special Point Features



Blowout



Borrow Pit



Clay Spot



Closed Depression



Gravel Pit



Gravelly Spot



Landfill



Lava Flow



Marsh or swamp



Mine or Quarry



Miscellaneous Water



Perennial Water



Rock Outcrop



Saline Spot



Sandy Spot



Severely Eroded Spot



Sinkhole



Slide or Slip



Sodic Spot



Spoil Area



Stony Spot



Very Stony Spot



Wet Spot



Other



Special Line Features

Water Features



Streams and Canals

Transportation



Rails



Interstate Highways



US Routes



Major Roads



Local Roads

Background



Aerial Photography

MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:15,800.

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.

Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service
Web Soil Survey URL:
Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Montgomery County, Maryland
Survey Area Data: Version 16, Jun 12, 2020

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: May 3, 2015—Feb 22, 2017

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
1C	Gaila silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	0.5	1.5%
5B	Glenville silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	0.0	0.0%
6A	Baile silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	2.0	6.6%
16D	Brinklow-Blocktown channery silt loams, 15 to 25 percent slopes	0.6	2.1%
54A	Hatboro silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes, frequently flooded	22.7	73.5%
66UB	Wheaton-Urban land complex, 0 to 8 percent slopes	0.2	0.7%
66UC	Wheaton-Urban land complex, 8 to 15 percent slopes	1.9	6.0%
W	Census water	3.0	9.6%
Totals for Area of Interest		30.9	100.0%

Map Unit Descriptions

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas

are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

Montgomery County, Maryland

1C—Gaila silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: kx7n

Elevation: 100 to 2,000 feet

Mean annual precipitation: 35 to 50 inches

Mean annual air temperature: 45 to 57 degrees F

Frost-free period: 120 to 255 days

Farmland classification: Farmland of statewide importance

Map Unit Composition

Gaila and similar soils: 95 percent

Minor components: 5 percent

Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Gaila

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 8 inches: silt loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 8 to 15 percent

Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches

Drainage class: Well drained

Runoff class: Medium

Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high
(0.57 to 1.98 in/hr)

Depth to water table: More than 80 inches

Frequency of flooding: None

Frequency of ponding: None

Available water capacity: Very low (about 1.3 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified

Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3e

Hydrologic Soil Group: B

Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Baile

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Landform: Flats

Hydric soil rating: Yes

5B—Glenville silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2tmch
Elevation: 20 to 1,090 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 40 to 55 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 48 to 57 degrees F
Frost-free period: 150 to 192 days
Farmland classification: All areas are prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Glenville and similar soils: 75 percent
Minor components: 25 percent
Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Glenville

Setting

Landform: Drainageways, swales
Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope, backslope
Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope, head slope, interfluve
Down-slope shape: Linear, concave
Across-slope shape: Concave, linear
Parent material: Colluvium derived from metamorphic rock over schist, gneiss or phyllite residuum

Typical profile

Ap - 0 to 11 inches: silt loam
Bt1 - 11 to 20 inches: channery silt loam
Bt2 - 20 to 30 inches: silt loam
Btx - 30 to 40 inches: silt loam
C1 - 40 to 59 inches: loam
C2 - 59 to 82 inches: loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 8 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 29 to 31 inches to fragipan
Drainage class: Moderately well drained
Runoff class: Medium
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately low (0.03 to 0.11 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 18 to 22 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water capacity: Low (about 5.1 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified
Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 2e
Hydrologic Soil Group: C/D

Custom Soil Resource Report

Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Unnamed

Percent of map unit: 15 percent
Landform: Drainageways
Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope
Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope
Down-slope shape: Linear, concave
Across-slope shape: Concave, linear
Hydric soil rating: No

Baile

Percent of map unit: 10 percent
Landform: Swales, drainageways
Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope
Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope
Down-slope shape: Concave, linear
Across-slope shape: Linear, concave
Hydric soil rating: Yes

6A—Baile silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: kxb9
Elevation: 250 to 980 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 35 to 50 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 48 to 57 degrees F
Frost-free period: 120 to 220 days
Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Baile and similar soils: 85 percent
Minor components: 15 percent
Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Baile

Setting

Landform: Swales, hillslopes, depressions, drainageways
Landform position (three-dimensional): Head slope, base slope
Down-slope shape: Concave
Across-slope shape: Linear, concave

Typical profile

A - 0 to 9 inches: silt loam
Btg - 9 to 32 inches: silty clay loam
Cg - 32 to 65 inches: loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 3 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Poorly drained
Runoff class: Very high
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately low to moderately high (0.06 to 0.20 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 0 to 6 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: Frequent
Available water capacity: High (about 10.8 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified
Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4w
Hydrologic Soil Group: C/D
Hydric soil rating: Yes

Minor Components

Glenville

Percent of map unit: 15 percent
Landform: Drainageways, swales
Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope, head slope
Down-slope shape: Concave
Across-slope shape: Linear
Hydric soil rating: No

16D—Brinklow-Blocktown channery silt loams, 15 to 25 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: kx79
Elevation: 300 to 2,000 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 7 to 55 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 45 to 61 degrees F
Frost-free period: 110 to 240 days
Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Brinklow and similar soils: 50 percent
Blocktown and similar soils: 30 percent
Minor components: 20 percent
Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Brinklow

Setting

Landform: Knolls
Down-slope shape: Convex
Across-slope shape: Linear

Custom Soil Resource Report

Parent material: Gravelly residuum weathered from low base phyllites and schists.

Typical profile

Ap - 0 to 10 inches: channery silt loam
Bt,BC - 10 to 25 inches: channery loam
Cr - 25 to 35 inches: bedrock
R - 35 to 39 inches: bedrock

Properties and qualities

Slope: 15 to 25 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 20 to 40 inches to lithic bedrock
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Very high
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low (0.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water capacity: Low (about 4.0 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified
Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6e
Hydrologic Soil Group: C
Hydric soil rating: No

Description of Blocktown

Setting

Landform: Knolls
Down-slope shape: Convex
Across-slope shape: Linear
Parent material: Gravelly residuum weathered from low base phyllites and schists.

Typical profile

Ap - 0 to 6 inches: channery silt loam
Bt - 6 to 17 inches: extremely channery silt loam
Cr - 17 to 21 inches: bedrock
R - 21 to 25 inches: bedrock

Properties and qualities

Slope: 15 to 25 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 10 to 20 inches to paralithic bedrock
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Very high
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low (0.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water capacity: Very low (about 1.7 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified
Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6e
Hydrologic Soil Group: D
Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Glenelg

Percent of map unit: 10 percent
Hydric soil rating: No

Occoquan

Percent of map unit: 5 percent
Hydric soil rating: No

Baile

Percent of map unit: 5 percent
Landform: Flats
Hydric soil rating: Yes

54A—Hatboro silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes, frequently flooded

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: kx9f
Elevation: 200 to 600 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 40 to 50 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 52 to 57 degrees F
Frost-free period: 180 to 210 days
Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Hatboro and similar soils: 100 percent
Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Hatboro

Setting

Landform: Channels on flood plains
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Parent material: Mica bearing loamy alluvium

Typical profile

Oi - 0 to 2 inches: slightly decomposed plant material
A - 2 to 8 inches: silt loam
Bg - 8 to 18 inches: silt loam
Cg - 18 to 66 inches: loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 3 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Poorly drained
Runoff class: Very high
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high
(0.60 to 2.00 in/hr)

Custom Soil Resource Report

Depth to water table: About 0 to 10 inches
Frequency of flooding: Frequent
Frequency of ponding: Frequent
Available water capacity: Very high (about 12.2 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified
Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 5w
Hydrologic Soil Group: B/D
Hydric soil rating: Yes

66UB—Wheaton-Urban land complex, 0 to 8 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: kxb6
Elevation: 200 to 2,000 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 7 to 50 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 45 to 57 degrees F
Frost-free period: 120 to 240 days
Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Wheaton and similar soils: 50 percent
Urban land: 30 percent
Minor components: 20 percent
Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Wheaton

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 6 inches: silt loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 8 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high
(0.57 to 1.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water capacity: Very low (about 1.0 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified
Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 2e
Hydrologic Soil Group: B
Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Baile

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Landform: Flats

Hydric soil rating: Yes

Glenville

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Hydric soil rating: No

Blocktown

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Hydric soil rating: No

Brinklow

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Hydric soil rating: No

66UC—Wheaton-Urban land complex, 8 to 15 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: kxb7

Elevation: 200 to 2,000 feet

Mean annual precipitation: 7 to 50 inches

Mean annual air temperature: 45 to 57 degrees F

Frost-free period: 120 to 240 days

Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Wheaton and similar soils: 50 percent

Urban land: 30 percent

Minor components: 20 percent

Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Wheaton

Setting

Landform: Ridges, hills, interfluves, knolls

Down-slope shape: Linear, convex

Across-slope shape: Convex, linear

Parent material: Human transported material derived from gneiss

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 6 inches: silt loam

H2 - 6 to 68 inches: channery loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 8 to 15 percent

Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches

Drainage class: Well drained

Custom Soil Resource Report

Runoff class: Medium

Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high
(0.57 to 1.98 in/hr)

Depth to water table: More than 80 inches

Frequency of flooding: None

Frequency of ponding: None

Available water capacity: High (about 9.1 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified

Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3e

Hydrologic Soil Group: B

Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Brinklow

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Hydric soil rating: No

Blocktown

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Hydric soil rating: No

Glenville

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Hydric soil rating: No

Baile

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Landform: Flats

Hydric soil rating: Yes

W—Census water

Map Unit Composition

Water: 100 percent

Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

References

- American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.
- American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.
- Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.
- Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.
- Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.
- Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.
- National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.
- Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_054262
- Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053577
- Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053580
- Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.
- United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.
- United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/home/?cid=nrcs142p2_053374
- United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. <http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084>

Custom Soil Resource Report

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_052290.pdf

Cabin Branch Stream and Wetland Restoration Design Report

Cabin Branch and Unnamed Tributaries

Montgomery County, Maryland



Appendix B: BANCS Summary

NUTRIENT REDUCTION SUMMARY

Site Name:

RFP-2 Cabin Branch

50% Efficiency			
	TSS	P	N
(Left and Right Banks)	ton/year	lbs/year	lbs/year
Cabin Branch Upper	109.50	114.98	249.66
Cabin Branch Lower	164.00	172.20	373.92
Tributary 4	78.20	82.10	178.28
Sum	351.70	369.28	801.86

Urban Stream Restoration Load Reduction

Based on September 2014 Expert Panel Report and DEQ Chesapeake Bay TMDL Special Condition Guidance March 2015

Step 1: Estimate Stream Erosion Rates

	Cabin Branch Upper		Cabin Branch Lower		Tributary 4	
	Left Bank	Right Bank	Left Bank	Right Bank	Left Bank	Right Bank
Total Annual Load (TSS ton/year)	107.53	111.47	157.80	170.20	65.36	91.03

Step 2: Convert Stream Bank Erosion Rates to Nutrient Loads

Default concentrations of P and N may be used. Use site specific or NRCS data for Bulk Density.

Soil Data	Bulk Density lbs/cf	P lbs/ton	N lbs/ton
Rates (Cabin Branch)	84.3	1.05	2.28
Rates (Trib 4)	89.3	1.05	2.28

	Cabin Branch Upper		Cabin Branch Lower		Tributary 4	
	Left Bank	Right Bank	Left Bank	Right Bank	Left Bank	Right Bank
P lbs/year	112.91	117.04	165.69	178.71	68.63	95.58
N lbs/year	245.17	254.15	359.78	388.06	149.02	207.55

Step 3: Estimate Stream Restoration Efficiency

The efficiency of the stream restoration at reducing loads from bank erosion are applied to the estimate annual load to determine the actual load reduction or "prevented sediment".

Default Rate 50% Efficiency per Expert Panel Report

Cabin Branch Upper	(Left and Right Bank)			
Total Load Reduction for Project	Restoration	TSS	P	N
	Efficiencies	ton/year	lbs/year	lbs/year
	50%	109.50	114.98	249.66

Cabin Branch Lower	(Left and Right Bank)			
Total Load Reduction for Project	Restoration	TSS	P	N
	Efficiencies	ton/year	lbs/year	lbs/year
	50%	164.00	172.20	373.92

Tributary 4	(Left and Right Bank)			
Total Load Reduction for Project	Restoration	TSS	P	N
	Efficiencies	ton/year	lbs/year	lbs/year
	50%	78.20	82.10	178.28

Worksheet 3-13. Summary form of annual streambank erosion estimates for various study reaches.

Str: RFP-2 Cabin Branch				Location: Cabin Branch Upper								
Graph				Total Stream Length (ft):					Date:			
Ob:				Valley Type:			Stream Type:					
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	
Station (ft)	BEHI rating	NBS rating	Bank erosion rate (Hickey Curves) (ft/yr)	Length of bank (ft)	Study bank height (ft)	Erosion subtotal [(4)×(5)×(6)] (ft³/yr)	Erosion subtotal (tons/yr)	Phosphorous Concentration(lb per ton of sediment)	Phosphorous Loading (lbs/yr)	Nitrogen Concentration(lb per ton of sediment)	Nitrogen Loading (lbs/yr)	
1341L	Moderate	Low	0.13	321.94	4.60	185.11	7.80	1.05	8.19	2.28	17.79	
1342L	Very Low	Low	0.00	284.64	5.40	0.00	0.00	1.05	0.00	2.28	0.00	
1345L	Moderate	Low	0.13	260.01	6.10	198.26	8.36	1.05	8.77	2.28	19.05	
1347L	Very Low	Low	0.00	217.56	6.50	0.00	0.00	1.05	0.00	2.28	0.00	
1351L	Moderate	Low	0.13	58.04	3.80	27.57	1.16	1.05	1.22	2.28	2.65	
1353L	Moderate	Low	0.13	121.31	5.20	78.85	3.32	1.05	3.49	2.28	7.58	
1355L	High	Moderate	0.64	28.31	5.00	90.59	3.82	1.05	4.01	2.28	8.71	
1356L	Very Low	Low	0.00	68.88	3.50	0.00	0.00	1.05	0.00	2.28	0.00	
1357L	High	Low	0.40	183.02	4.00	292.84	12.34	1.05	12.96	2.28	28.14	
1359L	High	Moderate	0.64	89.31	5.30	302.94	12.77	1.05	13.41	2.28	29.11	
1361L	Moderate	Low	0.13	84.36	4.50	47.45	2.00	1.05	2.10	2.28	4.56	
1362L	Very High	Moderate	0.64	93.38	5.10	304.79	12.85	1.05	13.49	2.28	29.29	
1365L	Moderate	Low	0.13	71.91	3.20	28.77	1.21	1.05	1.27	2.28	2.76	
1367L	High	Moderate	0.64	70.43	6.20	279.45	11.78	1.05	12.37	2.28	26.86	
1368L	Moderate	Low	0.13	98.20	3.50	42.96	1.81	1.05	1.90	2.28	4.13	
1370L	High	Moderate	0.64	71.48	6.20	283.64	11.96	1.05	12.55	2.28	27.26	
1372L	Moderate	Low	0.13	268.94	4.00	134.47	5.67	1.05	5.95	2.28	12.92	
1376L	Very Low	Low	0.00	130.21	4.20	0.00	0.00	1.05	0.00	2.28	0.00	
1377L	Moderate	Low	0.13	170.45	4.00	85.22	3.59	1.05	3.77	2.28	8.19	
1380L	High	Low	0.40	210.20	2.00	168.16	7.09	1.05	7.44	2.28	16.16	
LEFT BANK TOTALS				2902.57			107.53		112.90		245.16	
1340R	Moderate	Low	0.13	344.04	5.50	236.53	9.97	1.05	10.47	2.28	22.73	
1343R	Very Low	Low	0.00	130.52	5.70	0.00	0.00	1.05	0.00	2.28	0.00	
1344R	Moderate	Low	0.13	349.22	6.10	266.28	11.22	1.05	11.79	2.28	25.59	
1348R	High	Moderate	0.64	37.62	4.50	108.34	4.57	1.05	4.79	2.28	10.41	
1350R	Moderate	Low	0.13	154.88	4.50	87.12	3.67	1.05	3.86	2.28	8.37	
1352R	Moderate	Low	0.13	75.88	2.10	19.92	0.84	1.05	0.88	2.28	1.91	
1354R	High	Moderate	0.64	105.14	4.70	316.26	13.33	1.05	14.00	2.28	30.39	
1356R	Very Low	Low	0.00	68.88	3.50	0.00	0.00	1.05	0.00	2.28	0.00	
1358R	Moderate	Low	0.13	250.58	3.50	109.63	4.62	1.05	4.85	2.28	10.54	
1360R	Moderate	Moderate	0.30	53.21	5.30	84.61	3.57	1.05	3.74	2.28	8.13	
1363R	Moderate	Low	0.13	118.42	2.50	37.01	1.56	1.05	1.64	2.28	3.56	
1364R	High	Moderate	0.64	67.73	4.20	182.06	7.67	1.05	8.06	2.28	17.50	
1366R	Moderate	Low	0.13	125.53	2.30	36.09	1.52	1.05	1.60	2.28	3.47	
1369R	High	Moderate	0.64	40.92	5.20	136.20	5.74	1.05	6.03	2.28	13.09	
1371R	Moderate	Low	0.13	131.70	2.90	47.74	2.01	1.05	2.11	2.28	4.59	
1373R	High	Moderate	0.64	133.53	4.10	350.39	14.77	1.05	15.51	2.28	33.67	
1375R	Moderate	Low	0.13	133.72	4.50	75.22	3.17	1.05	3.33	2.28	7.23	
1376R	Very Low	Low	0.00	130.21	4.20	0.00	0.00	1.05	0.00	2.28	0.00	
1378R	High	Low	0.40	167.67	4.50	301.80	12.72	1.05	13.36	2.28	29.00	
1379R	High	Moderate	0.64	92.80	4.20	249.46	10.51	1.05	11.04	2.28	23.97	
RIGHT BANK TOTALS				2712.20			111.47		117.05		254.16	

Worksheet 3-13. Summary form of annual streambank erosion estimates for various study reaches.

Str: RFP-2 Cabin Branch				Location: Cabin Branch Lower								
Graph				Total Stream Length (ft):					Date:			
Ob:				Valley Type:			Stream Type:					
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	
Station (ft)	BEHI rating	NBS rating	Bank erosion rate (Hickey Curves) (ft/yr)	Length of bank (ft)	Study bank height (ft)	Erosion subtotal [(4)×(5)×(6)] (ft ³ /yr)	Erosion subtotal (tons/yr)	Phosphorous Concentration(lb per ton of sediment)	Phosphorous Loading (lbs/yr)	Nitrogen Concentration(lb per ton of sediment)	Nitrogen Loading (lbs/yr)	
1382L	Low	Low	0.02	268.69	2.00	10.75	0.45	1.05	0.48	2.28	1.03	
1383L	High	Low	0.40	143.29	5.50	315.25	13.29	1.05	13.95	2.28	30.30	
1404L	High	Moderate	0.64	141.28	4.20	379.76	16.01	1.05	16.81	2.28	36.50	
1409L	Moderate	Low	0.13	306.26	6.20	237.35	10.00	1.05	10.50	2.28	22.81	
1412L	High	Moderate	0.64	154.18	6.40	631.54	26.62	1.05	27.95	2.28	60.69	
1415L	Moderate	Low	0.13	165.15	4.40	90.83	3.83	1.05	4.02	2.28	8.73	
1417L	High	Moderate	0.64	258.95	4.20	696.06	29.34	1.05	30.81	2.28	66.89	
1419L	Moderate	Low	0.13	336.63	3.00	126.24	5.32	1.05	5.59	2.28	12.13	
1421L	High	Moderate	0.64	35.82	3.30	75.66	3.19	1.05	3.35	2.28	7.27	
1422L	Moderate	Moderate	0.30	150.90	2.80	126.76	5.34	1.05	5.61	2.28	12.18	
1424L	Moderate	Low	0.13	41.73	3.30	17.21	0.73	1.05	0.76	2.28	1.65	
1425L	Very High	Moderate	0.64	90.99	5.00	291.16	12.27	1.05	12.89	2.28	27.98	
1427L	Moderate	Low	0.13	36.86	2.40	11.06	0.47	1.05	0.49	2.28	1.06	
1429L	High	Moderate	0.64	215.37	4.40	606.48	25.56	1.05	26.84	2.28	58.28	
1432L	Moderate	Low	0.13	56.77	3.50	24.84	1.05	1.05	1.10	2.28	2.39	
1435L	High	Moderate	0.64	32.17	5.00	102.95	4.34	1.05	4.56	2.28	9.89	
1436L	Very Low	Low	0.00	79.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.05	0.00	2.28	0.00	
LEFT BANK TOTALS				2514.96			157.80		165.69		359.79	
1381R	High	Low	0.40	134.93	4.50	242.88	10.24	1.05	10.75	2.28	23.34	
1403R	High	Moderate	0.64	78.97	5.00	252.70	10.65	1.05	11.18	2.28	24.28	
1405R	High	Moderate	0.64	77.37	4.80	237.69	10.02	1.05	10.52	2.28	22.84	
1407R	High	Moderate	0.64	421.05	4.00	1077.88	45.43	1.05	47.70	2.28	103.59	
1410R	Very Low	Low	0.00	208.73	4.50	0.00	0.00	1.05	0.00	2.28	0.00	
1411R	Moderate	Low	0.13	61.99	5.00	38.75	1.63	1.05	1.71	2.28	3.72	
1413R	Very Low	Low	0.00	56.24	5.70	0.00	0.00	1.05	0.00	2.28	0.00	
1414R	Moderate	Low	0.13	85.32	6.10	65.06	2.74	1.05	2.88	2.28	6.25	
1416R	Moderate	Low	0.13	177.85	5.00	111.16	4.69	1.05	4.92	2.28	10.68	
1418R	High	Moderate	0.64	167.64	4.00	429.16	18.09	1.05	18.99	2.28	41.24	
1420R	Moderate	Low	0.13	342.45	3.80	162.66	6.86	1.05	7.20	2.28	15.63	
1423R	High	Moderate	0.64	196.97	4.10	516.85	21.79	1.05	22.87	2.28	49.67	
1426R	High	Moderate	0.64	47.75	3.00	91.68	3.86	1.05	4.06	2.28	8.81	
1428R	Very High	Moderate	0.64	47.94	5.20	159.55	6.72	1.05	7.06	2.28	15.33	
1430R	Moderate	Low	0.13	31.51	3.90	15.36	0.65	1.05	0.68	2.28	1.48	
1431R	High	Moderate	0.64	179.54	4.10	471.11	19.86	1.05	20.85	2.28	45.27	
1433R	Moderate	Low	0.13	41.37	4.00	20.69	0.87	1.05	0.92	2.28	1.99	
1434R	High	Moderate	0.64	52.60	4.30	144.76	6.10	1.05	6.41	2.28	13.91	
1436R	Very Low	Low	0.00	79.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.05	0.00	2.28	0.00	
RIGHT BANK TOTALS				2490.13			170.20		178.71		388.05	

Worksheet 3-13. Summary form of annual streambank erosion estimates for various study reaches.

Stream: RFP-2 Cabin Branch				Location: Tributary 4								
Graph				Total Stream Length (ft):					Date:			
Ob:				Valley Type:			Stream Type:					
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	
Station (ft)	BEHI rating	NBS rating	Bank erosion rate (Hickey Curves) (ft/yr)	Length of bank (ft)	Study bank height (ft)	Erosion subtotal [(4)×(5)×(6)] (ft ³ /yr)	Erosion subtotal (tons/yr)	Phosphorous Concentration (lb per ton of sediment)	Phosphorous Loading (lbs/yr)	Nitrogen Concentration (lb per ton of sediment)	Nitrogen Loading (lbs/yr)	
1385L	High	Moderate	0.64	144.56	5.20	481.09	21.48	1.05	22.55	2.28	48.98	
1386L	Moderate	Low	0.13	100.02	1.70	21.25	0.95	1.05	1.00	2.28	2.16	
1387L	High	Moderate	0.64	50.52	3.70	119.62	5.34	1.05	5.61	2.28	12.18	
1389L	Moderate	Low	0.13	13.48	1.40	2.36	0.11	1.05	0.11	2.28	0.24	
1391L	Very High	Moderate	0.64	52.90	4.30	145.58	6.50	1.05	6.83	2.28	14.82	
1393L	High	Moderate	0.64	38.97	5.00	124.70	5.57	1.05	5.85	2.28	12.69	
1394L	High	Low	0.40	54.75	2.50	54.75	2.44	1.05	2.57	2.28	5.57	
1396L	High	Moderate	0.64	93.63	4.70	281.63	12.57	1.05	13.20	2.28	28.67	
1401L	High	Moderate	0.64	165.38	2.20	232.86	10.40	1.05	10.92	2.28	23.71	
LEFT BANK TOTALS						714.20		65.36		68.63		149.02
1385R	High	Moderate	0.64	144.56	5.20	481.09	21.48	1.05	22.55	2.28	48.98	
1388R	High	Low	0.40	33.32	1.60	21.33	0.95	1.05	1.00	2.28	2.17	
1390R	Very High	Moderate	0.64	37.21	6.10	145.25	6.49	1.05	6.81	2.28	14.79	
1392R	High	Low	0.40	41.79	2.20	36.78	1.64	1.05	1.72	2.28	3.74	
1393R	High	Moderate	0.64	38.97	5.00	124.70	5.57	1.05	5.85	2.28	12.69	
1395R	Very High	Moderate	0.64	72.22	5.10	235.73	10.53	1.05	11.05	2.28	24.00	
1397R	High	Low	0.40	171.13	2.00	136.90	6.11	1.05	6.42	2.28	13.94	
1398R	High	Moderate	0.64	371.53	2.80	665.79	29.73	1.05	31.21	2.28	67.78	
1400R	High	Low	0.40	271.13	1.60	173.52	7.75	1.05	8.14	2.28	17.66	
1402R	High	Moderate	0.64	13.10	2.10	17.61	0.79	1.05	0.83	2.28	1.79	
RIGHT BANK TOTALS						1194.96		91.03		95.58		207.54

Cabin Branch Stream and Wetland Restoration Design Report

Cabin Branch and Unnamed Tributaries

Montgomery County, Maryland



Appendix C: NOAA Atlas 14 Documentation, TR-55 and Outputs TR-20 Outputs



NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 2, Version 3
 Location name: Montgomery Village, Maryland,
 USA*
 Latitude: 39.1773°, Longitude: -77.1994°
 Elevation: 366.33 ft**
 * source: ESRI Maps
 ** source: USGS



POINT PRECIPITATION FREQUENCY ESTIMATES

G.M. Bonnin, D. Martin, B. Lin, T. Parzybok, M. Yekta, and D. Riley

NOAA, National Weather Service, Silver Spring, Maryland

[PF tabular](#) | [PF graphical](#) | [Maps & aeriels](#)

PF tabular



PDS-based point precipitation frequency estimates with 90% confidence intervals (in inches) ¹										
Duration	Average recurrence interval (years)									
	1	2	5	10	25	50	100	200	500	1000
5-min	0.341 (0.309-0.377)	0.408 (0.370-0.451)	0.486 (0.439-0.537)	0.543 (0.490-0.599)	0.616 (0.551-0.679)	0.670 (0.597-0.739)	0.724 (0.641-0.799)	0.775 (0.682-0.859)	0.842 (0.734-0.937)	0.895 (0.774-0.999)
10-min	0.545 (0.494-0.602)	0.653 (0.591-0.721)	0.779 (0.704-0.859)	0.869 (0.784-0.958)	0.981 (0.878-1.08)	1.07 (0.950-1.18)	1.15 (1.02-1.27)	1.23 (1.08-1.36)	1.33 (1.16-1.48)	1.41 (1.22-1.57)
15-min	0.682 (0.617-0.753)	0.821 (0.743-0.906)	0.985 (0.890-1.09)	1.10 (0.991-1.21)	1.24 (1.11-1.37)	1.35 (1.20-1.49)	1.45 (1.29-1.61)	1.55 (1.37-1.72)	1.68 (1.46-1.87)	1.77 (1.53-1.97)
30-min	0.934 (0.846-1.03)	1.13 (1.03-1.25)	1.40 (1.26-1.54)	1.59 (1.44-1.76)	1.84 (1.65-2.03)	2.03 (1.81-2.24)	2.23 (1.97-2.46)	2.41 (2.13-2.67)	2.67 (2.33-2.97)	2.86 (2.48-3.20)
60-min	1.17 (1.06-1.29)	1.42 (1.29-1.57)	1.79 (1.62-1.98)	2.07 (1.87-2.29)	2.45 (2.20-2.70)	2.76 (2.46-3.04)	3.07 (2.72-3.39)	3.39 (2.98-3.75)	3.83 (3.34-4.26)	4.18 (3.62-4.67)
2-hr	1.37 (1.24-1.52)	1.67 (1.52-1.85)	2.12 (1.92-2.34)	2.48 (2.23-2.73)	2.98 (2.67-3.28)	3.39 (3.02-3.74)	3.84 (3.39-4.23)	4.30 (3.77-4.75)	4.98 (4.31-5.52)	5.53 (4.74-6.17)
3-hr	1.48 (1.34-1.64)	1.80 (1.62-1.99)	2.28 (2.06-2.53)	2.66 (2.39-2.95)	3.22 (2.87-3.56)	3.68 (3.26-4.07)	4.17 (3.67-4.62)	4.70 (4.10-5.22)	5.47 (4.70-6.09)	6.11 (5.19-6.83)
6-hr	1.83 (1.66-2.04)	2.21 (2.00-2.46)	2.79 (2.52-3.10)	3.27 (2.94-3.63)	3.99 (3.55-4.42)	4.60 (4.06-5.09)	5.26 (4.61-5.83)	5.98 (5.19-6.65)	7.06 (6.02-7.89)	7.97 (6.71-8.94)
12-hr	2.23 (2.01-2.51)	2.69 (2.42-3.02)	3.41 (3.06-3.83)	4.03 (3.59-4.52)	4.97 (4.39-5.57)	5.80 (5.07-6.49)	6.73 (5.81-7.54)	7.77 (6.62-8.72)	9.35 (7.81-10.5)	10.7 (8.81-12.1)
24-hr	2.57 (2.35-2.86)	3.11 (2.84-3.45)	3.99 (3.64-4.43)	4.77 (4.33-5.28)	5.97 (5.38-6.57)	7.04 (6.28-7.71)	8.24 (7.28-9.00)	9.61 (8.39-10.5)	11.7 (10.1-12.7)	13.6 (11.5-14.7)
2-day	2.99 (2.72-3.31)	3.61 (3.29-4.01)	4.63 (4.21-5.14)	5.50 (4.99-6.10)	6.81 (6.14-7.53)	7.96 (7.12-8.78)	9.23 (8.19-10.2)	10.7 (9.36-11.7)	12.8 (11.1-14.1)	14.6 (12.5-16.1)
3-day	3.16 (2.88-3.50)	3.82 (3.49-4.24)	4.89 (4.45-5.42)	5.81 (5.27-6.43)	7.19 (6.48-7.93)	8.39 (7.51-9.24)	9.72 (8.63-10.7)	11.2 (9.86-12.3)	13.5 (11.7-14.8)	15.4 (13.2-16.9)
4-day	3.33 (3.05-3.70)	4.03 (3.68-4.47)	5.15 (4.70-5.71)	6.11 (5.56-6.76)	7.56 (6.82-8.33)	8.82 (7.90-9.71)	10.2 (9.07-11.2)	11.8 (10.4-12.9)	14.1 (12.2-15.5)	16.1 (13.8-17.8)
7-day	3.87 (3.55-4.25)	4.66 (4.28-5.11)	5.88 (5.41-6.46)	6.93 (6.35-7.60)	8.51 (7.74-9.29)	9.87 (8.92-10.8)	11.4 (10.2-12.4)	13.0 (11.6-14.2)	15.5 (13.6-16.9)	17.6 (15.3-19.3)
10-day	4.42 (4.07-4.84)	5.31 (4.89-5.82)	6.63 (6.09-7.25)	7.73 (7.09-8.45)	9.34 (8.52-10.2)	10.7 (9.70-11.7)	12.1 (10.9-13.2)	13.7 (12.3-15.0)	16.0 (14.1-17.5)	18.0 (15.7-19.6)
20-day	5.98 (5.55-6.47)	7.12 (6.61-7.69)	8.60 (7.97-9.29)	9.81 (9.08-10.6)	11.5 (10.6-12.4)	12.8 (11.8-13.8)	14.2 (13.0-15.3)	15.7 (14.3-16.9)	17.7 (16.0-19.1)	19.4 (17.4-20.9)
30-day	7.37 (6.89-7.90)	8.71 (8.15-9.35)	10.4 (9.68-11.1)	11.7 (10.9-12.5)	13.5 (12.6-14.5)	15.0 (13.9-16.0)	16.5 (15.2-17.6)	18.0 (16.5-19.3)	20.1 (18.3-21.5)	21.7 (19.7-23.3)
45-day	9.25 (8.69-9.86)	10.9 (10.3-11.6)	12.7 (12.0-13.6)	14.2 (13.3-15.1)	16.0 (15.0-17.1)	17.4 (16.3-18.6)	18.8 (17.6-20.1)	20.2 (18.8-21.5)	22.0 (20.4-23.5)	23.3 (21.5-24.9)
60-day	11.0 (10.4-11.7)	13.0 (12.2-13.7)	15.0 (14.1-15.9)	16.5 (15.5-17.5)	18.5 (17.4-19.6)	19.9 (18.7-21.1)	21.3 (20.0-22.6)	22.7 (21.2-24.1)	24.4 (22.7-25.9)	25.6 (23.7-27.2)

¹ Precipitation frequency (PF) estimates in this table are based on frequency analysis of partial duration series (PDS).
 Numbers in parenthesis are PF estimates at lower and upper bounds of the 90% confidence interval. The probability that precipitation frequency estimates (for a given duration and average recurrence interval) will be greater than the upper bound (or less than the lower bound) is 5%. Estimates at upper bounds are not checked against probable maximum precipitation (PMP) estimates and may be higher than currently valid PMP values.
 Please refer to NOAA Atlas 14 document for more information.

[Back to Top](#)

PF graphical

PDS-based depth-duration-frequency (DDF) curves
Latitude: 39.1773°, Longitude: -77.1994°



[Back to Top](#)

Maps & aerals

Small scale terrain




Large scale terrain



Large scale map



Large scale aerial



[Back to Top](#)

KJA

Cabin Branch
MD SHA Cabin Branch SUBS 1-9
Montgomery NOAA_C County, Maryland

Sub-Area Land Use and Curve Number Details

Sub-Area Identifier	Land Use	Hydrologic Soil Group	Sub-Area Area (ac)	Curve Number
SUB DA1	Paved; curbs and storm sewers	B	216	98
	Industrial	B	335	88
	Industrial	C	1	91
	Industrial	D	66	93
	Residential districts (1/8 acre)	B	178	85
	Residential districts (1/8 acre)	C	7	90
	Residential districts (1/8 acre)	D	20	92
	Residential districts (1/4 acre)	B	446	75
	Residential districts (1/4 acre)	C	14	83
	Residential districts (1/4 acre)	D	65	87
	Residential districts (1/2 acre)	B	709	70
	Residential districts (1/2 acre)	C	43	80
	Residential districts (1/2 acre)	D	117	85
	Residential districts (1 acre)	B	24	68
	Residential districts (1 acre)	D	11	84
	Total Area / Weighted Curve Number		2252	80
			====	==
SUB DA2	Paved; curbs and storm sewers	B	6	98
	Commercial & business	B	6	92
	Industrial	B	5	88
	Residential districts (1/8 acre)	B	69	85
	Residential districts (1/8 acre)	D	2	92
	Residential districts (1/2 acre)	B	9	70
	Total Area / Weighted Curve Number		97	85
			==	==
SUB DA3	Paved; curbs and storm sewers	B	3	98
	Paved; curbs and storm sewers	C	1	98
	Paved; curbs and storm sewers	D	1	98
	Residential districts (1/8 acre)	B	54	85
	Residential districts (1/8 acre)	C	10	90
	Residential districts (1/8 acre)	D	11	92
	Residential districts (1/2 acre)	B	9	70
	Residential districts (1/2 acre)	D	1	85
	Total Area / Weighted Curve Number		90	86
			==	==
SUB DA4B	Paved; curbs and storm sewers	B	1.2	98
	Paved; curbs and storm sewers	D	.49	98
	Residential districts (1/8 acre)	B	44.85	85
	Residential districts (1/8 acre)	C	2	90
	Residential districts (1/8 acre)	D	11.46	92
	Total Area / Weighted Curve Number		60	87
			==	==
SUB DA5	Paved; curbs and storm sewers	B	9	98
	Commercial & business	B	3	92
	Commercial & business	D	4	95
	Residential districts (1/8 acre)	B	33	85
	Residential districts (1/8 acre)	D	1	92
	Residential districts (1/4 acre)	B	25	75
	Residential districts (1/2 acre)	B	8	70
	Residential districts (1/2 acre)	D	2	85

KJA

Cabin Branch
MD SHA Cabin Branch SUBS 1-9
Montgomery NOAA_C County, Maryland

Sub-Area Land Use and Curve Number Details (continued)

Sub-Area Identifier	Land Use	Hydrologic Soil Group	Sub-Area Area (ac)	Curve Number
-----				-----
	Total Area / Weighted Curve Number		85 ==	83 ==
SUB DA6A	Paved; curbs and storm sewers	B	1.43	98
	Paved; curbs and storm sewers	C	.85	98
	Paved; curbs and storm sewers	D	.36	98
	Residential districts (1/8 acre)	B	23.47	85
	Residential districts (1/8 acre)	C	.86	90
	Residential districts (1/8 acre)	D	2.91	92
	Residential districts (1/2 acre)	B	.12	70
	Total Area / Weighted Curve Number		30 ==	87 ==
SUB DA7	Commercial & business	B	3	92
	Commercial & business	D	5	95
	Residential districts (1/8 acre)	B	2	85
	Residential districts (1/8 acre)	C	2	90
	Residential districts (1/8 acre)	D	2	92
	Residential districts (1/2 acre)	B	1	70
	Residential districts (1/2 acre)	C	1	80
	Residential districts (1/2 acre)	D	3	85
	Total Area / Weighted Curve Number		19 ==	89 ==
SUB DA8	Paved; curbs and storm sewers	B	2	98
	Paved; curbs and storm sewers	D	2	98
	Commercial & business	B	12	92
	Commercial & business	D	7	95
	Residential districts (1/8 acre)	B	16	85
	Residential districts (1/8 acre)	D	2	92
	Residential districts (1/2 acre)	B	5	70
	Residential districts (1/2 acre)	D	2	85
	Residential districts (1 acre)	D	1	84
	Total Area / Weighted Curve Number		49 ==	88 ==
SUB DA9	Paved; curbs and storm sewers	B	4	98
	Paved; curbs and storm sewers	D	4	98
	Residential districts (1/8 acre)	B	16	85
	Residential districts (1/8 acre)	C	4	90
	Residential districts (1/8 acre)	D	16	92
	Residential districts (1/4 acre)	B	27	75
	Residential districts (1/4 acre)	C	23	83
	Residential districts (1/4 acre)	D	1	87
	Residential districts (1/2 acre)	B	2	70
	Residential districts (1 acre)	B	2	68
	Total Area / Weighted Curve Number		99 ==	84 ==
SUB DA4A	Paved; curbs and storm sewers	B	.8	98
	Paved; curbs and storm sewers	D	.51	98
	Residential districts (1/8 acre)	B	7.15	85
	Residential districts (1/8 acre)	D	.54	92

KJA

Cabin Branch
MD SHA Cabin Branch SUBS 1-9
Montgomery NOAA_C County, Maryland

Sub-Area Land Use and Curve Number Details (continued)

Sub-Area Identifier	Land Use	Hydrologic Soil Group	Sub-Area Area (ac)	Curve Number
-----				-----
Total Area / Weighted Curve Number			9	87
			=	==

KJA

Cabin Branch
MD SHA Cabin Branch SUBS 6B
Montgomery NOAA_C County, Maryland

Sub-Area Land Use and Curve Number Details

Sub-Area Identifier	Land Use	Hydrologic Soil Group	Sub-Area Area (ac)	Curve Number
SUB DA6B	Paved; curbs and storm sewers	B	4.74	98
	Paved; curbs and storm sewers	C	.32	98
	Paved; curbs and storm sewers	D	.85	98
	Commercial & business	B	.28	92
	Commercial & business	C	.16	94
	Commercial & business	D	2.32	95
	Residential districts (1/8 acre)	B	.13	85
	Residential districts (1 acre)	B	.2	68
	Total Area / Weighted Curve Number		9	96
			=	==

KJA

Cabin Branch
MD SHA Cabin Branch SUBS 1-9
Montgomery NOAA_C County, Maryland

Sub-Area Time of Concentration Details

Sub-Area Identifier/	Flow Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Mannings's n	End Area (sq ft)	Wetted Perimeter (ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Travel Time (hr)	

SUB DA1								
SHEET	100	0.0105	0.240				0.312	A1-B1
SHALLOW	613	0.0580	0.050				0.044	B1-C1
CHANNEL	18437					3.500	1.463	C1-D1
							Time of Concentration	1.819
							=====	
SUB DA2								
SHEET	100	0.0130	0.240				0.287	A2-B2
SHALLOW	1141	0.0260	0.050				0.122	B2-C2
SHALLOW	833	0.0260	0.025				0.071	C2-D2
CHANNEL	2206					3.500	0.175	D2-E2
							Time of Concentration	.655
							=====	
SUB DA3								
SHEET	100	0.0100	0.240				0.318	A3-B3
SHALLOW	337	0.0180	0.025				0.034	B3-C3
SHALLOW	2360	0.0230	0.050				0.268	B3-C3
CHANNEL	240					8.000	0.008	C3-D3
CHANNEL	1485					3.500	0.118	C3-D3
							Time of Concentration	0.746
							=====	
SUB DA4B								
SHEET	100	0.0210	0.240				0.237	A4B-B4B
SHALLOW	420	0.0250	0.050				0.046	B4B-C4B
CHANNEL	1331					3.500	0.106	C4B-D4B,E4B-F4B
CHANNEL	580					8.000	0.020	D4B-E4B
							Time of Concentration	.409
							=====	
SUB DA5								
SHEET	100	0.0570	0.240				0.159	A5-B5
SHALLOW	120	0.0890	0.050				0.007	B5-C5
SHALLOW	1000	0.1030	0.025				0.043	B5-C5
CHANNEL	642					8.000	0.022	C5-D5
CHANNEL	1647					3.500	0.131	C5-D5
							Time of Concentration	.362
							=====	
SUB DA6A								
SHEET	100	0.0040	0.240				0.459	A6A-B6A
SHALLOW	320	0.0160	0.025				0.035	B6A-C6A
SHALLOW	256	0.0500	0.050				0.020	D6A-E6A
CHANNEL	1525					8.000	0.053	C6A-D6A
CHANNEL	278					3.500	0.022	E6A-F6A
							Time of Concentration	.589
							=====	
SUB DA7								
SHEET	100	0.0500	0.240				0.167	A7-B7

KJA

Cabin Branch
MD SHA Cabin Branch SUBS 1-9
Montgomery NOAA_C County, Maryland

Sub-Area Time of Concentration Details (continued)

Sub-Area Identifier/	Flow Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Mannings's n	End Area (sq ft)	Wetted Perimeter (ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Travel Time (hr)	
SHALLOW	947	0.0440	0.050				0.078	B7-C7
CHANNEL	919					3.500	0.073	C7-D7
Time of Concentration							.318	
							=====	
SUB DA8								
SHEET	100	0.0150	0.240				0.271	A8-B8
SHALLOW	392	0.0800	0.050				0.024	B8-C8,D8-E8
SHALLOW	124	0.0500	0.025				0.008	B8-C8,D8-E8
CHANNEL	700					8.000	0.024	C8-D8
CHANNEL	1346					3.500	0.107	E8-F8
Time of Concentration							.434	
							=====	
SUB DA9								
SHEET	100	0.0600	0.240				0.155	A9-B9
SHALLOW	870	0.0500	0.050				0.067	B9-C9
SHALLOW	670	0.0380	0.025				0.047	C9-D9
CHANNEL	619					8.000	0.021	D9-E9
CHANNEL	1029					3.500	0.082	E9-F9
Time of Concentration							.372	
							=====	
SUB DA4A								
SHEET	100	0.0800	0.240				0.139	A4A-B4A
SHALLOW	313	0.0580	0.050				0.022	B4A-C4A
CHANNEL	446					3.500	0.035	C4A-D4A
CHANNEL	418					8.000	0.015	D4A-E4A
Time of Concentration							0.211	
							=====	

KJA

Cabin Branch
MD SHA Cabin Branch SUBS 6B
Montgomery NOAA_C County, Maryland

Sub-Area Time of Concentration Details

Sub-Area Identifier/	Flow Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Mannings's n	End Area (sq ft)	Wetted Perimeter (ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Travel Time (hr)	

SUB DA6B								
SHEET	100	0.0250	0.011				0.019	A6B-B6B
SHALLOW	556	0.0200	0.025				0.054	B6B-C6B
CHANNEL	1357					8.000	0.047	C6B-D6B
Time of Concentration							.12	
							=====	

WinTR-20: Version 3.20 0 0 0.5 0
NOAA 14 precipitation, smoothed values Ohio River Basin
Lat (dd): 39.1913 Lon (dd): -77.2120° MD Montgomery County

SUB-AREA:

DA 1	Reach 1	3.51875	80.	1.819	YY	Y
DA 2	Reach 1	0.1515625	85.	0.655	YY	Y
DA 3	Reach 2	0.1406250	86.	0.746	YY	Y
DA 5	Reach 3	0.1328125	83.	0.362	YY	Y
DA 7	Reach 4	0.0296875	89.	0.318	YY	Y
DA 8	Reach 4	0.0765625	88.	0.434	YY	Y
DA 9	Outlet	0.1546875	84.	0.372	YY	Y
DA 4A	Reach 2	0.0140625	87.	0.211		
DA 4B	Reach 2	0.0937500	87.	0.409		
DA 6A	Reach 3	0.0468750	87.	0.589		
DA 6B	Reach 3	0.0140625	96.	0.120		

STREAM REACH:

Reach 1	Reach 2	X-Sec 1	1628.	1628.
Reach 2	Reach 3	X-Sec 2	612.	612.
Reach 3	Reach 4	X-Sec 3	1143.	1143.
Reach 4	Outlet	X-Sec 4	1043.	1043.

STORM ANALYSIS:

2_yr_stm	3.11	2_yr_tbl	2
10_yr_stm	4.77	10_yr_tbl	2
100_yr_stm	8.24	100_yr_tbl	2

STREAM CROSS SECTION:

X-Sec 1	368.6				
	365.3	0.	0.	0.	0.001
	365.73	5.	6.03	14.61	.001269
	366.80	50.	23.59	18.24	0.001876
	367.45	100.	35.99	20.42	0.002167
	368.67	250.	63.55	24.58	0.002664
	369.98	500.	98.55	29.02	0.003130
	371.03	1000.	169.24	138.03	0.005036
	371.87	1662.	322.27	209.06	0.005227
	373.49	4389.	731.33	296.21	0.006555
X-Sec 2	362.56				
	360.3	0.	0.	0.	0.001
	360.67	5.	5.18	14.92	0.002151
	361.54	50.	19.94	18.77	0.003352
	361.94	100.	27.71	20.51	0.005081
	362.82	250.	47.38	24.37	0.006780
	363.90	500.	77.21	31.74	0.006800
	365.60	1000.	165.91	98.48	0.004687
	366.50	1662.	297.92	191.34	0.005184
	368.34	4389.	781.11	307.50	0.005501
X-Sec 3	350.18				
	347.3	0.	0.	0.	0.0003
	347.91	5.	6.76	12.30	0.000697
	348.98	50.	22.17	16.74	0.002065
	349.58	100.	33.16	19.30	0.002639
	350.64	250.	111.43	187.83	0.002687
	351.51	500.	279.05	198.51	0.001480
	352.34	1000.	448.67	208.77	0.001575
	353.12	1662.	615.35	218.37	0.001722
	354.98	4389.	1189.54	375.88	0.002635
X-Sec 4	348.59				
	345.40	0.	0.	0.	0.0003
	345.82	5.	1.92	9.19	0.030549
	347.80	50.	31.52	19.32	0.003101
	348.29	100.	41.52	21.48	0.000359
	348.92	250.	64.58	51.71	0.003440
	349.29	500.	87.04	69.65	0.008052
	350.39	1000.	203.42	140.10	0.006606
	351.09	1662.	312.51	170.96	0.007243
	352.95	4389.	836.90	350.89	0.006485

RAINFALL DISTRIBUTION:

2_yr_tbl

	0.1			
0.0	0.000133	0.000299	0.000497	0.000729
0.000993	0.00129	0.00162	0.00198	0.00238
0.00281	0.00327	0.00376	0.00429	0.00485
0.00544	0.00607	0.00673	0.00742	0.00814
0.00890	0.00969	0.01051	0.01137	0.01226
0.01318	0.01413	0.01512	0.01614	0.01719
0.01828	0.01940	0.02055	0.02173	0.02295
0.02420	0.02548	0.02680	0.02815	0.02953
0.03094	0.03239	0.03387	0.03538	0.03693
0.03851	0.04012	0.04176	0.04344	0.04515
0.04689	0.04867	0.05048	0.05232	0.05419
0.05610	0.05804	0.06001	0.06202	0.06406
0.06613	0.06823	0.07037	0.07254	0.07474
0.07698	0.07925	0.08155	0.08388	0.08625
0.08865	0.09108	0.09355	0.09605	0.09858
0.10114	0.10374	0.10637	0.10903	0.11173
0.11446	0.11722	0.12001	0.12284	0.12570
0.12859	0.13152	0.13448	0.13747	0.14049
0.14355	0.14768	0.15186	0.15610	0.16039
0.16474	0.16914	0.17360	0.17812	0.18269
0.18732	0.19200	0.19674	0.20153	0.20638
0.21129	0.21348	0.21652	0.22039	0.22510
0.23065	0.23703	0.24426	0.25232	0.26123
0.27097	0.28730	0.30700	0.33774	0.38419
0.46806	0.61581	0.66226	0.69300	0.71270
0.72903	0.73877	0.74768	0.75574	0.76297
0.76935	0.77490	0.77961	0.78348	0.78652
0.78871	0.79362	0.79847	0.80326	0.80800
0.81268	0.81731	0.82188	0.82640	0.83086
0.83526	0.83961	0.84390	0.84814	0.85232
0.85645	0.85951	0.86253	0.86552	0.86848
0.87141	0.87430	0.87716	0.87999	0.88278
0.88554	0.88827	0.89097	0.89363	0.89626
0.89886	0.90142	0.90395	0.90645	0.90892
0.91135	0.91375	0.91612	0.91845	0.92075
0.92302	0.92526	0.92746	0.92963	0.93177
0.93387	0.93594	0.93798	0.93999	0.94196
0.94390	0.94581	0.94768	0.94952	0.95133
0.95311	0.95485	0.95656	0.95824	0.95988
0.96149	0.96307	0.96462	0.96613	0.96761
0.96906	0.97047	0.97185	0.97320	0.97452
0.97580	0.97705	0.97827	0.97945	0.98060
0.98172	0.98281	0.98386	0.98488	0.98587
0.98682	0.98774	0.98863	0.98949	0.99031
0.99110	0.99186	0.99258	0.99327	0.99393
0.99456	0.99515	0.99571	0.99624	0.99673
0.99719	0.99762	0.99802	0.99838	0.99871
0.999007	0.999271	0.999503	0.999701	0.999867
1.0				

10_yr_tbl

	0.1			
0.0	0.000451	0.000932	0.00144	0.00198
0.00255	0.00314	0.00377	0.00443	0.00511
0.00582	0.00657	0.00734	0.00814	0.00897
0.00983	0.01072	0.01163	0.01258	0.01355
0.01456	0.01559	0.01666	0.01775	0.01887
0.02002	0.02120	0.02241	0.02364	0.02491
0.02621	0.02753	0.02888	0.03027	0.03168
0.03312	0.03459	0.03609	0.03762	0.03918
0.04076	0.04238	0.04403	0.04570	0.04740
0.04914	0.05090	0.05269	0.05451	0.05636
0.05823	0.06014	0.06208	0.06404	0.06604
0.06806	0.07011	0.07220	0.07431	0.07645
0.07862	0.08081	0.08304	0.08530	0.08758
0.08990	0.09224	0.09462	0.09702	0.09945
0.10191	0.10440	0.10692	0.10947	0.11204
0.11465	0.11728	0.11995	0.12264	0.12536
0.12812	0.13090	0.13371	0.13654	0.13941
0.14231	0.14524	0.14819	0.15118	0.15419
0.15723	0.16116	0.16514	0.16916	0.17323
0.17735	0.18152	0.18573	0.18999	0.19430
0.19866	0.20307	0.20752	0.21203	0.21658
0.22117	0.22340	0.22650	0.23048	0.23535
0.24109	0.24772	0.25522	0.26361	0.27287
0.28302	0.30041	0.32168	0.35388	0.39916

	0.47379	0.60084	0.64612	0.67832	0.69959
	0.71698	0.72713	0.73639	0.74478	0.75228
	0.75891	0.76465	0.76952	0.77350	0.77660
	0.77883	0.78342	0.78797	0.79248	0.79693
	0.80134	0.80570	0.81001	0.81427	0.81848
	0.82265	0.82677	0.83084	0.83486	0.83884
	0.84277	0.84581	0.84882	0.85181	0.85476
	0.85769	0.86059	0.86346	0.86629	0.86910
	0.87188	0.87464	0.87736	0.88005	0.88272
	0.88535	0.88796	0.89053	0.89308	0.89560
	0.89809	0.90055	0.90298	0.90538	0.90776
	0.91010	0.91242	0.91470	0.91696	0.91919
	0.92138	0.92355	0.92569	0.92780	0.92989
	0.93194	0.93396	0.93596	0.93792	0.93986
	0.94177	0.94364	0.94549	0.94731	0.94910
	0.95086	0.95260	0.95430	0.95597	0.95762
	0.95924	0.96082	0.96238	0.96391	0.96541
	0.96688	0.96832	0.96973	0.97112	0.97247
	0.97379	0.97509	0.97636	0.97759	0.97880
	0.97998	0.98113	0.98225	0.98334	0.98441
	0.98544	0.98645	0.98742	0.98837	0.98928
	0.99017	0.99103	0.99186	0.99266	0.99343
	0.99418	0.99489	0.99557	0.99623	0.99686
	0.99745	0.99802	0.99856	0.999068	0.999549
	1.0				
100_yr_tbl	0.1				
	0.0	0.000580	0.00119	0.00184	0.00251
	0.00322	0.00396	0.00473	0.00554	0.00638
	0.00725	0.00815	0.00908	0.01005	0.01104
	0.01207	0.01314	0.01423	0.01536	0.01652
	0.01771	0.01893	0.02019	0.02147	0.02279
	0.02415	0.02553	0.02695	0.02840	0.02988
	0.03139	0.03293	0.03451	0.03612	0.03776
	0.03944	0.04114	0.04288	0.04465	0.04645
	0.04829	0.05015	0.05205	0.05398	0.05595
	0.05794	0.05997	0.06203	0.06412	0.06625
	0.06840	0.07059	0.07281	0.07507	0.07735
	0.07967	0.08202	0.08440	0.08681	0.08926
	0.09174	0.09425	0.09679	0.09937	0.10197
	0.10461	0.10728	0.10999	0.11272	0.11549
	0.11829	0.12112	0.12399	0.12688	0.12981
	0.13277	0.13576	0.13879	0.14185	0.14494
	0.14806	0.15121	0.15440	0.15762	0.16087
	0.16415	0.16746	0.17081	0.17419	0.17760
	0.18104	0.18510	0.18921	0.19336	0.19755
	0.20179	0.20608	0.21040	0.21478	0.21920
	0.22366	0.22817	0.23273	0.23733	0.24197
	0.24666	0.24870	0.25179	0.25592	0.26109
	0.26731	0.27458	0.28289	0.29225	0.30265
	0.31409	0.33116	0.35298	0.38384	0.42284
	0.47922	0.57716	0.61616	0.64702	0.66884
	0.68591	0.69735	0.70775	0.71711	0.72542
	0.73269	0.73891	0.74408	0.74821	0.75130
	0.75334	0.75803	0.76267	0.76727	0.77183
	0.77634	0.78080	0.78522	0.78960	0.79392
	0.79821	0.80245	0.80664	0.81079	0.81490
	0.81896	0.82240	0.82581	0.82919	0.83254
	0.83585	0.83913	0.84238	0.84560	0.84879
	0.85194	0.85506	0.85815	0.86121	0.86424
	0.86723	0.87019	0.87312	0.87601	0.87888
	0.88171	0.88451	0.88728	0.89001	0.89272
	0.89539	0.89803	0.90063	0.90321	0.90575
	0.90826	0.91074	0.91319	0.91560	0.91798
	0.92033	0.92265	0.92493	0.92719	0.92941
	0.93160	0.93375	0.93588	0.93797	0.94003
	0.94206	0.94405	0.94602	0.94795	0.94985
	0.95171	0.95355	0.95535	0.95712	0.95886
	0.96056	0.96224	0.96388	0.96549	0.96707
	0.96861	0.97012	0.97160	0.97305	0.97447
	0.97585	0.97721	0.97853	0.97981	0.98107
	0.98229	0.98348	0.98464	0.98577	0.98686
	0.98793	0.98896	0.98995	0.99092	0.99185
	0.99275	0.99362	0.99446	0.99527	0.99604
	0.99678	0.99749	0.99816	0.99881	0.999420
	1.0				

GLOBAL OUTPUT:

0.10 YY N YY N

WinTR-20 Printed Page File End of Input Data List

NOAA 14 precipitation, smoothed values Ohio River Basin
Lat (dd): 39.1913 Lon (dd): -77.2120° MD Montgomery County

Name of printed page file:
R:\Projects\102054-MDSHA Cabin Branch\Engineering\Win TR-20\CabBranchRev.out

STORM 2_yr_stm

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
DA 1	3.519		1.333		13.20	1051.4	298.79

Line Start Time (hr)	----- (cfs)	Flow Values @ time (cfs)	increment (cfs)	of 0.100 hr (cfs)	----- (cfs)	----- (cfs)
10.000	0.1	0.9	1.5	2.2	3.2	4.4
10.700	7.3	9.0	10.9	12.8	14.9	17.1
11.400	22.6	26.0	30.4	36.2	44.6	57.7
12.100	117.9	173.4	247.1	338.7	451.3	578.0
12.800	821.3	916.5	986.8	1031.1	1051.4	1044.8
13.500	978.1	924.6	859.8	787.6	714.7	648.9
14.200	542.4	499.4	462.5	430.3	401.8	376.9
14.900	338.3	322.9	309.3	297.3	286.2	275.8
15.600	256.4	247.3	238.3	229.7	221.3	213.3
16.300	198.5	191.8	185.7	180.0	174.8	170.2
17.000	162.2	158.6	155.2	152.0	148.9	145.9
17.700	140.4	138.0	135.8	133.8	131.9	130.1
18.400	126.5	124.7	123.0	121.3	119.6	118.0
19.100	114.6	113.0	111.3	109.7	108.0	106.3
19.800	103.0	101.4	99.7	98.0	96.4	94.7
20.500	91.4	89.7	88.0	86.4	84.7	83.0
21.200	79.6	77.9	76.3	74.6	72.9	71.2
21.900	67.7	66.0	64.3	62.6	60.9	59.1
22.600	55.7	54.0	52.2	50.5	48.8	47.0
23.300	43.5	41.8	40.1	38.3	36.6	34.8
24.000	31.3	29.6	27.8	26.0	24.2	22.3
24.700	18.7	16.9	15.1	13.5	11.9	10.5
25.400	8.0	6.9	6.0	5.2	4.5	3.9
26.100	2.9	2.5	2.2	1.9	1.6	1.4
26.800	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.0

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
DA 2	0.152		1.678		12.47	118.5	781.71

Line Start Time (hr)	----- (cfs)	Flow Values @ time (cfs)	increment (cfs)	of 0.100 hr (cfs)	----- (cfs)	----- (cfs)
9.000	0.0	0.5	0.7	0.8	1.0	1.2
9.700	1.5	1.7	1.9	2.1	2.3	2.5
10.400	2.9	3.1	3.2	3.3	3.2	3.1
11.100	3.4	3.8	4.4	5.2	6.1	7.2

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
11.800	11.5	16.1	24.5	40.9	67.8	97.9	116.0
12.500	117.0	105.3	86.8	69.1	55.1	44.1	35.7
13.200	29.4	24.5	20.6	17.3	14.5	12.5	11.4
13.900	11.0	10.9	11.1	11.2	11.2	11.2	11.1
14.600	11.1	11.0	10.9	10.8	10.7	10.6	10.3
15.300	9.9	9.3	8.8	8.3	8.0	7.7	7.5
16.000	7.4	7.3	7.2	7.1	7.0	6.9	6.8
16.700	6.7	6.6	6.6	6.5	6.4	6.3	6.2
17.400	6.2	6.1	6.0	5.9	5.9	5.8	5.7
18.100	5.6	5.5	5.5	5.4	5.3	5.2	5.1
18.800	5.1	5.0	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.6
19.500	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.0
20.200	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.4
20.900	3.3	3.3	3.2	3.1	3.0	2.9	2.8
21.600	2.8	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.4	2.3	2.3
22.300	2.2	2.1	2.0	1.9	1.8	1.7	1.7
23.000	1.6	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.1
23.700	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.0

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Peak Flow ----- Elevation (ft)	Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
Reach 1	3.670	Upstream	1.347	371.13	13.22	1078.6	293.86

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
9.000	0.0	0.5	0.7	0.8	1.0	1.2	1.3
9.700	1.5	1.7	1.9	2.2	3.2	3.9	4.9
10.400	6.1	7.5	9.0	10.6	12.3	14.0	16.0
11.100	18.3	20.9	24.0	27.7	32.1	37.6	45.2
11.800	56.1	73.7	104.9	158.8	241.2	345.6	455.6
12.500	568.7	683.3	792.3	890.4	971.6	1030.9	1066.8
13.200	1078.0	1069.3	1039.4	995.4	939.1	872.3	798.9
13.900	726.1	659.9	603.4	553.5	510.6	473.6	441.4
14.600	412.9	388.1	367.2	349.1	333.6	319.9	307.6
15.300	296.1	285.1	274.6	264.8	255.2	246.1	237.2
16.000	228.7	220.6	212.8	205.6	198.8	192.6	186.8
16.700	181.5	176.8	172.6	168.7	165.0	161.5	158.2
17.400	155.0	152.0	149.0	146.3	143.8	141.6	139.5
18.100	137.5	135.6	133.7	131.9	130.0	128.2	126.5
18.800	124.7	123.0	121.2	119.5	117.7	116.0	114.2
19.500	112.5	110.7	109.0	107.3	105.5	103.8	102.0
20.200	100.3	98.5	96.8	95.0	93.3	91.5	89.8
20.900	88.0	86.3	84.5	82.7	81.0	79.2	77.4
21.600	75.6	73.8	72.0	70.2	68.4	66.6	64.8
22.300	63.0	61.2	59.4	57.6	55.8	54.0	52.2
23.000	50.3	48.5	46.7	44.9	43.0	41.2	39.4
23.700	37.6	35.7	33.9	32.0	30.2	28.3	26.0

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
24.400	24.2	22.3	20.5	18.7	16.9	15.1	13.5
25.100	11.9	10.5	9.2	8.0	6.9	6.0	5.2
25.800	4.5	3.9	3.3	2.9	2.5	2.2	1.9
26.500	1.6	1.4	1.2	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.6
27.200	0.6	0.0					

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
Reach 1	3.670	Downstream	1.347	371.13	13.26	1077.7	293.63

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
9.100	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.9	1.1	1.2	1.4
9.800	1.6	1.8	2.0	2.6	3.5	4.4	5.4
10.500	6.7	8.1	9.7	11.3	13.0	14.8	16.9
11.200	19.4	22.2	25.6	29.6	34.4	40.8	49.7
11.900	63.1	85.2	124.8	192.6	286.0	394.9	506.5
12.600	619.0	732.3	838.0	929.5	1000.9	1048.3	1073.2
13.300	1076.3	1057.5	1021.9	972.2	910.6	839.8	766.1
14.000	696.3	634.2	580.4	533.5	493.4	458.8	428.4
14.700	401.6	378.5	358.9	341.9	327.2	314.3	302.4
15.400	291.2	280.4	270.2	260.5	251.1	242.1	233.4
16.100	225.1	217.1	209.6	202.5	196.0	189.9	184.4
16.800	179.4	174.9	170.8	167.0	163.4	160.0	156.8
17.500	153.7	150.6	147.8	145.2	142.8	140.7	138.6
18.200	136.7	134.8	132.9	131.1	129.2	127.5	125.7
18.900	123.9	122.2	120.4	118.7	116.9	115.2	113.5
19.600	111.7	110.0	108.2	106.5	104.8	103.0	101.3
20.300	99.5	97.8	96.0	94.3	92.5	90.8	89.0
21.000	87.2	85.5	83.7	81.9	80.2	78.4	76.6
21.700	74.8	73.0	71.2	69.4	67.6	65.8	64.0
22.400	62.2	60.4	58.6	56.8	55.0	53.2	51.4
23.100	49.5	47.7	45.9	44.1	42.2	40.4	38.6
23.800	36.7	34.9	33.1	31.2	29.4	27.3	25.2
24.500	23.4	21.5	19.7	17.9	16.1	14.4	12.8
25.200	11.3	9.9	8.6	7.5	6.5	5.6	4.8
25.900	4.2	3.6	3.1	2.7	2.3	2.0	1.7
26.600	1.5	1.3	1.1	1.0	0.8	0.7	0.6
27.300	0.0						

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
DA 3	0.141		1.754		12.54	106.0	753.51

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
8.800	0.0	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.8	1.0	1.1
9.500	1.3	1.5	1.7	1.9	2.1	2.3	2.4
10.200	2.6	2.8	3.0	3.2	3.3	3.4	3.4
10.900	3.3	3.3	3.5	3.8	4.3	5.0	5.8
11.600	6.8	8.2	10.4	14.2	20.9	33.7	54.2
12.300	79.0	98.6	106.0	102.1	90.4	75.0	60.8
13.000	49.5	40.5	33.4	27.8	23.4	19.7	16.6
13.700	14.1	12.5	11.6	11.2	11.0	11.0	10.9
14.400	10.7	10.7	10.6	10.5	10.4	10.3	10.2
15.100	10.1	9.9	9.6	9.1	8.6	8.2	7.8
15.800	7.6	7.3	7.2	7.0	6.9	6.8	6.7
16.500	6.6	6.5	6.4	6.4	6.3	6.2	6.1
17.200	6.0	6.0	5.9	5.8	5.8	5.7	5.6
17.900	5.5	5.5	5.4	5.3	5.2	5.1	5.1
18.600	5.0	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.5
19.300	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.0
20.000	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.5
20.700	3.4	3.3	3.2	3.1	3.1	3.0	2.9
21.400	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.4	2.3
22.100	2.3	2.2	2.1	2.0	1.9	1.9	1.8
22.800	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.2
23.500	1.2	1.1	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.7
24.200	0.6	0.0					

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
DA 4A	0.014		1.582		12.18	21.6	1538.44

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
11.000	0.0	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.1	1.4
11.700	2.1	3.0	4.8	8.4	16.3	21.1	13.6
12.400	8.5	5.6	4.0	2.8	2.2	1.9	1.7
13.100	1.5	1.3	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.7	1.0
13.800	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1
14.500	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.9
15.200	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7
15.900	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.6
16.600	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
17.300	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5
18.000	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.0		

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
DA 4B	0.094		1.828		12.29	104.9	1119.41

Line Start Time (hr)	----- (cfs)	Flow Values @ time (cfs)	increment (cfs)	of 0.100 hr (cfs)	----- (cfs)	----- (cfs)
8.500	0.0	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.8
9.200	1.0	1.2	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.8
9.900	2.0	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.7
10.600	2.9	2.6	2.4	2.3	2.6	3.1
11.300	4.3	5.1	5.9	7.0	9.1	12.7
12.000	30.3	54.2	89.1	104.8	91.7	68.2
12.700	36.3	26.7	20.4	16.4	13.7	11.6
13.400	8.4	7.0	5.9	5.8	6.3	6.9
14.100	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.2	7.1
14.800	7.0	6.9	6.8	6.7	6.3	5.7
15.500	5.1	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.6
16.200	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.2
16.900	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.0	3.9	3.9
17.600	3.8	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.5
18.300	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.3	3.2	3.2
19.000	3.1	3.0	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.8
19.700	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.5	2.5	2.4
20.400	2.3	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.1	2.0
21.100	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.7	1.7
21.800	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.3
22.500	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.0	0.9
23.200	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.5

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
Reach 2	3.919	Upstream	1.374	365.76	13.22	1120.0	285.80

Line Start Time (hr)	----- (cfs)	Flow Values @ time (cfs)	increment (cfs)	of 0.100 hr (cfs)	----- (cfs)	----- (cfs)
8.500	0.0	0.5	0.6	0.7	1.3	1.4
9.200	2.6	2.9	3.4	3.9	4.4	4.9
9.900	5.9	6.4	7.4	8.6	9.7	11.1
10.600	14.3	15.8	17.1	18.7	20.8	24.1
11.300	31.7	36.6	42.3	49.6	60.1	75.8
12.000	144.9	229.3	357.2	483.3	593.6	686.0
12.700	861.8	941.9	1012.8	1068.7	1104.1	1119.6
13.400	1090.1	1049.2	995.3	931.5	859.7	785.7
14.100	653.6	599.7	552.7	512.5	477.7	447.2
14.800	396.9	377.1	360.0	344.9	331.2	318.4
15.500	294.8	284.0	273.8	264.1	254.7	245.8
16.200	229.2	221.5	214.2	207.6	201.4	195.7

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
16.900	185.9	181.7	177.8	174.1	170.5	167.1	163.9
17.600	160.7	157.8	155.0	152.5	150.2	148.1	145.9
18.300	143.9	141.4	139.4	137.5	135.6	133.7	131.8
19.000	129.9	128.0	126.2	124.3	122.4	120.5	118.7
19.700	116.8	114.9	113.1	111.2	109.3	107.4	105.6
20.400	103.7	101.8	99.9	98.0	96.1	94.3	92.4
21.100	90.5	88.6	86.7	84.8	82.8	80.9	79.0
21.800	77.1	75.2	73.2	71.3	69.4	67.4	65.5
22.500	63.6	61.6	59.7	57.7	55.8	53.8	51.9
23.200	49.9	47.9	46.0	44.0	42.1	40.1	37.6
23.900	35.7	33.8	31.9	29.9	27.4	25.1	23.3
24.600	21.5	19.7	17.9	16.1	14.4	12.8	11.3
25.300	9.9	8.6	7.5	6.5	5.6	4.8	4.2
26.000	3.6	3.1	2.7	2.3	2.0	1.7	1.5
26.700	1.3	1.1	1.0	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.0

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Peak Flow ----- Elevation (ft)	Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
Reach 2	3.919	Downstream	1.374	365.76	13.25	1119.8	285.75

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
8.700	0.0	0.6	1.1	1.4	1.6	2.1	2.8
9.400	3.3	3.8	4.3	4.8	5.3	5.8	6.3
10.100	7.1	8.3	9.4	10.7	12.3	13.9	15.5
10.800	16.8	18.3	20.3	23.4	26.7	30.7	35.4
11.500	40.9	47.7	57.3	71.5	94.0	132.3	205.6
12.200	325.9	454.5	569.3	664.5	754.5	842.3	923.5
12.900	996.9	1056.8	1098.0	1118.2	1117.5	1097.3	1059.6
13.600	1008.6	947.2	877.2	803.0	731.4	667.4	611.9
14.300	563.3	521.5	485.5	454.0	426.1	402.1	381.5
15.000	363.8	348.4	334.4	321.4	309.1	297.4	286.5
15.700	276.2	266.3	256.9	247.9	239.3	231.0	223.2
16.400	215.9	209.1	202.8	197.0	191.7	186.9	182.7
17.100	178.7	174.9	171.3	167.9	164.6	161.5	158.4
17.800	155.6	153.1	150.8	148.6	146.4	144.4	141.9
18.500	139.9	138.0	136.0	134.1	132.2	130.4	128.5
19.200	126.6	124.7	122.9	121.0	119.1	117.2	115.4
19.900	113.5	111.6	109.8	107.9	106.0	104.1	102.2
20.600	100.4	98.5	96.6	94.7	92.8	90.9	89.0
21.300	87.1	85.2	83.3	81.4	79.5	77.5	75.6
22.000	73.7	71.8	69.8	67.9	66.0	64.0	62.1
22.700	60.1	58.2	56.2	54.3	52.3	50.4	48.4
23.400	46.4	44.5	42.5	40.6	38.1	36.2	34.3
24.100	32.3	30.4	28.2	25.6	23.8	21.9	20.1
24.800	18.3	16.5	14.8	13.1	11.6	10.2	8.9
25.500	7.7	6.7	5.8	5.0	4.3	3.7	3.2

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
26.200	2.8	2.4	2.1	1.8	1.6	1.3	1.2
26.900	1.0	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.0		

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
DA 5	0.133		1.533		12.26	132.4	996.97

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
9.300	0.0	0.5	0.7	0.8	1.0	1.1	1.3
10.000	1.4	1.6	1.7	1.9	2.0	2.2	2.3
10.700	2.1	1.9	2.0	2.3	2.8	3.4	4.1
11.400	4.9	5.8	7.0	9.6	14.0	21.6	36.9
12.100	70.3	118.5	130.5	105.1	75.3	54.3	39.1
12.800	28.8	22.4	18.5	15.7	13.5	11.7	9.9
13.500	8.3	7.0	7.3	8.3	9.0	9.3	9.4
14.200	9.4	9.4	9.3	9.2	9.1	9.0	8.9
14.900	8.8	8.7	8.5	7.9	7.1	6.7	6.4
15.600	6.2	6.1	6.0	6.0	5.9	5.8	5.8
16.300	5.7	5.7	5.6	5.5	5.5	5.4	5.3
17.000	5.3	5.2	5.1	5.1	5.0	4.9	4.9
17.700	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.4
18.400	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.0	4.0
19.100	3.9	3.8	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.5
19.800	3.4	3.3	3.3	3.2	3.1	3.1	3.0
20.500	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.5
21.200	2.4	2.4	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.1	2.0
21.900	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.5
22.600	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.0
23.300	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.5
24.000	0.0						

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
DA 6A	0.047		1.810		12.40	42.7	911.69

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
9.300	0.4	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	0.9
10.000	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.4
10.700	1.4	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.6	1.8
11.400	2.1	2.5	2.9	3.6	4.7	6.6	10.1
12.100	16.8	27.6	38.3	42.7	40.2	33.8	26.5

Line Start Time (hr)	Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr						
	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)
12.800	20.7	16.1	12.7	10.2	8.4	7.1	5.9
13.500	5.0	4.2	3.6	3.4	3.4	3.5	3.6
14.200	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.5
14.900	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.3	3.1	2.9	2.8
15.600	2.6	2.5	2.5	2.4	2.4	2.3	2.3
16.300	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.1	2.1
17.000	2.1	2.1	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.9
17.700	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.7
18.400	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6
19.100	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.4
19.800	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.2
20.500	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.0
21.200	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.8
21.900	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6
22.600	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.0		

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	Peak Flow			
				Elevation (ft)	Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
DA 6B	0.014		2.399		12.13	35.1	2495.32

Line Start Time (hr)	Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr						
	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)
8.100	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6
8.800	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.9
9.500	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
10.200	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	0.7	0.7	0.8
10.900	1.0	1.2	1.4	1.6	1.9	2.1	2.3
11.600	3.4	4.5	6.7	10.4	18.2	32.9	22.0
12.300	11.1	6.8	5.0	3.4	2.6	2.3	2.1
13.000	1.9	1.6	1.4	1.2	0.9	0.7	1.1
13.700	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3
14.400	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.1
15.100	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8
15.800	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7
16.500	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7
17.200	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
17.900	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5
18.600	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.0		

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	Peak Flow			
				Elevation (ft)	Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
Reach 3	4.112	Upstream	1.388	352.51	13.22	1142.0	277.69

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
8.100	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6
8.800	1.2	1.7	2.0	2.3	2.9	4.0	5.3
9.500	6.0	6.7	7.5	8.2	9.0	9.7	10.7
10.200	12.2	13.6	15.1	16.9	18.3	19.6	20.8
10.900	22.5	25.1	29.0	33.3	38.5	44.5	51.4
11.600	61.1	75.1	96.9	132.5	197.5	325.7	493.7
12.300	634.2	723.8	785.0	846.0	910.5	975.2	1037.5
13.000	1089.9	1125.6	1141.5	1137.4	1114.1	1073.6	1020.9
13.700	959.4	890.3	816.8	745.6	681.7	626.3	577.6
14.400	535.7	499.5	467.9	439.9	415.7	395.0	377.2
15.100	361.2	346.4	332.5	319.5	307.4	296.1	285.6
15.800	275.6	266.1	256.9	248.2	239.9	231.9	224.5
16.500	217.6	211.2	205.3	199.9	195.1	190.7	186.6
17.200	182.7	179.1	175.6	172.2	168.9	165.8	162.9
17.900	160.2	157.8	155.5	153.3	151.1	148.5	146.4
18.600	144.4	142.3	140.3	138.3	135.9	133.9	131.9
19.300	130.0	128.0	126.0	124.1	122.1	120.1	118.2
20.000	116.2	114.2	112.2	110.3	108.3	106.3	104.3
20.700	102.4	100.4	98.4	96.4	94.4	92.4	90.4
21.400	88.4	86.4	84.4	82.4	80.4	78.3	76.3
22.100	74.3	72.3	70.2	68.2	66.1	64.1	62.1
22.800	60.0	58.0	55.5	53.4	51.4	49.3	47.3
23.500	45.3	43.2	41.2	38.7	36.7	34.3	32.3
24.200	30.4	28.1	25.5	23.8	21.9	20.1	18.3
24.900	16.5	14.8	13.1	11.6	10.2	8.9	7.7
25.600	6.7	5.8	5.0	4.3	3.7	3.2	2.8
26.300	2.4	2.1	1.8	1.6	1.3	1.2	1.0
27.000	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.0			

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Peak Flow ----- Elevation (ft)	Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
Reach 3	4.112	Downstream	1.387	352.50	13.34	1131.6	275.16

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
9.500	0.0	4.0	6.1	7.3	8.1	8.9	9.7
10.200	10.8	12.1	13.5	15.1	16.7	18.1	19.4
10.900	20.8	22.7	25.5	29.0	33.3	38.5	44.4
11.600	51.8	62.0	76.9	100.4	140.3	215.1	339.0
12.300	482.2	607.0	700.2	773.6	840.0	905.1	969.3
13.000	1029.5	1079.6	1113.9	1130.3	1127.8	1106.6	1069.4
13.700	1019.7	960.0	892.6	822.0	753.4	690.4	634.3
14.400	585.0	542.2	505.1	472.6	444.2	419.6	398.3
15.100	379.9	363.3	348.2	334.1	321.0	308.8	297.5
15.800	286.8	276.7	267.1	257.9	249.1	240.7	232.8
16.500	225.4	218.4	212.0	206.0	200.6	195.7	191.2
17.200	187.1	183.2	179.5	175.9	172.5	169.2	166.1

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
17.900	163.2	160.5	158.1	155.7	153.5	151.1	148.8
18.600	146.7	144.6	142.5	140.5	138.4	136.2	134.1
19.300	132.1	130.1	128.2	126.2	124.2	122.2	120.3
20.000	118.3	116.3	114.4	112.4	110.4	108.5	106.5
20.700	104.5	102.5	100.5	98.6	96.6	94.6	92.6
21.400	90.6	88.6	86.6	84.6	82.5	80.5	78.5
22.100	76.5	74.4	72.4	70.4	68.3	66.3	64.3
22.800	62.2	60.2	58.1	55.8	53.6	51.6	49.5
23.500	47.5	45.4	43.4	41.3	39.0	36.7	34.6
24.200	32.5	30.5	28.1	25.9	24.0	22.1	20.3
24.900	18.4	16.6	14.9	13.3	11.8	10.3	9.1
25.600	7.9	6.8	5.9	5.1	4.4	3.8	3.3
26.300	2.9	2.5	2.1	1.8	1.6	1.4	1.2
27.000	1.0	0.9	0.7	0.0			

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
DA 7	0.030		1.938		12.23	40.9	1379.35

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
9.100	0.0	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8
9.800	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.1
10.500	1.2	1.2	1.0	0.9	0.9	1.1	1.3
11.200	1.6	1.9	2.1	2.5	2.9	4.0	5.7
11.900	8.5	14.0	25.4	39.4	37.9	27.0	18.5
12.600	12.9	9.1	6.7	5.3	4.4	3.8	3.3
13.300	2.9	2.4	2.0	1.7	1.9	2.2	2.4
14.000	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.3
14.700	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.0	1.8
15.400	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.5
16.100	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4
16.800	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3
17.500	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.1
18.200	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.0
18.900	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.9
19.600	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8
20.300	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7
21.000	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5
21.700	0.5	0.0					

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
DA 8	0.077		1.906		12.31	86.6	1130.60

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
8.400	0.3	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8
9.100	0.9	1.0	1.2	1.3	1.5	1.6	1.7
9.800	1.8	1.9	2.0	2.1	2.3	2.4	2.5
10.500	2.6	2.6	2.5	2.2	2.2	2.4	2.7
11.200	3.2	3.8	4.5	5.2	6.1	7.8	10.7
11.900	15.7	24.8	43.5	71.1	86.3	78.8	60.5
12.600	44.6	32.8	24.2	18.4	14.7	12.1	10.2
13.300	8.7	7.3	6.1	5.2	4.9	5.3	5.7
14.000	6.0	6.1	6.1	6.1	6.1	6.0	6.0
14.700	5.9	5.8	5.8	5.7	5.6	5.3	4.9
15.400	4.5	4.3	4.1	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.8
16.100	3.8	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.5
16.800	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.3	3.2
17.500	3.2	3.2	3.1	3.1	3.0	3.0	2.9
18.200	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.6
18.900	2.6	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.4	2.4	2.3
19.600	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.1	2.1	2.0
20.300	2.0	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.7
21.000	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.4
21.700	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.1
22.400	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.8
23.100	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.0	

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
Reach 4	4.219	Upstream	1.400	350.54	13.32	1142.6	270.84

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
8.400	0.0	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8
9.100	0.9	1.5	1.8	2.0	2.2	6.4	8.6
9.800	9.9	10.9	11.9	12.9	14.1	15.6	17.1
10.500	18.9	20.5	21.6	22.5	23.9	26.1	29.5
11.200	33.8	39.0	45.1	52.1	60.8	73.8	93.3
11.900	124.6	179.1	284.0	449.5	606.3	712.8	779.1
12.600	831.1	881.9	936.0	993.0	1048.5	1095.5	1127.5
13.300	1141.9	1137.5	1114.7	1076.3	1026.5	967.5	900.8
14.000	830.4	761.9	699.0	642.8	593.4	550.6	513.4
14.700	480.8	452.3	427.6	406.3	387.6	370.6	354.8
15.400	340.2	326.9	314.5	303.0	292.3	282.1	272.4
16.100	263.1	254.3	245.9	237.9	230.4	223.4	216.9
16.800	210.9	205.4	200.4	195.9	191.7	187.7	184.0
17.500	180.4	176.9	173.5	170.4	167.4	164.7	162.2
18.200	159.8	157.4	155.0	152.7	150.4	148.3	146.2
18.900	144.1	142.0	139.7	137.5	135.5	133.4	131.4
19.600	129.3	127.3	125.3	123.3	121.2	119.2	117.2
20.300	115.1	113.1	111.1	109.0	107.0	104.9	102.9

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
21.000	100.9	98.8	96.7	94.7	92.6	90.6	88.5
21.700	86.4	83.8	81.8	79.7	77.6	75.6	73.5
22.400	71.4	69.3	67.3	65.2	63.1	61.0	58.8
23.100	56.5	54.3	52.2	50.1	48.0	45.5	43.4
23.800	41.3	39.0	36.7	34.6	32.5	30.5	28.1
24.500	26.0	24.0	22.1	20.3	18.4	16.6	14.9
25.200	13.3	11.8	10.3	9.1	7.9	6.8	5.9
25.900	5.1	4.4	3.8	3.3	2.9	2.5	2.1
26.600	1.8	1.6	1.4	1.2	1.0	0.9	0.7
27.300	0.0						

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Peak Flow ----- Elevation (ft)	Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
Reach 4	4.219	Downstream	1.400	350.54	13.37	1142.4	270.78

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
8.700	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.0	1.7
9.400	1.9	2.1	4.9	7.9	9.5	10.6	11.5
10.100	12.4	13.6	15.0	16.5	18.1	19.9	21.2
10.800	22.1	23.3	25.2	28.1	32.0	36.8	42.5
11.500	49.2	57.0	68.1	84.6	110.4	153.7	234.3
12.200	380.2	548.6	675.8	755.7	810.9	861.4	914.0
12.900	970.1	1027.0	1078.1	1116.4	1138.1	1141.3	1125.8
13.600	1093.1	1047.4	992.0	928.0	858.5	788.8	723.4
14.300	664.4	612.4	567.0	527.6	493.3	463.3	437.1
15.000	414.4	394.8	377.2	360.9	345.9	332.1	319.4
15.700	307.5	296.5	286.1	276.2	266.8	257.8	249.2
16.400	241.0	233.3	226.1	219.4	213.2	207.5	202.4
17.100	197.7	193.4	189.3	185.4	181.8	178.2	174.9
17.800	171.6	168.6	165.8	163.2	160.7	158.4	156.0
18.500	153.6	151.3	149.1	147.0	144.9	142.9	140.6
19.200	138.4	136.3	134.2	132.2	130.2	128.1	126.1
19.900	124.1	122.0	120.0	118.0	116.0	113.9	111.9
20.600	109.8	107.8	105.8	103.7	101.7	99.6	97.6
21.300	95.5	93.5	91.4	89.3	87.2	85.1	82.6
22.000	80.5	78.5	76.4	74.3	72.2	70.2	68.1
22.700	66.0	63.9	61.8	59.7	57.4	55.2	53.0
23.400	50.9	48.8	46.7	44.2	42.2	39.9	37.6
24.100	35.4	33.3	31.3	29.1	26.8	24.8	22.8
24.800	21.0	19.2	17.4	15.6	13.9	12.4	10.9
25.500	9.6	8.3	7.2	6.3	5.4	4.7	4.1
26.200	3.5	3.0	2.6	2.3	2.0	1.7	1.5
26.900	1.3	1.1	0.9	0.8	0.0		

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	----- Rate (cfs)	----- Rate (csm)
DA 9	0.155		1.605		12.28	159.4	1030.46

Line Start Time (hr)	----- (cfs)	Flow Values @ time (cfs)	increment (cfs)	of 0.100 hr (cfs)	----- (cfs)	----- (cfs)
9.100	0.0	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.2	1.4
9.800	1.7	1.9	2.1	2.3	2.5	2.7
10.500	3.0	3.1	2.8	2.6	2.6	3.1
11.200	4.5	5.4	6.3	7.4	9.0	12.2
11.900	26.8	44.8	84.1	140.9	157.8	129.5
12.600	67.5	48.7	35.7	27.7	22.8	19.3
13.300	14.2	12.1	10.1	8.5	8.7	9.9
14.000	11.1	11.2	11.2	11.2	11.1	11.0
14.700	10.8	10.7	10.6	10.4	10.2	9.5
15.400	8.0	7.7	7.5	7.3	7.2	7.1
16.100	7.0	6.9	6.8	6.8	6.7	6.6
16.800	6.4	6.4	6.3	6.2	6.1	6.1
17.500	5.9	5.8	5.8	5.7	5.6	5.5
18.200	5.4	5.3	5.2	5.1	5.0	5.0
18.900	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.4
19.600	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.0	3.9	3.8
20.300	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.3	3.2
21.000	3.1	3.0	2.9	2.8	2.7	2.7
21.700	2.5	2.4	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.1
22.400	1.9	1.8	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.5
23.100	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.0	0.9
23.800	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.0		

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	----- Rate (cfs)	----- Rate (csm)
OUTLET	4.373		1.407		13.36	1155.2	264.15

Line Start Time (hr)	----- (cfs)	Flow Values @ time (cfs)	increment (cfs)	of 0.100 hr (cfs)	----- (cfs)	----- (cfs)
8.700	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.6
9.400	2.9	3.3	6.2	9.4	11.2	12.5
10.100	14.7	16.1	17.6	19.3	21.2	23.0
10.800	24.7	25.9	28.2	31.8	36.5	42.2
11.500	56.6	66.0	80.2	102.0	137.1	198.6
12.200	521.1	706.4	805.3	849.1	878.4	910.1
12.900	997.8	1049.8	1097.4	1132.9	1152.3	1153.4
13.600	1101.6	1056.2	1001.9	938.7	869.6	800.0
14.300	675.6	623.5	578.0	538.5	504.1	473.9
15.000	424.9	405.0	386.7	369.5	353.9	339.8
15.700	314.9	303.7	293.2	283.3	273.8	264.7
16.400	247.8	240.0	232.7	226.0	219.7	213.9

Line Start Time (hr)	Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr						
	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)
17.100	203.9	199.5	195.4	191.4	187.7	184.1	180.6
17.800	177.3	174.2	171.3	168.6	166.1	163.6	161.2
18.500	158.7	156.3	154.1	151.9	149.7	147.6	145.2
19.200	142.9	140.8	138.6	136.5	134.4	132.3	130.2
19.900	128.1	125.9	123.8	121.7	119.6	117.5	115.4
20.600	113.2	111.1	109.0	106.9	104.7	102.6	100.5
21.300	98.3	96.2	94.0	91.9	89.7	87.5	84.9
22.000	82.8	80.6	78.5	76.3	74.1	72.0	69.8
22.700	67.6	65.5	63.3	61.1	58.7	56.4	54.2
23.400	52.0	49.8	47.6	45.0	42.9	40.5	38.2
24.100	35.4	33.3	31.3	29.1	26.8	24.8	22.8
24.800	21.0	19.2	17.4	15.6	13.9	12.4	10.9
25.500	9.6	8.3	7.2	6.3	5.4	4.7	4.1
26.200	3.5	3.0	2.6	2.3	2.0	1.7	1.5
26.900	1.3	1.1	0.9	0.8	0.0		

STORM 10_yr_stm

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
DA 1	3.519		2.693		13.21	2078.7	590.74

Line Start Time (hr)	Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr						
	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)
7.700	0.549E-01	0.7	1.1	1.7	2.5	3.4	4.5
8.400	5.8	7.3	9.0	10.8	12.8	15.0	17.3
9.100	19.7	22.3	25.1	28.1	31.4	35.0	38.9
9.800	43.1	47.6	52.3	57.3	62.5	67.9	73.5
10.500	79.1	84.7	90.1	95.3	100.3	105.1	109.9
11.200	114.9	120.6	127.6	136.3	147.7	163.8	186.9
11.900	221.3	275.5	358.1	474.1	623.3	805.2	1022.4
12.600	1257.9	1489.8	1696.8	1864.1	1984.1	2054.7	2077.4
13.300	2052.5	1992.3	1904.6	1793.7	1663.5	1521.1	1379.2
14.000	1251.4	1138.7	1039.2	952.6	877.3	811.3	752.7
14.700	701.3	658.3	621.0	588.5	560.0	534.9	512.1
15.400	491.0	471.4	453.2	435.9	419.4	403.7	388.8
16.100	374.7	361.4	349.0	337.6	327.2	317.5	308.7
16.800	300.9	293.8	287.3	281.2	275.5	270.0	264.7
17.500	259.7	255.0	250.5	246.6	243.1	239.9	236.8
18.200	233.8	230.9	228.0	225.2	222.5	219.8	217.1
18.900	214.4	211.8	209.2	206.5	203.9	201.3	198.7
19.600	196.0	193.4	190.8	188.2	185.6	183.0	180.4
20.300	177.8	175.1	172.5	169.9	167.3	164.7	162.1
21.000	159.5	156.9	154.2	151.6	149.0	146.3	143.7
21.700	141.0	138.4	135.7	133.1	130.4	127.8	125.1
22.400	122.4	119.8	117.1	114.4	111.7	109.1	106.4
23.100	103.7	101.0	98.3	95.6	93.0	90.3	87.6

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
23.800	84.9	82.2	79.5	76.7	73.8	70.7	67.4
24.500	63.8	59.9	55.7	51.2	46.7	42.1	37.7
25.200	33.5	29.5	25.8	22.4	19.4	16.7	14.4
25.900	12.5	10.8	9.4	8.1	7.0	6.1	5.3
26.600	4.5	3.9	3.4	2.9	2.5	2.2	1.9
27.300	1.6	1.4	1.2	1.0	0.9	0.7	0.6
28.000	0.5	0.0					

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Peak Flow ----- Elevation Time Rate Rate (ft) (hr) (cfs) (csm)			
DA 2	0.152		3.154		12.45	203.9	1345.33

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
6.600	0.0	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.9	1.0	1.1
7.300	1.3	1.4	1.6	1.7	1.8	2.0	2.1
8.000	2.3	2.4	2.6	2.8	2.9	3.1	3.2
8.700	3.4	3.6	3.7	3.9	4.1	4.3	4.7
9.400	5.1	5.6	6.0	6.4	6.8	7.1	7.4
10.100	7.7	8.0	8.4	8.7	9.0	9.2	9.2
10.800	8.9	8.6	8.6	9.2	10.3	11.9	13.7
11.500	15.9	18.5	22.3	28.6	38.8	55.7	84.9
12.200	127.7	173.5	200.6	200.6	180.9	150.7	121.3
12.900	97.0	78.0	63.2	52.1	43.5	36.5	30.6
13.600	25.7	21.9	19.7	18.6	18.2	18.2	18.2
14.300	18.1	18.0	17.9	17.8	17.7	17.5	17.4
15.000	17.2	17.0	16.6	15.9	15.2	14.4	13.8
15.700	13.3	12.9	12.6	12.4	12.2	12.1	11.9
16.400	11.8	11.7	11.5	11.4	11.3	11.2	11.0
17.100	10.9	10.8	10.7	10.6	10.5	10.3	10.2
17.800	10.1	10.0	9.9	9.7	9.6	9.5	9.4
18.500	9.3	9.1	9.0	8.9	8.8	8.7	8.5
19.200	8.4	8.3	8.2	8.1	7.9	7.8	7.7
19.900	7.6	7.4	7.3	7.2	7.1	7.0	6.8
20.600	6.7	6.6	6.5	6.3	6.2	6.1	6.0
21.300	5.9	5.7	5.6	5.5	5.4	5.2	5.1
22.000	5.0	4.9	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.2
22.700	4.1	4.0	3.9	3.8	3.6	3.5	3.4
23.400	3.3	3.1	3.0	2.9	2.8	2.6	2.5
24.100	2.4	2.1	1.8	1.3	1.0	0.6	0.0

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Peak Flow ----- Elevation Time Rate Rate (ft) (hr) (cfs) (csm)			
Reach 1	3.670	Upstream	2.712	372.15	13.19	2128.1	579.82

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
6.600	0.0	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.9	1.0	1.1
7.300	1.3	1.4	1.6	1.7	1.9	2.7	3.3
8.000	4.0	4.9	6.0	7.3	8.7	10.4	12.2
8.700	14.2	16.4	18.7	21.2	23.8	26.6	29.8
9.400	33.2	37.0	41.0	45.3	49.8	54.7	59.8
10.100	65.1	70.6	76.3	82.1	88.1	93.9	99.3
10.800	104.2	108.8	113.7	119.0	125.2	132.5	141.3
11.500	152.2	166.6	186.1	215.6	260.1	331.2	443.0
12.200	601.7	798.1	1006.6	1223.8	1438.9	1640.5	1818.1
12.900	1961.2	2062.0	2115.6	2126.3	2095.9	2028.7	1935.2
13.600	1819.3	1685.4	1540.8	1398.0	1270.3	1156.8	1057.4
14.300	970.7	895.4	829.2	770.5	719.8	675.8	638.3
15.000	605.7	577.0	551.4	528.0	506.2	485.8	467.0
15.700	449.2	432.3	416.3	401.2	386.9	373.5	361.0
16.400	349.4	338.8	329.0	320.1	312.1	305.0	298.3
17.100	292.2	286.3	280.7	275.3	270.2	265.3	260.8
17.800	256.8	253.1	249.7	246.5	243.4	240.4	237.4
18.500	234.5	231.6	228.8	226.0	223.2	220.5	217.7
19.200	215.0	212.2	209.5	206.7	204.0	201.2	198.5
19.900	195.8	193.0	190.3	187.6	184.8	182.1	179.4
20.600	176.7	173.9	171.2	168.4	165.7	163.0	160.2
21.300	157.5	154.7	151.9	149.2	146.4	143.6	140.8
22.000	138.1	135.3	132.5	129.7	126.9	124.1	121.3
22.700	118.5	115.7	112.9	110.1	107.3	104.5	101.7
23.400	98.9	96.1	93.3	90.5	87.6	84.8	82.0
24.100	79.1	75.9	72.4	68.7	64.7	60.5	55.7
24.800	51.2	46.7	42.1	37.7	33.5	29.5	25.8
25.500	22.4	19.4	16.7	14.4	12.5	10.8	9.4
26.200	8.1	7.0	6.1	5.3	4.5	3.9	3.4
26.900	2.9	2.5	2.2	1.9	1.6	1.4	1.2
27.600	1.0	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.0		

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
Reach 1	3.670	Downstream	2.712	372.14	13.23	2124.6	578.86

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
6.800	0.4	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.0	1.2	1.3
7.500	1.5	1.6	1.7	2.1	2.8	3.5	4.3
8.200	5.2	6.4	7.7	9.2	10.9	12.8	14.8
8.900	17.1	19.4	21.9	24.6	27.5	30.8	34.3
9.600	38.1	42.2	46.6	51.2	56.2	61.3	66.7
10.300	72.3	78.0	83.9	89.8	95.5	100.7	105.5
11.000	110.2	115.2	120.8	127.2	134.9	144.3	156.2
11.700	172.0	194.5	227.2	278.3	360.2	486.0	658.6
12.400	860.5	1071.8	1287.1	1499.8	1696.0	1865.3	1994.3

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
13.100	2080.0	2120.6	2119.0	2078.8	2003.6	1903.1	1781.1
13.800	1642.7	1498.3	1360.1	1235.5	1126.7	1030.5	947.3
14.500	875.2	811.4	755.3	706.4	664.6	628.2	596.8
15.200	569.2	544.4	521.5	500.1	480.2	461.7	444.1
15.900	427.5	411.8	397.0	382.9	369.8	357.5	346.2
16.600	335.8	326.3	317.7	310.0	303.0	296.5	290.4
17.300	284.6	279.1	273.8	268.7	263.9	259.6	255.7
18.000	252.1	248.8	245.6	242.5	239.5	236.5	233.6
18.700	230.8	228.0	225.2	222.4	219.7	216.9	214.1
19.400	211.4	208.6	205.9	203.2	200.4	197.7	195.0
20.100	192.2	189.5	186.8	184.0	181.3	178.6	175.8
20.800	173.1	170.4	167.6	164.9	162.1	159.4	156.6
21.500	153.9	151.1	148.3	145.6	142.8	140.0	137.2
22.200	134.5	131.7	128.9	126.1	123.3	120.5	117.7
22.900	114.9	112.1	109.3	106.5	103.7	100.9	98.1
23.600	95.3	92.4	89.6	86.8	84.0	81.1	78.1
24.300	74.9	71.4	67.6	63.5	59.2	54.4	49.9
25.000	45.3	40.8	36.4	32.3	28.4	24.8	21.5
25.700	18.6	16.0	13.8	12.0	10.4	9.0	7.8
26.400	6.7	5.8	5.0	4.4	3.8	3.2	2.8
27.100	2.4	2.1	1.8	1.5	1.3	1.1	1.0
27.800	0.8	0.7	0.0				

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Peak Flow ----- Elevation (ft)	Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
DA 3	0.141		3.250		12.48	180.2	1281.10

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
6.500	0.115E-01	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.1	1.2
7.200	1.3	1.5	1.6	1.7	1.9	2.0	2.1
7.900	2.3	2.4	2.6	2.7	2.9	3.0	3.2
8.600	3.3	3.5	3.6	3.8	4.0	4.1	4.4
9.300	4.7	5.1	5.5	5.9	6.3	6.7	7.0
10.000	7.3	7.6	7.9	8.2	8.5	8.8	9.0
10.700	9.1	8.9	8.7	8.6	9.0	9.8	11.0
11.400	12.6	14.5	16.8	20.0	25.1	33.2	46.5
12.100	69.0	102.3	140.8	170.0	180.1	173.0	153.7
12.800	128.4	105.1	85.9	70.5	58.2	48.6	40.8
13.500	34.3	28.9	24.6	21.5	19.6	18.6	18.1
14.200	17.8	17.5	17.2	17.1	16.9	16.7	16.6
14.900	16.4	16.3	16.1	15.8	15.3	14.7	14.0
15.600	13.4	12.8	12.5	12.1	11.9	11.7	11.5
16.300	11.3	11.2	11.1	10.9	10.8	10.7	10.6
17.000	10.5	10.3	10.2	10.1	10.0	9.9	9.8
17.700	9.7	9.6	9.5	9.3	9.2	9.1	9.0
18.400	8.9	8.8	8.7	8.6	8.4	8.3	8.2

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
19.100	8.1	8.0	7.9	7.8	7.6	7.5	7.4
19.800	7.3	7.2	7.1	7.0	6.8	6.7	6.6
20.500	6.5	6.4	6.3	6.2	6.0	5.9	5.8
21.200	5.7	5.6	5.5	5.3	5.2	5.1	5.0
21.900	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.2
22.600	4.1	4.0	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.4
23.300	3.3	3.1	3.0	2.9	2.8	2.7	2.6
24.000	2.4	2.3	2.1	1.8	1.5	1.1	0.8
24.700	0.6	0.0					

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
DA 4A	0.014		3.159		12.17	33.9	2411.76

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
8.900	0.0	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8
9.600	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.0
10.300	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.0	0.7	0.8	1.0
11.000	1.2	1.4	1.7	1.9	2.2	2.5	3.2
11.700	4.7	6.6	9.9	15.5	26.8	33.1	22.1
12.400	14.4	9.9	7.1	4.9	3.9	3.4	3.0
13.100	2.6	2.3	2.0	1.6	1.3	1.2	1.6
13.800	1.7	1.8	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7
14.500	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.5
15.200	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.1
15.900	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1
16.600	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
17.300	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9
18.000	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.8
18.700	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.7
19.400	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7
20.100	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
20.800	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
21.500	0.0						

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
DA 4B	0.094		3.347		12.28	169.9	1812.53

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
6.200	0.3	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.9	1.0
6.900	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.7
7.600	1.8	1.9	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.4
8.300	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	3.0	3.2
9.000	3.3	3.4	3.7	4.2	4.5	4.8	5.1
9.700	5.3	5.5	5.7	5.9	6.1	6.3	6.5
10.400	6.7	6.8	6.9	6.3	5.7	5.7	6.4
11.100	7.6	8.9	10.5	12.1	13.9	16.3	21.0
11.800	28.9	41.3	61.6	98.4	149.0	169.7	149.0
12.500	113.4	84.9	62.6	46.3	35.4	28.6	23.9
13.200	20.3	17.2	14.5	12.1	10.1	9.6	10.3
13.900	11.0	11.4	11.5	11.5	11.5	11.4	11.3
14.600	11.2	11.1	11.0	10.8	10.7	10.5	9.9
15.300	9.2	8.6	8.3	8.1	7.9	7.8	7.7
16.000	7.6	7.5	7.5	7.4	7.3	7.2	7.2
16.700	7.1	7.0	6.9	6.9	6.8	6.7	6.6
17.400	6.5	6.5	6.4	6.3	6.2	6.2	6.1
18.100	6.0	5.9	5.9	5.8	5.7	5.6	5.6
18.800	5.5	5.4	5.3	5.3	5.2	5.1	5.0
19.500	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.5
20.200	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.0	3.9
20.900	3.9	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.4
21.600	3.3	3.2	3.2	3.1	3.0	2.9	2.8
22.300	2.8	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.5	2.4	2.3
23.000	2.2	2.1	2.1	2.0	1.9	1.8	1.7
23.700	1.7	1.6	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.0	0.6
24.400	0.0						

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Peak Flow ----- Elevation (ft)	Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
Reach 2	3.919	Upstream	2.748	366.86	13.20	2201.4	561.77

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
6.200	0.0	0.6	0.7	0.8	1.4	1.6	2.2
6.900	2.7	3.1	3.4	3.8	4.1	4.5	4.9
7.600	5.2	5.6	6.3	7.2	8.1	9.1	10.3
8.300	11.7	13.3	15.1	17.1	19.2	21.5	24.0
9.000	27.2	30.0	33.4	37.1	41.1	45.4	49.9
9.700	54.6	59.6	64.8	70.3	76.0	81.9	88.0
10.400	94.2	100.6	106.6	111.6	116.2	120.9	126.5
11.100	133.2	141.1	150.6	161.9	175.2	192.6	217.9
11.800	255.1	311.7	402.2	554.9	770.6	991.0	1193.9
12.500	1375.4	1552.1	1721.0	1874.8	2009.0	2112.0	2177.1
13.200	2201.4	2186.7	2135.7	2051.2	1943.1	1816.8	1676.0
13.900	1530.5	1391.6	1267.0	1157.6	1061.1	977.6	905.1
14.600	841.1	784.7	735.5	693.4	656.7	624.9	596.2

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
15.300	570.1	545.9	523.5	502.8	483.6	465.5	448.4
16.000	432.4	417.2	403.0	389.5	377.1	365.5	355.0
16.700	345.2	336.4	328.5	321.3	314.6	308.3	302.3
17.400	296.6	291.1	285.8	280.8	276.3	272.2	268.4
18.100	264.9	261.5	258.2	255.0	251.9	248.8	245.7
18.800	242.7	239.7	236.7	233.8	230.8	227.8	224.9
19.500	221.9	219.0	216.1	213.1	210.2	207.3	204.3
20.200	201.4	198.4	195.5	192.6	189.6	186.7	183.8
20.900	180.8	177.9	174.9	172.0	169.0	166.1	162.6
21.600	159.6	156.7	153.7	150.7	147.8	144.8	141.8
22.300	138.9	135.9	132.9	129.9	126.9	123.9	120.9
23.000	117.9	114.9	111.9	108.9	105.9	102.9	99.9
23.700	96.9	93.9	90.9	87.8	84.7	81.2	77.3
24.400	72.8	68.7	64.3	59.7	54.4	49.9	45.3
25.100	40.8	36.4	32.2	28.3	24.8	21.5	18.6
25.800	16.0	13.8	12.0	10.4	9.0	7.8	6.7
26.500	5.8	5.0	4.3	3.8	3.2	2.8	2.4
27.200	2.1	1.8	1.5	1.3	1.1	1.0	0.8
27.900	0.7	0.0					

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Peak Flow ----- Elevation Time Rate Rate (ft) (hr) (cfs) (csm)			
Reach 2	3.919	Downstream	2.748	366.86	13.24	2200.7	561.59

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
6.400	0.0	0.6	1.2	1.6	1.9	2.6	3.0
7.100	3.3	3.7	4.0	4.4	4.8	5.1	5.5
7.800	6.0	7.0	7.8	8.8	10.0	11.3	12.9
8.500	14.6	16.5	18.6	20.9	23.3	26.4	29.2
9.200	32.4	36.0	40.0	44.2	48.6	53.3	58.2
9.900	63.3	68.7	74.4	80.2	86.2	92.5	98.8
10.600	105.0	110.3	114.9	119.5	124.9	131.2	138.8
11.300	147.9	158.6	171.3	187.2	210.1	243.4	294.0
12.000	373.3	505.7	708.1	930.4	1138.9	1325.7	1503.9
12.700	1674.8	1833.1	1973.3	2087.0	2162.9	2197.6	2193.9
13.400	2152.2	2076.6	1975.0	1853.6	1716.4	1570.9	1429.1
14.100	1300.8	1187.1	1087.0	999.9	924.4	858.2	799.8
14.800	748.7	704.7	666.6	633.5	603.9	577.1	552.5
15.500	529.6	508.4	488.8	470.4	453.1	436.8	421.4
16.200	406.9	393.2	380.5	368.7	357.8	347.8	338.8
16.900	330.6	323.3	316.4	310.0	304.0	298.2	292.6
17.600	287.3	282.2	277.5	273.3	269.5	265.9	262.4
18.300	259.1	255.9	252.7	249.6	246.6	243.5	240.5
19.000	237.6	234.6	231.6	228.7	225.7	222.8	219.8
19.700	216.9	213.9	211.0	208.1	205.1	202.2	199.3
20.400	196.3	193.4	190.5	187.5	184.6	181.7	178.7

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
21.100	175.8	172.8	169.9	166.9	163.4	160.5	157.5
21.800	154.5	151.6	148.6	145.6	142.7	139.7	136.7
22.500	133.7	130.7	127.7	124.8	121.8	118.8	115.8
23.200	112.8	109.8	106.8	103.7	100.7	97.7	94.7
23.900	91.7	88.7	85.6	82.2	78.4	74.0	69.9
24.600	65.6	61.1	55.8	51.1	46.6	42.1	37.6
25.300	33.4	29.4	25.7	22.4	19.4	16.7	14.4
26.000	12.5	10.8	9.4	8.1	7.0	6.1	5.2
26.700	4.5	3.9	3.4	2.9	2.5	2.2	1.9
27.400	1.6	1.4	1.2	1.0	0.9	0.7	0.0

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Peak Flow ----- Elevation (ft)	Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
DA 5	0.133		2.965		12.27	228.6	1721.07

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
7.100	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.9	1.0	1.1	1.2
7.800	1.3	1.4	1.6	1.7	1.8	2.0	2.1
8.500	2.2	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.8	2.9	3.1
9.200	3.5	4.0	4.4	4.7	4.9	5.2	5.5
9.900	5.7	6.0	6.2	6.5	6.7	7.0	7.2
10.600	7.2	6.5	5.9	6.2	7.2	8.6	10.3
11.300	12.1	14.1	16.3	19.4	26.0	36.7	53.8
12.000	82.7	137.4	210.0	224.4	182.6	134.2	98.8
12.700	71.8	52.8	41.1	33.9	28.8	24.7	21.2
13.400	18.0	14.9	12.5	12.6	14.0	14.9	15.3
14.100	15.4	15.4	15.3	15.2	15.1	14.9	14.8
14.800	14.6	14.5	14.3	14.0	13.1	12.0	11.3
15.500	10.9	10.7	10.5	10.4	10.3	10.2	10.1
16.200	10.0	9.9	9.8	9.7	9.6	9.5	9.4
16.900	9.3	9.2	9.1	9.0	8.9	8.8	8.7
17.600	8.6	8.5	8.4	8.3	8.2	8.1	8.0
18.300	7.9	7.8	7.7	7.6	7.5	7.4	7.3
19.000	7.2	7.1	7.0	6.9	6.8	6.6	6.5
19.700	6.4	6.3	6.2	6.1	6.0	5.9	5.8
20.400	5.7	5.6	5.5	5.4	5.3	5.2	5.1
21.100	5.0	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.3
21.800	4.2	4.1	4.0	3.9	3.8	3.7	3.6
22.500	3.5	3.4	3.3	3.2	3.1	3.0	2.9
23.200	2.8	2.7	2.5	2.4	2.3	2.2	2.1
23.900	2.0	1.9	1.7	1.2	0.7	0.0	

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	----- Rate (cfs)	----- Rate (csm)
DA 6A	0.047		3.337		12.41	70.6	1505.42

Line Start Time (hr)	----- (cfs)	Flow Values @ time (cfs)	increment (cfs)	of 0.100 hr (cfs)	----- (cfs)	----- (cfs)
6.900	0.0	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.8
7.600	0.8	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.1
8.300	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.5
9.000	1.6	1.6	1.7	1.9	2.0	2.3
9.700	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	3.1
10.400	3.2	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.1	3.0
11.100	3.3	3.8	4.4	5.1	5.9	8.3
11.800	10.7	14.7	21.2	32.4	48.7	70.4
12.500	66.4	56.4	44.9	35.3	27.7	17.7
13.200	14.6	12.2	10.3	8.6	7.2	5.7
13.900	5.5	5.6	5.7	5.7	5.7	5.7
14.600	5.6	5.6	5.5	5.5	5.4	5.2
15.300	5.0	4.7	4.5	4.3	4.1	4.0
16.000	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.6
16.700	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.4
17.400	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.2	3.2	3.1
18.100	3.1	3.0	3.0	2.9	2.9	2.8
18.800	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.6
19.500	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.4	2.4	2.3
20.200	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.1	2.1	2.0
20.900	2.0	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.8
21.600	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.5
22.300	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.2
23.000	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.0	0.9
23.700	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.5
24.400	0.0					

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	----- Rate (cfs)	----- Rate (csm)
DA 6B	0.014		4.130		12.13	47.7	3394.36

Line Start Time (hr)	----- (cfs)	Flow Values @ time (cfs)	increment (cfs)	of 0.100 hr (cfs)	----- (cfs)	----- (cfs)
5.400	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6
6.100	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7
6.800	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8
7.500	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.0
8.200	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1
8.900	1.1	1.1	1.3	1.5	1.5	1.5
9.600	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.7	1.7
10.300	1.7	1.8	1.8	1.2	1.1	1.8

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
11.000	2.1	2.5	2.9	3.2	3.6	4.0	6.0
11.700	8.0	11.5	16.6	26.5	45.0	31.1	17.1
12.400	11.2	8.3	5.6	4.2	3.8	3.4	3.0
13.100	2.6	2.2	1.9	1.5	1.1	1.6	1.9
13.800	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.8
14.500	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.4
15.200	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.2
15.900	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.1
16.600	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0
17.300	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
18.000	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9
18.700	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8
19.400	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7
20.100	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
20.800	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5
21.500	0.5	0.0					

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Peak Flow ----- Elevation (ft)	Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
Reach 3	4.112	Upstream	2.766	353.51	13.23	2240.2	544.74

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
5.400	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6
6.100	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	1.3	1.9	2.3
6.800	2.6	3.4	4.3	5.1	5.7	6.3	6.8
7.500	7.4	7.9	8.5	9.2	10.3	11.4	12.6
8.200	13.9	15.5	17.2	19.1	21.2	23.5	26.0
8.900	28.7	32.0	35.2	39.1	43.4	47.9	52.6
9.600	57.5	62.6	67.9	73.4	79.2	85.2	91.4
10.300	97.8	104.4	111.1	116.8	121.2	125.3	130.5
11.000	137.3	145.7	155.8	167.6	181.4	197.4	219.4
11.700	252.3	302.3	379.1	503.8	720.3	997.3	1236.1
12.400	1402.9	1534.5	1664.5	1795.6	1924.9	2045.4	2145.8
13.100	2212.0	2239.0	2229.2	2182.0	2101.3	1996.5	1874.4
13.800	1738.1	1593.4	1452.0	1323.9	1210.2	1110.0	1022.7
14.500	947.0	880.6	822.0	770.7	726.4	688.1	654.3
15.200	623.5	595.4	569.8	546.3	524.7	504.8	486.1
15.900	468.6	452.1	436.5	421.8	408.0	395.1	383.2
16.600	372.2	362.0	352.8	344.5	337.0	330.0	323.5
17.300	317.3	311.3	305.6	300.1	294.9	290.1	285.7
18.000	281.7	277.9	274.4	270.9	267.5	264.2	261.0
18.700	257.7	254.5	251.4	248.3	245.1	242.0	238.9
19.400	235.8	232.7	229.6	226.5	223.4	220.3	217.2
20.100	214.1	211.0	207.9	204.9	201.8	198.7	195.6
20.800	192.5	189.4	186.3	183.2	180.1	177.0	173.9
21.500	170.2	167.1	163.5	160.4	157.3	154.2	151.1

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
22.200	148.0	144.8	141.7	138.6	135.4	132.3	129.2
22.900	126.0	122.9	119.7	116.6	113.4	110.3	107.1
23.600	104.0	100.8	97.7	94.5	91.3	88.0	84.0
24.300	79.5	74.0	69.8	65.5	61.0	55.8	51.1
25.000	46.5	42.0	37.6	33.4	29.4	25.7	22.3
25.700	19.3	16.7	14.4	12.4	10.8	9.3	8.1
26.400	7.0	6.1	5.2	4.5	3.9	3.4	2.9
27.100	2.5	2.2	1.9	1.6	1.4	1.2	1.0
27.800	0.9	0.7	0.0				

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Peak Flow ----- Elevation (ft)	Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
Reach 3	4.112	Downstream	2.766	353.51	13.30	2232.6	542.88

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
6.900	0.0	3.2	4.3	5.2	5.8	6.4	6.9
7.600	7.5	8.1	8.7	9.5	10.6	11.7	12.9
8.300	14.3	15.9	17.7	19.7	21.8	24.2	26.7
9.000	29.6	32.7	36.2	40.2	44.5	49.1	53.8
9.700	58.8	63.9	69.2	74.8	80.7	86.7	93.0
10.400	99.4	106.1	112.5	117.7	122.1	126.6	132.3
11.100	139.5	148.3	158.8	171.2	185.5	203.2	228.4
11.800	266.1	323.5	413.5	564.1	798.3	1055.8	1269.9
12.500	1429.9	1565.6	1696.4	1827.3	1954.0	2068.8	2158.6
13.200	2214.2	2232.6	2213.5	2158.5	2072.8	1964.5	1839.2
13.900	1701.2	1558.6	1421.9	1297.5	1187.0	1089.9	1005.4
14.600	931.8	867.2	810.2	760.6	717.7	680.3	647.1
15.300	616.9	589.4	564.3	541.2	520.0	500.3	481.9
16.000	464.6	448.4	433.0	418.5	405.0	392.3	380.6
16.700	369.8	359.9	350.9	342.8	335.4	328.5	322.0
17.400	315.8	309.9	304.3	298.9	293.7	289.0	284.8
18.100	280.8	277.1	273.5	270.1	266.7	263.4	260.2
18.800	257.0	253.8	250.6	247.5	244.4	241.3	238.1
19.500	235.0	231.9	228.8	225.7	222.7	219.6	216.5
20.200	213.4	210.3	207.2	204.1	201.0	197.9	194.8
20.900	191.7	188.6	185.5	182.4	179.3	176.2	172.9
21.600	169.5	166.0	162.8	159.6	156.5	153.4	150.3
22.300	147.2	144.1	140.9	137.8	134.7	131.5	128.4
23.000	125.3	122.1	119.0	115.8	112.7	109.5	106.4
23.700	103.2	100.0	96.9	93.7	90.5	87.0	82.9
24.400	77.8	73.1	68.8	64.4	59.7	54.7	50.0
25.100	45.4	40.9	36.6	32.4	28.5	24.9	21.6
25.800	18.7	16.1	13.9	12.1	10.5	9.1	7.8
26.500	6.8	5.9	5.1	4.4	3.8	3.3	2.8
27.200	2.4	2.1	1.8	1.5	1.3	1.1	1.0
27.900	0.8	0.0					

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	----- Rate (cfs)	----- Rate (csm)
DA 7	0.030		3.519		12.24	63.4	2133.95

Line Start Time (hr)	----- (cfs)	Flow (cfs)	Values @ time (cfs)	increment (cfs)	of 0.100 hr (cfs)	----- (cfs)	----- (cfs)
6.700	0.0	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7
7.400	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9
8.100	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.2
8.800	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.7	1.8
9.500	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.2	2.3
10.200	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.1	1.9
10.900	2.1	2.5	3.0	3.5	4.1	4.7	5.3
11.600	6.3	8.6	12.0	17.3	26.1	42.5	61.7
12.300	58.8	43.1	30.6	21.9	15.6	11.4	9.1
13.000	7.6	6.5	5.7	4.9	4.1	3.4	2.9
13.700	3.1	3.5	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.7
14.400	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.4
15.100	3.3	3.1	2.8	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.5
15.800	2.5	2.5	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.3
16.500	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2
17.200	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.0	2.0
17.900	2.0	2.0	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.8
18.600	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7
19.300	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.5
20.000	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.3
20.700	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.1
21.400	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
22.100	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8
22.800	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6
23.500	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.0			

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	----- Rate (cfs)	----- Rate (csm)
DA 8	0.077		3.445		12.32	138.1	1804.35

Line Start Time (hr)	----- (cfs)	Flow (cfs)	Values @ time (cfs)	increment (cfs)	of 0.100 hr (cfs)	----- (cfs)	----- (cfs)
6.000	0.0	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.9
6.700	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.4
7.400	1.5	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.9	1.9	2.0
8.100	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.7
8.800	2.8	2.8	2.9	3.1	3.3	3.7	4.0
9.500	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.8	5.0	5.2	5.3
10.200	5.5	5.6	5.8	6.0	6.0	5.6	5.1
10.900	5.0	5.5	6.4	7.5	8.8	10.2	11.7
11.600	13.7	17.4	23.6	33.5	49.5	78.1	117.7

Line Start Time (hr)	Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr						
	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)
12.300	138.0	126.1	98.7	74.5	55.6	41.4	31.5
13.000	25.2	20.9	17.6	14.9	12.6	10.5	8.8
13.700	8.1	8.5	9.1	9.4	9.5	9.5	9.5
14.400	9.4	9.3	9.3	9.2	9.1	9.0	8.9
15.100	8.7	8.3	7.7	7.2	6.9	6.7	6.6
15.800	6.5	6.4	6.3	6.2	6.2	6.1	6.0
16.500	6.0	5.9	5.9	5.8	5.7	5.7	5.6
17.200	5.5	5.5	5.4	5.4	5.3	5.2	5.2
17.900	5.1	5.0	5.0	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.7
18.600	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.3
19.300	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.0	4.0	3.9	3.8
20.000	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.4
20.700	3.3	3.3	3.2	3.1	3.1	3.0	2.9
21.400	2.9	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.5
22.100	2.4	2.4	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.1	2.0
22.800	2.0	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.7	1.6	1.6
23.500	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.1
24.200	0.9	0.6	0.0				

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	Peak Flow			
				Elevation (ft)	Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
Reach 4	4.219	Upstream	2.783	351.49	13.29	2252.6	533.94

Line Start Time (hr)	Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr						
	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)
6.000	0.0	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.9
6.700	1.0	1.6	1.7	5.0	6.2	7.2	8.0
7.400	8.6	9.3	10.0	10.7	11.4	12.4	13.5
8.100	14.8	16.1	17.7	19.4	21.3	23.4	25.7
8.800	28.1	30.8	33.8	37.2	41.1	45.6	50.3
9.500	55.2	60.3	65.5	70.8	76.4	82.2	88.3
10.200	94.5	101.0	107.7	114.5	120.9	125.4	129.1
10.900	133.7	140.3	148.9	159.4	171.8	186.1	202.5
11.600	223.2	254.4	301.6	374.2	489.1	684.6	977.5
12.300	1252.5	1439.0	1559.2	1662.0	1767.6	1880.0	1994.6
13.000	2101.6	2186.1	2237.5	2252.4	2230.2	2172.3	2084.5
13.700	1975.7	1851.2	1714.0	1571.7	1435.1	1310.8	1200.3
14.400	1103.1	1018.4	944.7	879.9	822.8	773.1	730.0
15.100	692.4	658.5	627.5	599.3	573.8	550.5	529.1
15.800	509.3	490.8	473.4	457.0	441.6	427.0	413.3
16.500	400.6	388.8	377.9	367.9	358.8	350.6	343.1
17.200	336.2	329.6	323.4	317.4	311.6	306.1	300.9
17.900	296.1	291.7	287.7	283.9	280.2	276.7	273.3
18.600	269.9	266.5	263.2	260.0	256.7	253.5	250.3
19.300	247.1	243.9	240.7	237.5	234.3	231.2	228.0
20.000	224.8	221.6	218.4	215.2	212.1	208.9	205.7
20.700	202.5	199.3	196.2	193.0	189.8	186.6	183.4

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
21.400	180.2	176.8	173.3	169.7	166.4	163.2	160.0
22.100	156.8	153.6	150.4	147.1	143.9	140.7	137.5
22.800	134.2	131.0	127.8	124.6	121.3	118.1	114.8
23.500	111.6	108.4	105.1	101.4	98.1	94.9	91.6
24.200	87.8	83.3	77.8	73.1	68.8	64.4	59.7
24.900	54.7	50.0	45.4	40.9	36.6	32.4	28.5
25.600	24.9	21.6	18.7	16.1	13.9	12.1	10.5
26.300	9.1	7.8	6.8	5.9	5.1	4.4	3.8
27.000	3.3	2.8	2.4	2.1	1.8	1.5	1.3
27.700	1.1	1.0	0.8	0.0			

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Peak Flow ----- Elevation (ft)	Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
Reach 4	4.219	Downstream	2.783	351.49	13.33	2251.8	533.77

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
6.600	0.0	0.9	1.5	1.6	3.7	5.8	6.8
7.300	7.7	8.4	9.0	9.7	10.4	11.1	11.9
8.000	13.0	14.2	15.5	17.0	18.6	20.4	22.5
8.700	24.7	27.1	29.7	32.5	35.7	39.4	43.6
9.400	48.3	53.2	58.2	63.3	68.6	74.0	79.7
10.100	85.7	91.9	98.3	104.9	111.7	118.4	123.7
10.800	127.5	131.6	137.3	145.1	154.8	166.4	179.9
11.500	195.4	213.7	239.9	279.7	340.5	434.9	591.1
12.200	849.6	1144.9	1369.6	1512.8	1618.8	1722.6	1832.3
12.900	1946.7	2058.1	2153.4	2219.6	2249.9	2243.3	2200.1
13.600	2123.9	2023.1	1905.0	1772.6	1631.4	1491.4	1361.6
14.300	1245.3	1142.6	1052.8	974.7	906.3	846.1	793.3
15.000	747.5	707.7	672.4	640.2	610.9	584.3	560.1
15.700	537.9	517.5	498.4	480.6	463.8	448.0	433.0
16.400	419.0	405.9	393.7	382.4	372.0	362.5	354.0
17.100	346.2	339.1	332.3	326.0	319.9	314.0	308.4
17.800	303.1	298.1	293.5	289.4	285.5	281.8	278.2
18.500	274.7	271.3	267.9	264.6	261.4	258.1	254.9
19.200	251.7	248.5	245.2	242.0	238.9	235.7	232.5
19.900	229.3	226.1	222.9	219.8	216.6	213.4	210.2
20.600	207.0	203.9	200.7	197.5	194.3	191.1	187.9
21.300	184.7	181.5	178.3	174.8	171.2	167.8	164.5
22.000	161.3	158.1	154.9	151.7	148.5	145.3	142.0
22.700	138.8	135.6	132.4	129.1	125.9	122.7	119.5
23.400	116.2	113.0	109.7	106.5	103.2	99.5	96.3
24.100	93.0	89.5	85.3	80.0	75.0	70.6	66.3
24.800	61.7	56.7	51.9	47.4	42.8	38.4	34.1
25.500	30.1	26.4	23.0	19.9	17.2	14.8	12.8
26.200	11.1	9.6	8.3	7.2	6.2	5.4	4.7
26.900	4.0	3.5	3.0	2.6	2.2	1.9	1.6

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
27.600	1.4	1.2	1.0	0.9	0.0		

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
DA 9	0.155		3.059		12.28	270.1	1746.26

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
6.700	0.0	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.1	1.2
7.400	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.8	1.9	2.1	2.2
8.100	2.4	2.5	2.7	2.9	3.0	3.2	3.3
8.800	3.5	3.7	3.8	4.1	4.6	5.2	5.7
9.500	6.0	6.4	6.7	7.0	7.3	7.6	7.9
10.200	8.2	8.5	8.8	9.1	9.1	8.2	7.4
10.900	7.7	8.9	10.7	12.7	14.9	17.4	20.0
11.600	23.7	31.5	44.2	64.4	98.1	161.3	245.8
12.300	267.1	221.1	163.5	120.7	88.0	64.7	50.2
13.000	41.2	34.8	29.7	25.5	21.7	18.0	15.1
13.700	14.9	16.4	17.6	18.1	18.2	18.2	18.1
14.400	18.0	17.8	17.7	17.5	17.3	17.1	16.9
15.100	16.6	15.5	14.3	13.4	13.0	12.7	12.5
15.800	12.3	12.2	12.0	11.9	11.8	11.7	11.6
16.500	11.4	11.3	11.2	11.1	11.0	10.9	10.7
17.200	10.6	10.5	10.4	10.3	10.2	10.0	9.9
17.900	9.8	9.7	9.5	9.4	9.3	9.2	9.1
18.600	9.0	8.8	8.7	8.6	8.5	8.3	8.2
19.300	8.1	8.0	7.9	7.7	7.6	7.5	7.4
20.000	7.2	7.1	7.0	6.9	6.7	6.6	6.5
20.700	6.4	6.3	6.1	6.0	5.9	5.8	5.6
21.400	5.5	5.4	5.3	5.1	5.0	4.9	4.8
22.100	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.0	3.9
22.800	3.8	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.3	3.1	3.0
23.500	2.9	2.8	2.6	2.5	2.4	2.3	2.0
24.200	1.5	0.8	0.0				

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
OUTLET	4.373		2.793		13.32	2276.3	520.48

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
6.600	0.0	0.9	2.1	2.3	4.5	6.7	7.9
7.300	8.9	9.7	10.5	11.3	12.2	13.0	14.0

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
8.000	15.3	16.6	18.1	19.7	21.5	23.5	25.7
8.700	28.0	30.6	33.3	36.3	39.8	43.9	48.8
9.400	54.0	59.2	64.5	70.0	75.6	81.3	87.3
10.100	93.6	100.1	106.8	113.7	120.8	127.5	131.9
10.800	135.0	139.3	146.2	155.7	167.5	181.3	197.2
11.500	215.4	237.5	271.4	323.9	404.9	533.1	752.4
12.200	1095.3	1412.0	1590.7	1676.3	1739.5	1810.6	1897.0
12.900	1996.9	2099.3	2188.2	2249.3	2275.4	2265.0	2218.1
13.600	2139.0	2038.0	1921.4	1790.2	1649.5	1509.7	1379.8
14.300	1263.4	1160.5	1070.6	992.3	923.8	863.4	810.4
15.000	764.4	724.3	687.9	654.5	624.3	597.2	572.7
15.700	550.3	529.8	510.6	492.6	475.7	459.8	444.7
16.400	430.6	417.3	405.0	393.6	383.1	373.5	364.8
17.100	357.0	349.7	342.8	336.3	330.1	324.2	318.4
17.800	313.0	307.9	303.2	298.9	294.9	291.1	287.4
18.500	283.8	280.3	276.8	273.3	269.9	266.6	263.2
19.200	259.9	256.5	253.2	249.9	246.6	243.3	240.0
19.900	236.7	233.4	230.1	226.8	223.5	220.1	216.8
20.600	213.5	210.2	206.9	203.6	200.3	197.0	193.7
21.300	190.4	187.0	183.6	180.0	176.4	172.8	169.4
22.000	166.1	162.8	159.4	156.1	152.8	149.4	146.1
22.700	142.7	139.4	136.0	132.7	129.3	126.0	122.6
23.400	119.2	115.9	112.5	109.1	105.7	101.9	98.5
24.100	95.0	90.9	86.2	80.0	75.0	70.6	66.3
24.800	61.7	56.7	51.9	47.4	42.8	38.4	34.1
25.500	30.1	26.4	23.0	19.9	17.2	14.8	12.8
26.200	11.1	9.6	8.3	7.2	6.2	5.4	4.7
26.900	4.0	3.5	3.0	2.6	2.2	1.9	1.6
27.600	1.4	1.2	1.0	0.9	0.0		

STORM 100_yr_stm

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
DA 1	3.519		5.850		13.14	3948.0	1121.98

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
5.100	0.403E-01	0.7	1.3	2.1	3.2	4.7	6.5
5.800	8.7	11.3	14.3	17.7	21.4	25.5	29.8
6.500	34.5	39.4	44.5	49.9	55.4	61.2	67.1
7.200	73.1	79.3	85.7	92.2	98.8	105.5	112.3
7.900	119.3	126.3	133.4	140.6	147.9	155.3	162.8
8.600	170.3	177.9	185.5	193.2	201.0	208.9	217.1
9.300	225.6	234.5	244.0	254.0	264.8	276.2	288.3
10.000	300.7	313.5	326.4	339.5	352.7	365.8	378.3
10.700	389.7	400.0	409.5	418.4	427.0	436.1	447.4

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
11.400	463.6	486.5	518.3	562.3	623.0	706.8	823.4
12.100	991.7	1227.6	1514.4	1850.2	2227.5	2628.4	3022.8
12.800	3371.5	3643.8	3824.5	3920.8	3942.9	3891.8	3776.2
13.500	3613.0	3409.3	3167.7	2906.3	2652.6	2418.9	2207.7
14.200	2018.4	1851.7	1706.6	1581.0	1468.9	1371.4	1287.3
14.900	1214.4	1151.1	1096.3	1048.4	1005.9	966.2	930.0
15.600	896.5	865.0	835.4	807.7	782.0	757.7	735.0
16.300	713.9	694.3	676.3	659.6	644.1	630.5	618.0
17.000	606.3	595.1	584.5	574.3	564.4	555.0	546.1
17.700	537.7	530.2	523.2	516.6	510.2	504.1	498.1
18.400	492.2	486.4	480.7	475.0	469.4	463.8	458.3
19.100	452.7	447.1	441.6	436.0	430.5	424.9	419.4
19.800	413.9	408.3	402.8	397.3	391.7	386.2	380.7
20.500	375.1	369.6	364.1	358.5	353.0	347.4	341.9
21.200	336.4	330.8	325.2	319.7	314.1	308.5	303.0
21.900	297.4	291.8	286.2	280.7	275.1	269.5	263.9
22.600	258.3	252.7	247.1	241.5	235.9	230.2	224.6
23.300	219.0	213.4	207.8	202.1	196.5	190.9	185.3
24.000	179.6	173.7	167.5	160.9	153.8	146.0	137.3
24.700	127.9	118.0	107.7	97.4	87.3	77.5	68.3
25.400	59.8	52.1	45.1	38.9	33.5	29.0	25.1
26.100	21.8	18.9	16.4	14.2	12.2	10.6	9.1
26.800	7.9	6.8	5.9	5.0	4.3	3.7	3.2
27.500	2.7	2.3	2.0	1.7	1.5	1.2	1.0
28.200	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.0			

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Peak Flow ----- Elevation Time Rate Rate (ft) (hr) (cfs) (csm)			
DA 2	0.152		6.443		12.43	333.4	2199.78

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
4.300	0.0	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.3	1.5	1.8
5.000	2.1	2.3	2.6	2.9	3.2	3.5	3.8
5.700	4.1	4.4	4.8	5.1	5.4	5.7	6.1
6.400	6.4	6.7	7.1	7.4	7.8	8.1	8.5
7.100	8.8	9.2	9.5	9.9	10.2	10.6	11.0
7.800	11.3	11.7	12.0	12.4	12.8	13.1	13.5
8.500	13.9	14.2	14.6	15.0	15.3	15.7	16.1
9.200	16.7	17.4	18.4	19.3	20.2	20.9	21.6
9.900	22.2	22.8	23.4	23.9	24.4	24.9	25.4
10.600	25.7	25.3	24.1	23.0	23.0	24.5	27.6
11.300	32.0	37.2	43.0	49.7	58.7	72.1	92.4
12.000	122.4	167.9	230.0	294.4	329.7	328.9	299.6
12.700	254.5	209.9	172.4	141.7	117.6	98.8	83.5
13.400	70.7	59.4	49.6	42.0	37.4	35.2	34.5
14.100	34.5	34.5	34.3	34.2	34.0	33.8	33.5

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
14.800	33.2	32.9	32.6	32.3	31.7	30.8	29.7
15.500	28.7	27.8	27.1	26.5	26.1	25.7	25.4
16.200	25.1	24.8	24.5	24.2	24.0	23.7	23.5
16.900	23.2	23.0	22.8	22.5	22.3	22.0	21.8
17.600	21.5	21.3	21.1	20.8	20.6	20.3	20.1
18.300	19.8	19.6	19.3	19.1	18.9	18.6	18.4
19.000	18.1	17.9	17.6	17.4	17.1	16.9	16.6
19.700	16.4	16.1	15.9	15.6	15.4	15.1	14.9
20.400	14.7	14.4	14.2	13.9	13.7	13.4	13.2
21.100	12.9	12.7	12.4	12.2	11.9	11.7	11.4
21.800	11.2	10.9	10.7	10.4	10.2	9.9	9.7
22.500	9.4	9.2	8.9	8.7	8.4	8.2	7.9
23.200	7.7	7.4	7.2	6.9	6.7	6.4	6.2
23.900	5.9	5.7	5.4	4.8	4.0	3.1	2.2
24.600	1.5	1.0	0.7	0.0			

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
Reach 1	3.670	Upstream	5.875	373.29	13.13	4054.5	1104.68

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
4.300	0.0	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.3	1.5	1.8
5.000	2.1	2.4	3.3	4.2	5.3	6.8	8.5
5.700	10.6	13.2	16.1	19.4	23.1	27.1	31.5
6.400	36.2	41.2	46.4	51.9	57.6	63.5	69.6
7.100	75.9	82.3	88.9	95.6	102.4	109.4	116.5
7.800	123.7	131.0	138.3	145.8	153.4	161.1	168.8
8.500	176.6	184.5	192.5	200.5	208.6	216.7	225.0
9.200	233.8	243.1	252.9	263.3	274.2	285.7	297.9
9.900	310.5	323.6	336.8	350.3	364.0	377.6	391.2
10.600	404.0	414.9	424.1	432.5	441.4	451.4	463.7
11.300	479.7	501.1	529.4	568.0	621.0	695.1	799.2
12.000	948.7	1162.4	1458.4	1808.8	2180.0	2556.4	2928.1
12.700	3277.0	3581.5	3809.0	3966.2	4038.5	4041.6	3975.3
13.400	3846.8	3671.9	3456.2	3209.7	2943.9	2687.8	2453.4
14.100	2242.1	2053.0	1886.0	1742.3	1615.4	1502.6	1404.9
14.800	1320.5	1247.4	1183.7	1129.0	1080.2	1036.7	995.9
15.500	958.6	924.2	892.0	861.9	833.8	807.8	783.0
16.200	760.1	738.7	718.8	700.6	683.6	668.0	654.0
16.900	641.3	629.3	617.9	607.0	596.5	586.5	576.8
17.600	567.6	559.0	551.2	544.0	537.2	530.6	524.2
18.300	517.9	511.8	505.7	499.8	493.9	488.0	482.2
19.000	476.4	470.6	464.7	458.9	453.1	447.4	441.6
19.700	435.8	430.0	424.2	418.4	412.7	406.9	401.1
20.400	395.3	389.5	383.8	378.0	372.2	366.4	360.6
21.100	354.8	349.0	343.2	337.4	331.6	325.8	320.0

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
21.800	314.1	308.3	302.5	296.7	290.8	285.0	279.1
22.500	273.3	267.5	261.6	255.8	249.9	244.0	238.2
23.200	232.3	226.4	220.6	214.7	208.8	202.9	197.1
23.900	191.2	185.2	179.1	172.4	165.0	156.9	148.2
24.600	138.8	128.9	118.6	107.7	97.4	87.2	77.5
25.300	68.3	59.8	52.1	45.1	38.9	33.5	29.0
26.000	25.1	21.8	18.9	16.4	14.2	12.2	10.6
26.700	9.1	7.9	6.8	5.9	5.0	4.3	3.7
27.400	3.2	2.7	2.3	2.0	1.7	1.5	1.2
28.100	1.0	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.0		

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
Reach 1	3.670	Downstream	5.875	373.29	13.21	4049.9	1103.43

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
4.500	0.3	0.9	1.1	1.4	1.6	1.9	2.2
5.200	2.7	3.7	4.6	5.8	7.3	9.3	11.5
5.900	14.2	17.2	20.7	24.5	28.7	33.2	38.0
6.600	43.1	48.4	54.0	59.7	65.7	71.8	78.2
7.300	84.6	91.3	98.0	104.9	111.9	119.0	126.3
8.000	133.6	141.0	148.5	156.2	163.8	171.6	179.5
8.700	187.4	195.3	203.4	211.5	219.7	228.1	237.1
9.400	246.5	256.6	267.2	278.3	290.1	302.4	315.2
10.100	328.3	341.7	355.2	368.9	382.5	395.8	408.0
10.800	418.3	427.2	435.6	444.8	455.6	469.2	487.1
11.500	510.8	542.2	585.2	644.8	729.5	848.8	1021.0
12.200	1266.5	1579.6	1940.1	2313.5	2690.4	3057.2	3388.5
12.900	3664.4	3870.6	3996.9	4047.1	4027.1	3933.9	3787.3
13.600	3596.1	3368.9	3115.0	2850.9	2601.0	2375.5	2172.0
14.300	1991.8	1833.9	1695.8	1574.2	1466.0	1373.1	1293.2
15.000	1223.8	1163.7	1111.2	1064.5	1021.8	982.2	946.1
15.700	912.5	881.1	851.7	824.4	798.8	774.7	752.3
16.400	731.3	712.2	694.4	677.9	662.9	649.4	636.9
17.100	625.1	613.9	603.2	592.9	583.0	573.5	564.5
17.800	556.1	548.6	541.5	534.8	528.3	521.9	515.7
18.500	509.6	503.6	497.6	491.8	485.9	480.1	474.3
19.200	468.5	462.7	456.9	451.1	445.3	439.5	433.7
19.900	427.9	422.1	416.4	410.6	404.8	399.0	393.2
20.600	387.5	381.7	375.9	370.1	364.3	358.5	352.7
21.300	346.9	341.1	335.3	329.5	323.7	317.9	312.1
22.000	306.2	300.4	294.6	288.7	282.9	277.1	271.2
22.700	265.4	259.5	253.7	247.8	241.9	236.1	230.2
23.400	224.3	218.5	212.6	206.7	200.8	195.0	189.1
24.100	183.1	176.7	169.8	162.1	153.8	144.9	135.3
24.800	125.3	114.8	104.0	93.7	83.7	74.2	65.2

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
25.500	57.0	49.5	42.8	36.9	31.9	27.6	23.9
26.200	20.7	18.0	15.5	13.4	11.6	10.0	8.7
26.900	7.5	6.5	5.6	4.8	4.1	3.5	3.0
27.600	2.6	2.2	1.9	1.6	1.4	1.2	1.0
28.300	0.8	0.7	0.0				

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
DA 3	0.141		6.562		12.50	294.5	2093.88

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
4.200	0.0	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.3	1.5	1.7
4.900	2.0	2.3	2.5	2.8	3.1	3.4	3.7
5.600	3.9	4.2	4.5	4.8	5.2	5.5	5.8
6.300	6.1	6.4	6.7	7.0	7.4	7.7	8.0
7.000	8.3	8.7	9.0	9.3	9.7	10.0	10.4
7.700	10.7	11.0	11.4	11.7	12.0	12.4	12.7
8.400	13.1	13.4	13.7	14.1	14.4	14.8	15.1
9.100	15.5	15.9	16.6	17.4	18.2	19.0	19.8
9.800	20.5	21.1	21.6	22.2	22.7	23.2	23.7
10.500	24.1	24.4	24.3	23.5	22.6	22.3	23.1
11.200	25.2	28.6	32.9	37.9	43.8	51.2	62.0
11.900	78.0	101.8	137.7	186.3	240.0	280.4	294.3
12.600	283.4	254.9	217.4	182.0	152.0	127.3	107.1
13.300	90.7	77.0	65.2	54.9	46.6	40.5	36.9
14.000	34.9	33.9	33.4	32.9	32.5	32.1	31.8
14.700	31.5	31.2	31.0	30.7	30.3	29.9	29.2
15.400	28.3	27.4	26.5	25.8	25.2	24.7	24.3
16.100	24.0	23.6	23.4	23.1	22.8	22.6	22.3
16.800	22.1	21.9	21.6	21.4	21.2	20.9	20.7
17.500	20.5	20.3	20.0	19.8	19.6	19.3	19.1
18.200	18.9	18.7	18.4	18.2	18.0	17.7	17.5
18.900	17.3	17.1	16.8	16.6	16.4	16.1	15.9
19.600	15.7	15.4	15.2	15.0	14.8	14.5	14.3
20.300	14.1	13.8	13.6	13.4	13.1	12.9	12.7
21.000	12.4	12.2	12.0	11.7	11.5	11.3	11.0
21.700	10.8	10.6	10.4	10.1	9.9	9.6	9.4
22.400	9.2	9.0	8.7	8.5	8.3	8.0	7.8
23.100	7.6	7.3	7.1	6.9	6.6	6.4	6.2
23.800	5.9	5.7	5.5	5.2	4.8	4.2	3.4
24.500	2.6	1.9	1.3	0.9	0.7	0.0	

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	----- Rate (cfs)	----- Rate (csm)
DA 4A	0.014		6.617		12.17	50.7	3605.55

Line Start Time (hr)	----- (cfs)	Flow Values @ time (cfs)	increment (cfs)	of 0.100 hr (cfs)	----- (cfs)	----- (cfs)
5.300	0.0	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7
6.000	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.9
6.700	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.2
7.400	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.4
8.100	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.6
8.800	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.8	2.0	2.2
9.500	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.4	2.5
10.200	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.4	1.9
10.900	2.4	3.0	3.6	4.3	5.0	6.4
11.600	7.8	10.5	14.3	19.5	26.6	41.0
12.300	34.5	24.4	17.6	13.0	9.5	7.9
13.000	6.1	5.3	4.6	3.8	3.1	2.3
13.700	2.9	3.2	3.3	3.3	3.2	3.2
14.400	3.2	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.0	3.0
15.100	2.8	2.6	2.5	2.5	2.4	2.4
15.800	2.4	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.2
16.500	2.2	2.2	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1
17.200	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.9	1.9
17.900	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.7
18.600	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.6
19.300	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.4
20.000	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.2
20.700	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.1
21.400	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.9
22.100	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8
22.800	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.6
23.500	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.0		

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	----- Rate (cfs)	----- Rate (csm)
DA 4B	0.094		6.681		12.30	262.1	2796.13

Line Start Time (hr)	----- (cfs)	Flow Values @ time (cfs)	increment (cfs)	of 0.100 hr (cfs)	----- (cfs)	----- (cfs)
3.900	0.0	0.6	0.7	0.9	1.1	1.3
4.600	1.6	1.8	2.0	2.2	2.4	2.6
5.300	3.0	3.2	3.4	3.6	3.8	4.0
6.000	4.4	4.7	4.9	5.1	5.3	5.5
6.700	6.0	6.2	6.4	6.7	6.9	7.1
7.400	7.6	7.8	8.0	8.2	8.5	8.7
8.100	9.2	9.4	9.6	9.8	10.1	10.3

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
8.800	10.7	11.0	11.2	11.5	12.1	13.0	13.7
9.500	14.2	14.6	15.0	15.3	15.7	16.0	16.3
10.200	16.6	16.9	17.2	17.5	17.3	15.7	13.9
10.900	13.9	15.9	19.0	22.6	26.7	31.0	35.4
11.600	40.8	50.2	65.4	88.0	119.4	168.8	234.8
12.300	261.9	233.8	184.9	143.6	109.8	84.4	67.1
13.000	55.5	47.1	40.2	34.1	28.6	23.4	19.1
13.700	17.8	19.0	20.5	21.1	21.4	21.4	21.4
14.400	21.2	21.1	20.9	20.7	20.5	20.3	20.1
15.100	19.8	19.0	18.0	17.2	16.7	16.4	16.2
15.800	16.0	15.8	15.6	15.5	15.3	15.2	15.0
16.500	14.9	14.7	14.5	14.4	14.2	14.1	13.9
17.200	13.8	13.6	13.5	13.3	13.2	13.0	12.9
17.900	12.7	12.6	12.4	12.3	12.1	11.9	11.8
18.600	11.6	11.5	11.3	11.2	11.0	10.9	10.7
19.300	10.6	10.4	10.2	10.1	9.9	9.8	9.6
20.000	9.5	9.3	9.2	9.0	8.8	8.7	8.5
20.700	8.4	8.2	8.1	7.9	7.8	7.6	7.4
21.400	7.3	7.1	7.0	6.8	6.7	6.5	6.4
22.100	6.2	6.0	5.9	5.7	5.6	5.4	5.3
22.800	5.1	5.0	4.8	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.2
23.500	4.0	3.9	3.7	3.5	3.4	3.2	3.0
24.200	2.3	1.4	0.7	0.0			

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
Reach 2	3.919	Upstream	5.921	368.21	13.17	4199.6	1071.67

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
3.900	0.0	0.6	0.7	0.9	1.7	2.1	2.8
4.600	3.7	4.4	5.1	5.8	6.5	7.3	8.3
5.300	9.7	11.7	13.4	15.5	17.9	20.7	23.9
6.000	27.6	31.6	35.9	40.7	45.8	51.1	56.8
6.700	62.7	68.8	75.2	81.8	88.5	95.4	102.5
7.400	109.7	117.0	124.5	132.1	139.8	147.7	155.6
8.100	163.6	171.8	180.0	188.3	196.6	205.1	213.6
8.800	222.2	230.8	239.5	248.5	258.2	268.7	279.8
9.500	291.2	303.1	315.4	328.3	341.5	355.2	369.2
10.200	383.5	397.9	412.4	426.8	439.9	449.7	457.7
10.900	466.1	476.9	490.6	507.8	529.5	556.6	590.6
11.600	634.6	697.3	786.8	915.2	1097.0	1369.2	1737.3
12.300	2116.3	2478.0	2810.0	3130.1	3431.3	3698.3	3920.5
13.000	4084.0	4176.6	4198.9	4155.3	4042.5	3877.8	3672.1
13.700	3436.0	3177.5	2911.2	2660.1	2433.8	2230.0	2049.1
14.400	1890.6	1752.1	1629.9	1521.2	1427.7	1347.3	1277.5
15.100	1216.6	1162.6	1114.1	1069.8	1028.7	991.3	956.7

Line Start Time (hr)	----- (cfs)	Flow Values @ time (cfs)	increment (cfs)	of 0.100 hr (cfs)	----- (cfs)	----- (cfs)	----- (cfs)
15.800	924.5	894.5	866.6	840.5	815.9	793.0	771.6
16.500	752.0	733.8	716.9	701.5	687.6	674.7	662.5
17.200	650.9	639.8	629.1	618.7	608.9	599.5	590.7
17.900	582.7	575.3	568.1	561.2	554.4	547.8	541.3
18.600	534.9	528.5	522.3	516.0	509.8	503.6	497.3
19.300	491.1	484.9	478.7	472.5	466.3	460.1	453.9
20.000	447.7	441.5	435.4	429.2	423.0	416.8	410.6
20.700	404.4	398.2	392.0	385.8	379.6	373.4	367.2
21.400	361.0	354.7	348.5	342.3	336.1	329.8	323.6
22.100	317.4	311.1	304.9	298.6	292.4	286.1	279.9
22.800	273.6	267.3	261.0	254.8	248.5	242.2	235.9
23.500	229.7	223.4	217.1	210.5	204.0	197.7	191.2
24.200	183.8	175.3	166.2	156.4	146.7	136.6	126.2
24.900	115.4	104.0	93.7	83.7	74.2	65.2	57.0
25.600	49.5	42.7	36.9	31.9	27.6	23.9	20.7
26.300	17.9	15.5	13.4	11.6	10.0	8.7	7.5
27.000	6.4	5.6	4.8	4.1	3.5	3.0	2.6
27.700	2.2	1.9	1.6	1.4	1.2	1.0	0.8
28.400	0.7	0.0					

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
Reach 2	3.919	Downstream	5.921	368.21	13.21	4197.9	1071.23

Line Start Time (hr)	----- (cfs)	Flow Values @ time (cfs)	increment (cfs)	of 0.100 hr (cfs)	----- (cfs)	----- (cfs)	----- (cfs)
4.200	0.0	1.3	2.0	2.4	3.6	4.2	4.9
4.900	5.6	6.3	7.1	8.0	9.3	11.2	12.9
5.600	14.9	17.2	19.9	23.0	26.5	30.4	34.7
6.300	39.3	44.3	49.6	55.1	61.0	67.1	73.4
7.000	79.9	86.5	93.4	100.4	107.6	114.9	122.3
7.700	129.9	137.6	145.4	153.3	161.3	169.4	177.6
8.400	185.9	194.2	202.6	211.1	219.7	228.3	237.0
9.100	245.8	255.4	265.6	276.5	287.9	299.6	311.8
9.800	324.5	337.7	351.3	365.2	379.4	393.7	408.2
10.500	422.6	436.3	447.1	455.4	463.6	473.6	486.4
11.200	502.5	522.8	548.3	580.2	620.9	677.6	758.5
11.900	874.7	1039.2	1281.6	1626.9	2008.1	2376.4	2715.3
12.600	3038.6	3345.6	3624.5	3860.7	4041.2	4155.0	4197.1
13.300	4172.3	4080.3	3929.0	3734.3	3506.4	3253.2	2987.4
14.000	2731.4	2497.5	2287.3	2099.6	1934.5	1791.0	1664.2
14.700	1551.7	1453.8	1369.7	1296.9	1233.5	1177.8	1127.8
15.400	1082.4	1040.3	1001.9	966.5	933.7	903.0	874.5
16.100	847.9	822.9	799.5	777.7	757.5	739.0	721.7
16.800	705.8	691.5	678.4	666.0	654.2	643.0	632.1
17.500	621.7	611.7	602.1	593.2	585.0	577.4	570.2
18.200	563.2	556.4	549.7	543.2	536.7	530.4	524.1

Line Start Time (hr)	Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr						
	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)
18.900	517.8	511.6	505.4	499.1	492.9	486.7	480.5
19.600	474.3	468.1	461.9	455.7	449.5	443.3	437.1
20.300	431.0	424.8	418.6	412.4	406.2	400.0	393.8
21.000	387.6	381.4	375.2	369.0	362.8	356.5	350.3
21.700	344.1	337.9	331.6	325.4	319.2	312.9	306.7
22.400	300.4	294.2	287.9	281.7	275.4	269.1	262.9
23.100	256.6	250.3	244.0	237.8	231.5	225.2	218.9
23.800	212.6	205.8	199.6	193.1	186.0	177.8	168.9
24.500	159.2	149.5	139.6	129.2	118.5	107.2	96.6
25.200	86.6	76.9	67.8	59.3	51.6	44.6	38.5
25.900	33.2	28.8	24.9	21.6	18.7	16.2	14.0
26.600	12.1	10.5	9.0	7.8	6.7	5.8	5.0
27.300	4.3	3.7	3.2	2.7	2.3	2.0	1.7
28.000	1.4	1.2	1.0	0.9	0.7	0.0	

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	Peak Flow			
				Elevation (ft)	Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
DA 5	0.133		6.205		12.26	370.6	2790.14

Line Start Time (hr)	Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr						
	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)
4.600	0.5	0.7	0.9	1.2	1.4	1.6	1.9
5.300	2.1	2.4	2.6	2.9	3.1	3.4	3.7
6.000	3.9	4.2	4.5	4.8	5.1	5.3	5.6
6.700	5.9	6.2	6.5	6.8	7.1	7.4	7.7
7.400	8.0	8.3	8.6	9.0	9.3	9.6	9.9
8.100	10.2	10.5	10.8	11.2	11.5	11.8	12.1
8.800	12.4	12.7	13.1	13.5	14.5	15.6	16.4
9.500	17.1	17.6	18.1	18.6	19.0	19.5	19.9
10.200	20.3	20.8	21.2	21.7	21.3	18.6	16.5
10.900	17.4	20.6	25.0	30.0	35.4	41.1	47.0
11.600	54.6	68.8	91.5	124.7	170.8	246.6	346.1
12.300	363.4	302.2	232.0	177.0	133.5	102.5	82.6
13.000	69.5	59.5	51.0	43.5	36.4	29.5	24.1
13.700	23.9	26.6	28.6	29.4	29.6	29.6	29.5
14.400	29.2	29.0	28.7	28.5	28.2	27.9	27.6
15.100	27.1	25.8	24.4	23.4	22.9	22.5	22.2
15.800	21.9	21.7	21.5	21.3	21.1	20.9	20.7
16.500	20.5	20.3	20.1	19.8	19.6	19.4	19.2
17.200	19.0	18.8	18.6	18.4	18.2	18.0	17.7
17.900	17.5	17.3	17.1	16.9	16.7	16.5	16.3
18.600	16.1	15.8	15.6	15.4	15.2	15.0	14.8
19.300	14.6	14.4	14.1	13.9	13.7	13.5	13.3
20.000	13.1	12.8	12.6	12.4	12.2	12.0	11.8
20.700	11.6	11.4	11.1	10.9	10.7	10.5	10.3
21.400	10.1	9.8	9.6	9.4	9.2	9.0	8.8
22.100	8.5	8.3	8.1	7.9	7.7	7.5	7.3

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
22.800	7.0	6.8	6.6	6.4	6.2	6.0	5.7
23.500	5.5	5.3	5.1	4.9	4.6	4.4	4.0
24.200	2.8	1.5	0.7	0.0			

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
DA 6A	0.047		6.675		12.39	111.4	2376.25

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
4.300	0.0	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.0
5.000	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.6	1.7
5.700	1.8	1.9	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.4
6.400	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	3.1	3.2
7.100	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.6	3.7	3.8	4.0
7.800	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.8
8.500	4.9	5.0	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.4	5.6
9.200	5.8	6.1	6.4	6.7	7.0	7.2	7.4
9.900	7.6	7.7	7.9	8.1	8.2	8.4	8.5
10.600	8.6	8.3	7.8	7.4	7.5	8.3	9.5
11.300	11.1	12.9	14.9	17.2	20.4	25.3	32.8
12.000	43.7	60.2	82.6	103.6	111.4	105.8	91.5
12.700	74.9	60.6	48.9	39.8	33.0	27.7	23.4
13.400	19.8	16.5	13.7	11.6	10.6	10.4	10.5
14.100	10.6	10.7	10.7	10.7	10.6	10.5	10.5
14.800	10.4	10.3	10.2	10.1	9.8	9.5	9.1
15.500	8.8	8.5	8.3	8.2	8.1	8.0	7.9
16.200	7.8	7.7	7.6	7.5	7.5	7.4	7.3
16.900	7.2	7.2	7.1	7.0	6.9	6.8	6.8
17.600	6.7	6.6	6.5	6.5	6.4	6.3	6.2
18.300	6.2	6.1	6.0	5.9	5.8	5.8	5.7
19.000	5.6	5.5	5.5	5.4	5.3	5.2	5.2
19.700	5.1	5.0	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.6
20.400	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.1
21.100	4.0	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.5
21.800	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.2	3.1	3.1	3.0
22.500	2.9	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.4
23.200	2.4	2.3	2.2	2.1	2.0	2.0	1.9
23.900	1.8	1.7	1.6	1.4	1.1	0.8	0.5
24.600	0.0						

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
DA 6B	0.014		7.704		12.13	64.5	4586.90

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
2.800	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7
3.500	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9
4.200	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.2
4.900	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.4
5.600	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.6
6.300	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.8	1.8	1.8
7.000	1.9	1.9	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
7.700	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2
8.400	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.4	2.4
9.100	2.7	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.1
9.800	3.1	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.3	3.3	3.3
10.500	3.4	2.2	2.0	2.7	3.4	4.2	5.0
11.200	5.7	6.5	7.3	8.1	11.0	14.6	20.1
11.900	26.3	36.7	60.7	43.8	26.9	19.1	14.3
12.600	10.2	8.2	7.3	6.5	5.7	5.0	4.2
13.300	3.4	2.6	1.8	2.8	3.4	3.4	3.4
14.000	3.4	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.2	3.2	3.2
14.700	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.0	2.7	2.6	2.5
15.400	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4
16.100	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.2
16.800	2.2	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.0	2.0
17.500	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9
18.200	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.7
18.900	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.5
19.600	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4
20.300	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.2
21.000	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.0
21.700	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9
22.400	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.7
23.100	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5
23.800	0.0						

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
Reach 3	4.112	Upstream	5.945	354.91	13.20	4280.1	1040.75

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
2.800	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7
3.500	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9
4.200	1.0	2.3	3.5	4.1	5.8	6.9	7.9
4.900	8.9	10.0	11.1	12.4	14.1	16.4	18.5
5.600	20.8	23.5	26.7	30.2	34.1	38.4	43.1
6.300	48.1	53.5	59.2	65.2	71.5	78.0	84.8
7.000	91.7	98.8	106.1	113.6	121.2	129.0	136.9
7.700	144.9	153.0	161.3	169.7	178.1	186.7	195.3
8.400	204.0	212.8	221.7	230.7	239.7	248.8	257.9

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
9.100	267.6	278.5	290.2	302.3	314.6	327.2	340.2
9.800	353.6	367.4	381.7	396.2	411.0	426.0	441.1
10.500	456.2	468.4	476.1	482.4	491.8	505.9	524.6
11.200	547.7	575.8	609.6	650.1	703.6	781.2	895.4
11.900	1058.3	1290.2	1648.9	2098.9	2501.4	2808.6	3067.0
12.600	3317.0	3561.9	3794.5	3998.4	4156.0	4252.2	4279.9
13.300	4242.8	4139.3	3977.1	3775.2	3545.6	3294.3	3030.1
14.000	2775.0	2541.4	2331.2	2143.3	1977.9	1834.0	1706.8
14.700	1593.9	1495.6	1411.1	1337.8	1273.5	1216.1	1164.3
15.400	1117.6	1074.6	1035.4	999.6	966.3	935.3	906.4
16.100	879.5	854.1	830.4	808.3	787.8	768.9	751.4
16.800	735.2	720.5	707.1	694.4	682.3	670.7	659.6
17.500	648.8	638.5	628.7	619.4	610.9	603.0	595.4
18.200	588.1	581.0	574.1	567.2	560.5	553.8	547.2
18.900	540.6	534.0	527.5	521.0	514.4	507.9	501.4
19.600	494.9	488.3	481.8	475.3	468.8	462.3	455.8
20.300	449.3	442.8	436.3	429.8	423.3	416.8	410.3
21.000	403.7	397.2	390.7	384.1	377.6	371.1	364.5
21.700	358.0	351.5	344.9	338.3	331.8	325.2	318.7
22.400	312.1	305.5	299.0	292.4	285.8	279.2	272.6
23.100	266.0	259.4	252.8	246.2	239.6	233.0	226.4
23.800	219.2	212.2	205.7	198.7	190.2	180.4	170.4
24.500	159.7	149.5	139.5	129.1	118.5	107.1	96.6
25.200	86.5	76.8	67.7	59.2	51.5	44.6	38.5
25.900	33.2	28.7	24.9	21.6	18.7	16.2	14.0
26.600	12.1	10.5	9.0	7.8	6.7	5.8	5.0
27.300	4.3	3.7	3.2	2.7	2.3	2.0	1.7
28.000	1.4	1.2	1.0	0.9	0.7	0.0	

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Peak Flow ----- Elevation (ft)	Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
Reach 3	4.112	Downstream	5.944	354.90	13.25	4271.5	1038.67

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
4.300	0.0	1.1	3.5	4.8	6.2	7.3	8.3
5.000	9.4	10.4	11.6	13.1	15.1	17.2	19.4
5.700	21.9	24.8	28.0	31.7	35.8	40.2	45.1
6.400	50.3	55.8	61.6	67.7	74.1	80.7	87.5
7.100	94.5	101.7	109.1	116.6	124.3	132.1	140.0
7.800	148.1	156.3	164.6	173.0	181.5	190.1	198.8
8.500	207.5	216.4	225.3	234.2	243.3	252.4	261.7
9.200	271.9	283.1	295.0	307.2	319.6	332.4	345.5
9.900	359.1	373.1	387.4	402.1	417.0	432.0	447.1
10.600	461.2	471.3	478.4	486.1	497.4	513.4	533.8
11.300	558.9	589.2	625.7	671.1	734.3	826.3	959.9
12.000	1149.8	1430.6	1833.7	2261.7	2621.2	2908.0	3165.4

Line Start Time (hr)	Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr						
	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)
12.700	3413.7	3654.8	3875.2	4060.5	4193.6	4262.2	4264.3
13.400	4201.4	4073.7	3896.0	3683.6	3445.5	3189.0	2928.8
14.100	2682.6	2458.6	2257.0	2078.2	1921.5	1784.0	1662.4
14.800	1555.3	1462.3	1382.3	1312.6	1251.0	1195.7	1145.9
15.500	1100.6	1059.1	1021.3	986.4	954.0	923.9	895.8
16.200	869.5	844.7	821.7	800.2	780.4	762.0	745.0
16.900	729.4	715.2	702.1	689.6	677.7	666.3	655.3
17.600	644.8	634.6	625.0	616.0	607.8	600.0	592.6
18.300	585.3	578.3	571.4	564.5	557.8	551.2	544.6
19.000	538.0	531.5	524.9	518.4	511.8	505.3	498.8
19.700	492.3	485.8	479.3	472.8	466.3	459.7	453.2
20.400	446.7	440.2	433.7	427.2	420.7	414.2	407.7
21.100	401.2	394.6	388.1	381.6	375.0	368.5	362.0
21.800	355.4	348.9	342.3	335.8	329.2	322.6	316.1
22.500	309.5	302.9	296.4	289.8	283.2	276.6	270.0
23.200	263.4	256.8	250.2	243.6	237.0	230.4	223.6
23.900	216.4	209.7	202.9	195.3	186.3	176.4	166.1
24.600	155.6	145.5	135.4	124.9	114.0	103.0	92.6
25.300	82.6	73.2	64.3	56.2	48.8	42.2	36.4
26.000	31.4	27.2	23.6	20.4	17.7	15.3	13.3
26.700	11.5	9.9	8.5	7.4	6.4	5.5	4.7
27.400	4.1	3.5	3.0	2.6	2.2	1.9	1.6
28.100	1.3	1.1	1.0	0.8	0.0		

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
DA 7	0.030		6.908		12.23	94.0	3166.28

Line Start Time (hr)	Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr						
	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)
4.000	0.0	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.8
4.700	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.3
5.400	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.7	1.8
6.100	1.9	1.9	2.0	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.3
6.800	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.8
7.500	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.1	3.2	3.2	3.3
8.200	3.4	3.4	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.7	3.8
8.900	3.9	3.9	4.1	4.4	4.7	4.9	5.0
9.600	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.4	5.5	5.6	5.7
10.300	5.8	5.9	6.0	5.8	4.8	4.3	4.8
11.000	5.8	7.0	8.4	9.8	11.3	12.8	14.9
11.700	19.0	25.3	34.3	46.4	67.0	91.7	87.8
12.400	67.3	50.4	37.6	27.9	21.5	17.6	15.0
13.100	13.0	11.2	9.5	7.9	6.3	5.2	5.6
13.800	6.4	6.8	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.8	6.8
14.500	6.7	6.6	6.6	6.5	6.4	6.4	6.2
15.200	5.9	5.5	5.4	5.2	5.2	5.1	5.1

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
15.900	5.0	5.0	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.7
16.600	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4
17.300	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.0
18.000	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.7
18.700	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.4	3.3
19.400	3.3	3.2	3.2	3.1	3.1	3.0	3.0
20.100	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.6
20.800	2.6	2.5	2.5	2.4	2.4	2.3	2.3
21.500	2.2	2.2	2.1	2.1	2.0	2.0	1.9
22.200	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.6
22.900	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.2
23.600	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.6
24.300	0.0						

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Peak Flow ----- Elevation Time Rate Rate (ft) (hr) (cfs) (csm)			
DA 8	0.077		6.799		12.29	211.2	2758.83

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
3.800	0.0	0.6	0.7	0.9	1.0	1.2	1.3
4.500	1.5	1.6	1.8	1.9	2.1	2.3	2.4
5.200	2.6	2.8	2.9	3.1	3.3	3.5	3.6
5.900	3.8	4.0	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.9
6.600	5.1	5.3	5.5	5.7	5.8	6.0	6.2
7.300	6.4	6.6	6.8	7.0	7.1	7.3	7.5
8.000	7.7	7.9	8.1	8.3	8.4	8.6	8.8
8.700	9.0	9.2	9.4	9.5	9.8	10.3	10.9
9.400	11.5	12.0	12.4	12.7	13.0	13.2	13.5
10.100	13.7	14.0	14.2	14.5	14.7	14.6	13.4
10.800	12.0	11.8	13.1	15.5	18.5	21.8	25.3
11.500	28.9	33.4	40.6	52.4	70.1	94.7	133.0
12.200	184.8	211.2	195.0	158.0	123.7	95.5	73.8
12.900	58.4	48.1	40.5	34.5	29.3	24.5	20.1
13.600	16.5	15.0	15.6	16.7	17.3	17.5	17.6
14.300	17.6	17.5	17.3	17.2	17.0	16.9	16.7
15.000	16.5	16.3	15.7	14.9	14.3	13.8	13.5
15.700	13.3	13.1	13.0	12.9	12.7	12.6	12.5
16.400	12.3	12.2	12.1	12.0	11.8	11.7	11.6
17.100	11.5	11.3	11.2	11.1	11.0	10.8	10.7
17.800	10.6	10.5	10.3	10.2	10.1	10.0	9.8
18.500	9.7	9.6	9.4	9.3	9.2	9.1	8.9
19.200	8.8	8.7	8.6	8.4	8.3	8.2	8.0
19.900	7.9	7.8	7.7	7.5	7.4	7.3	7.2
20.600	7.0	6.9	6.8	6.6	6.5	6.4	6.3
21.300	6.1	6.0	5.9	5.8	5.6	5.5	5.4
22.000	5.2	5.1	5.0	4.9	4.7	4.6	4.5

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
22.700	4.4	4.2	4.1	4.0	3.8	3.7	3.6
23.400	3.5	3.3	3.2	3.1	2.9	2.8	2.7
24.100	2.5	2.0	1.3	0.7	0.0		

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
Reach 4	4.219	Upstream	5.967	352.90	13.24	4313.9	1022.55

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
3.800	0.0	0.6	0.7	1.4	1.6	1.8	3.1
4.500	5.8	7.2	8.8	10.1	11.4	12.7	14.0
5.200	15.4	17.1	19.4	21.7	24.2	26.9	30.1
5.900	33.6	37.5	41.8	46.5	51.6	57.1	62.8
6.600	68.9	75.3	81.9	88.8	95.9	103.1	110.6
7.300	118.2	126.0	133.9	142.0	150.2	158.5	167.0
8.000	175.5	184.2	192.9	201.8	210.7	219.7	228.8
8.700	238.0	247.2	256.5	265.8	275.6	286.6	298.7
9.400	311.4	324.2	337.1	350.2	363.8	377.7	392.0
10.100	406.7	421.7	437.0	452.4	467.8	481.5	489.5
10.800	494.7	502.6	516.4	535.9	560.7	590.5	625.8
11.500	667.4	719.3	793.9	904.0	1064.3	1290.9	1630.6
12.200	2110.1	2560.7	2883.5	3116.4	3326.8	3537.2	3750.1
12.900	3951.2	4123.6	4247.0	4307.8	4303.2	4233.8	4100.1
13.600	3917.7	3704.2	3467.5	3212.4	2953.0	2707.0	2483.1
14.300	2281.4	2102.4	1945.6	1807.9	1686.0	1578.6	1485.5
15.000	1405.2	1335.1	1272.6	1216.2	1165.6	1119.7	1077.8
15.700	1039.7	1004.6	972.0	941.7	913.4	886.9	862.0
16.400	838.8	817.1	797.2	778.6	761.4	745.7	731.3
17.100	717.9	705.3	693.3	681.7	670.5	659.8	649.5
17.800	639.7	630.5	622.1	614.1	606.5	599.1	591.9
18.500	584.8	577.8	570.9	564.1	557.3	550.5	543.8
19.200	537.1	530.4	523.7	517.0	510.3	503.6	496.9
19.900	490.2	483.6	476.9	470.2	463.5	456.8	450.1
20.600	443.4	436.7	430.1	423.4	416.7	410.0	403.3
21.300	396.6	389.9	383.2	376.4	369.7	363.0	356.3
22.000	349.5	342.8	336.1	329.3	322.6	315.8	309.1
22.700	302.4	295.6	288.8	282.0	275.3	268.5	261.7
23.400	255.0	248.2	241.4	234.6	227.6	220.3	213.3
24.100	206.3	197.7	187.5	177.1	166.1	155.6	145.5
24.800	135.4	124.9	114.0	103.0	92.6	82.7	73.2
25.500	64.3	56.2	48.8	42.2	36.4	31.4	27.2
26.200	23.6	20.4	17.7	15.3	13.3	11.5	9.9
26.900	8.5	7.4	6.4	5.5	4.7	4.1	3.5
27.600	3.0	2.6	2.2	1.9	1.6	1.3	1.1
28.300	1.0	0.8	0.0				

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	----- Rate (cfs)	----- Rate (csm)
Reach 4	4.219	Downstream	5.966	352.90	13.29	4311.4	1021.97

Line Start Time (hr)	----- (cfs)	Flow (cfs)	Values @ time (cfs)	increment (cfs)	of 0.100 hr (cfs)	----- (cfs)	----- (cfs)
4.100	0.0	1.3	1.7	1.9	4.8	6.5	8.1
4.800	9.5	10.8	12.1	13.4	14.7	16.3	18.3
5.500	20.6	23.0	25.6	28.5	31.8	35.5	39.7
6.200	44.2	49.1	54.4	60.0	65.9	72.2	78.7
6.900	85.4	92.4	99.6	106.9	114.5	122.2	130.0
7.600	138.0	146.2	154.4	162.8	171.3	180.0	188.7
8.300	197.4	206.3	215.3	224.4	233.5	242.7	251.9
9.000	261.3	270.7	281.1	292.7	305.2	318.0	330.8
9.700	343.8	357.1	370.9	385.0	399.5	414.4	429.5
10.400	444.8	460.2	475.2	486.1	492.1	498.3	509.1
11.100	525.9	548.1	575.5	608.0	646.5	692.5	754.8
11.800	846.4	981.0	1173.0	1450.6	1870.4	2351.0	2736.5
12.500	3007.3	3224.3	3434.3	3646.4	3854.7	4043.0	4191.9
13.200	4284.0	4311.2	4273.5	4170.4	4010.1	3810.6	3584.9
13.900	3338.2	3079.0	2825.3	2590.4	2377.9	2187.9	2020.3
14.600	1873.6	1744.2	1629.8	1529.7	1443.4	1368.6	1302.5
15.300	1243.2	1189.8	1141.7	1097.9	1058.1	1021.5	987.7
16.000	956.3	927.1	899.7	874.0	850.0	827.5	806.8
16.700	787.5	769.6	753.2	738.2	724.4	711.4	699.1
17.400	687.3	675.9	665.0	654.5	644.4	634.9	626.1
18.100	618.0	610.2	602.7	595.4	588.2	581.2	574.2
18.800	567.4	560.6	553.8	547.1	540.4	533.7	527.0
19.500	520.3	513.6	506.9	500.2	493.5	486.8	480.1
20.200	473.4	466.7	460.1	453.4	446.7	440.0	433.3
20.900	426.6	420.0	413.3	406.6	399.8	393.1	386.4
21.600	379.7	373.0	366.3	359.6	352.8	346.1	339.4
22.300	332.6	325.9	319.1	312.4	305.7	298.9	292.1
23.000	285.3	278.6	271.8	265.0	258.3	251.5	244.7
23.700	237.9	231.1	223.8	216.7	209.8	202.1	192.4
24.400	182.2	171.5	160.7	150.4	140.4	130.0	119.4
25.100	108.3	97.6	87.5	77.8	68.6	60.1	52.3
25.800	45.3	39.1	33.8	29.2	25.3	21.9	19.0
26.500	16.5	14.2	12.3	10.6	9.2	7.9	6.8
27.200	5.9	5.1	4.4	3.8	3.2	2.8	2.4
27.900	2.0	1.7	1.5	1.2	1.0	0.9	0.0

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	----- Rate (cfs)	----- Rate (csm)
DA 9	0.155		6.325		12.28	432.6	2796.50

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
4.300	0.0	0.6	0.8	1.1	1.3	1.6	1.9
5.000	2.1	2.4	2.7	3.0	3.3	3.6	3.9
5.700	4.3	4.6	4.9	5.2	5.6	5.9	6.2
6.400	6.6	6.9	7.3	7.6	8.0	8.3	8.7
7.100	9.0	9.4	9.7	10.1	10.5	10.8	11.2
7.800	11.6	11.9	12.3	12.7	13.0	13.4	13.8
8.500	14.2	14.5	14.9	15.3	15.6	16.0	16.5
9.200	17.6	19.0	20.0	20.8	21.4	22.0	22.5
9.900	23.1	23.6	24.1	24.6	25.1	25.7	26.1
10.600	25.7	22.7	20.1	20.9	24.6	29.7	35.6
11.300	42.0	48.8	55.8	64.7	81.0	107.4	145.8
12.000	199.1	286.2	400.7	427.4	361.1	278.4	213.2
12.700	161.1	123.7	99.3	83.2	71.1	60.9	51.9
13.400	43.5	35.3	28.8	28.2	31.1	33.5	34.4
14.100	34.7	34.7	34.6	34.3	34.0	33.7	33.4
14.800	33.1	32.8	32.4	31.8	30.4	28.8	27.6
15.500	26.9	26.4	26.1	25.8	25.5	25.3	25.0
16.200	24.7	24.5	24.3	24.0	23.8	23.5	23.3
16.900	23.0	22.8	22.5	22.3	22.1	21.8	21.6
17.600	21.3	21.1	20.8	20.6	20.3	20.1	19.8
18.300	19.6	19.3	19.1	18.8	18.6	18.3	18.1
19.000	17.8	17.6	17.3	17.1	16.8	16.6	16.3
19.700	16.1	15.8	15.6	15.3	15.1	14.8	14.6
20.400	14.3	14.1	13.8	13.6	13.3	13.1	12.8
21.100	12.6	12.3	12.0	11.8	11.5	11.3	11.0
21.800	10.8	10.5	10.3	10.0	9.8	9.5	9.3
22.500	9.0	8.8	8.5	8.2	8.0	7.7	7.5
23.200	7.2	7.0	6.7	6.5	6.2	6.0	5.7
23.900	5.5	5.2	4.7	3.4	1.9	0.9	0.0

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	Rain Gage ID or Location	Runoff Amount (in)	----- Elevation (ft)	Peak Flow Time (hr)	Rate (cfs)	Rate (csm)
OUTLET	4.373		5.979		13.28	4364.6	997.98

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
4.100	0.0	1.3	1.7	2.5	5.6	7.5	9.4
4.800	11.1	12.7	14.2	15.8	17.4	19.3	21.6
5.500	24.2	26.9	29.8	33.1	36.7	40.8	45.2
6.200	50.1	55.3	60.9	66.9	73.2	79.8	86.6
6.900	93.7	101.0	108.6	116.3	124.2	132.3	140.5
7.600	148.8	157.4	166.0	174.8	183.6	192.6	201.7
8.300	210.9	220.1	229.5	238.9	248.4	257.9	267.6
9.000	277.3	287.3	298.7	311.7	325.2	338.7	352.2
9.700	365.8	379.7	393.9	408.6	423.6	439.0	454.7
10.400	470.5	486.4	501.0	508.8	512.2	519.2	533.7
11.100	555.6	583.8	617.5	656.8	702.3	757.2	835.9

Line Start Time (hr)	----- Flow Values @ time increment of 0.100 hr ----- (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs) (cfs)						
11.800	953.7	1126.8	1372.1	1736.7	2271.0	2778.1	3097.7
12.500	3285.7	3437.5	3595.4	3770.1	3954.0	4126.2	4263.0
13.200	4344.9	4363.1	4317.0	4205.7	4038.9	3838.7	3616.0
13.900	3371.6	3113.4	2860.0	2625.1	2412.5	2222.2	2054.3
14.600	1907.3	1777.7	1662.9	1562.5	1475.8	1400.4	1333.0
15.300	1272.0	1217.4	1168.6	1124.3	1084.1	1047.3	1013.2
16.000	981.6	952.1	924.5	898.5	874.2	851.6	830.6
16.700	811.1	792.9	776.2	761.0	746.9	733.7	721.2
17.400	709.1	697.5	686.3	675.5	665.2	655.5	646.5
18.100	638.1	630.0	622.3	614.7	607.3	600.0	592.8
18.800	585.7	578.7	571.7	564.7	557.7	550.8	543.8
19.500	536.8	529.9	522.9	516.0	509.1	502.1	495.2
20.200	488.2	481.3	474.4	467.4	460.5	453.6	446.6
20.900	439.7	432.8	425.8	418.8	411.9	404.9	398.0
21.600	391.0	384.1	377.1	370.1	363.1	356.1	349.1
22.300	342.1	335.1	328.1	321.2	314.2	307.1	300.1
23.000	293.1	286.0	279.0	272.0	265.0	258.0	250.9
23.700	243.9	236.8	229.3	221.9	214.5	205.5	194.3
24.400	183.2	171.5	160.7	150.4	140.4	130.0	119.4
25.100	108.3	97.6	87.5	77.8	68.6	60.1	52.3
25.800	45.3	39.1	33.8	29.2	25.3	21.9	19.0
26.500	16.5	14.2	12.3	10.6	9.2	7.9	6.8
27.200	5.9	5.1	4.4	3.8	3.2	2.8	2.4
27.900	2.0	1.7	1.5	1.2	1.0	0.9	0.0

Area or Reach Identifier	Drainage Area (sq mi)	----- Peak Flow by Storm -----				
		2_yr_stm (cfs)	10_yr_stm (cfs)	100_yr_stm (cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)
DA 1	3.519	1051.4	2078.7	3948.0		
DA 2	0.152	118.5	203.9	333.4		
DA 3	0.141	106.0	180.2	294.5		
DA 5	0.133	132.4	228.6	370.6		
DA 7	0.030	40.9	63.4	94.0		
DA 8	0.077	86.6	138.1	211.2		
DA 9	0.155	159.4	270.1	432.6		
DA 4A	0.014	21.6	33.9	50.7		
DA 4B	0.094	104.9	169.9	262.1		
DA 6A	0.047	42.7	70.6	111.4		
DA 6B	0.014	35.1	47.7	64.5		
Reach 1	3.670	1078.6	2128.1	4054.5		
DOWNSTREAM		1077.7	2124.6	4049.9		
Reach 2	3.919	1120.0	2201.4	4199.6		
DOWNSTREAM		1119.8	2200.7	4197.9		
Reach 3	4.112	1142.0	2240.2	4280.1		
DOWNSTREAM		1131.6	2232.6	4271.5		
Reach 4	4.219	1142.6	2252.6	4313.9		
DOWNSTREAM		1142.4	2251.8	4311.4		
OUTLET	4.373	1155.2	2276.3	4364.6		

Cabin Branch Stream and Wetland Restoration Design Report

Cabin Branch and Unnamed Tributaries
Montgomery County, Maryland




Appendix D: Floodplain Impacts Analysis

This analysis was completed by inserting our proposed restoration cross-sections into the approved floodplain study delineation done by VIKa for the proposed adjacent restoration. All elevations are thus in NGVD29 which is approximately 0.7' higher than the elevations shown in the restoration plans which are all in NAVD88.


Cabin Branch 100-YR WSE Comparison											
EXISTING 100YR WSE					PROPOSED 100YR WSE - 9/3/2020					Comparison	Notes:
Reach	River Sta	Profile	Q Total (cfs)	W.S. Elev (ft)	Reach	River Sta	Profile	Q Total (cfs)	W.S. Elev (ft)		
reach 1	5537.75	100-Yr	4467	378.62	reach 1	5537.75	100-Yr	4467	377.24	-1.38	Futher design development can cut back the right bank/bench further to reduce rise.
reach 1	5235.48	100-Yr	4467	376.97	reach 1	5235.48	100-Yr	4467	376.37	-0.60	
reach 1	4897.4	100-Yr	4558	374.25	reach 1	4897.4	100-Yr	4558	374.22	-0.03	
reach 1	4560.78	100-Yr	4558	373.02	reach 1	4560.78	100-Yr	4558	372.97	-0.05	
reach 1	4120.22	100-Yr	4558	371.49	reach 1	4120.22	100-Yr	4558	371.36	-0.13	
reach 1	3727.06	100-Yr	4782	369.17	reach 1	3727.06	100-Yr	4782	369.17	0.00	
reach 1	3333.78	100-Yr	4782	366.70	reach 1	3333.78	100-Yr	4782	366.80	0.10	
reach 1	3038.54	100-Yr	4782	366.74	reach 1	3038.54	100-Yr	4782	366.70	-0.04	
reach 1	2663.59	100-Yr	4782	365.69	reach 1	2663.59	100-Yr	4782	365.63	-0.06	
reach 1	2641.79	100-Yr	4782	365.85	reach 1	2641.79	100-Yr	4782	365.83	-0.02	
reach 1	2566.29		Culvert		reach 1	2566.29		Culvert		0.00	Futher design development can cut back the left bank/bench further to reduce rise. Futher design development can cut back the right bank/bench further to reduce rise. Futher design development can cut back the right or left bank/bench further to reduce rise. Futher design development can flatten the left bank/bench further to reduce rise. Further design development will modify tie-in to existing to prevent impact
reach 1	2490.76	100-Yr	4782	362.92	reach 1	2490.76	100-Yr	4782	362.83	-0.09	
reach 1	2477.96	100-Yr	5004	362.08	reach 1	2477.96	100-Yr	5004	361.94	-0.14	
reach 1	2158.36	100-Yr	5004	360.63	reach 1	2158.36	100-Yr	5004	360.39	-0.24	
reach 1	1821.54	100-Yr	5004	358.04	reach 1	1821.54	100-Yr	5004	358.08	0.04	
reach 1	1420.06	100-Yr	5004	356.03	reach 1	1420.06	100-Yr	5004	356.13	0.10	
reach 1	1133.82	100-Yr	5004	355.58	reach 1	1133.82	100-Yr	5004	355.27	-0.31	
reach 1	766.03	100-Yr	5004	354.12	reach 1	766.03	100-Yr	5004	354.24	0.12	
reach 1	404.14	100-Yr	5004	354.41	reach 1	404.14	100-Yr	5004	354.45	0.04	
reach 1	139.08	100-Yr	5004	353.88	reach 1	139.08	100-Yr	5004	353.89	0.01	
reach 1	103.57	100-Yr	5004	353.66	reach 1	103.57	100-Yr	5004	353.73	0.07	
reach 1	85.37	100-Yr	5004	352.04	reach 1	85.37	100-Yr	5004	351.88	-0.16	
reach 1	49		Bridge		reach 1	49		Bridge		0.00	
reach 1	9.06	100-Yr	5004	350.24	reach 1	9.06	100-Yr	5004	350.24	0.00	
reach 1	0	100-Yr	5004	350.21	reach 1	0	100-Yr	5004	350.21	0.00	
reach 1	-41	100-Yr	5004	345.98	reach 1	-41	100-Yr	5004	345.98	0.00	

Tributary 4 100-YR WSE Comparison										
EXISTING 100YR WSE					MODIFIED 100YR WSE - 9/4/2020					Comparison
Reach	River Sta	Profile	Q Total (cfs)	W.S. Elev (ft)	Reach	River Sta	Profile	Q Total (cfs)	W.S. Elev (ft)	
Reach 1	1117.4	100 Yr	307	391.45	Reach 1	1117.4	100 Yr	307	391.45	0.00
Reach 1	869.4	100 Yr	307	386.97	Reach 1	869.4	100 Yr	307	386.97	0.00
Reach 1	609.53	100 Yr	307	386.34	Reach 1	609.53	100 Yr	307	386.34	0.00
Reach 1	532.54	100 Yr	307	375.7	Reach 1	532.54	100 Yr	307	376.19	0.49
Reach 1	324.63	100 Yr	487	370.33	Reach 1	324.63	100 Yr	487	371.62	1.29
Reach 1	132.8	100 Yr	487	368.76	Reach 1	132.8	100 Yr	487	368.78	0.02
Reach 1	0	100 Yr	487	366.49	Reach 1	0	100 Yr	487	366.16	-0.33
										Notes:
										Ex. channel was very incised; proposed WSE is still within valley
										Ex. channel XS is exagurated in size at this location, rise is within ex. Valley


Cabin Branch EXISTING Plan: EX Cabin Branch 9/4/2020
Section 1 River Station 5537.75




Cabin Branch EXISTING Plan: EX Cabin Branch 9/4/2020
Section 2 River Station 5235.48



Cabin Branch EXISTING Plan: EX Cabin Branch 9/4/2020
Section 3 River Station 4897.40




Cabin Branch EXISTING Plan: EX Cabin Branch 9/4/2020
Section 4 River Station 4560.78




Cabin Branch EXISTING Plan: EX Cabin Branch 9/4/2020

Section 5 River Station 4120.22




Cabin Branch EXISTING Plan: EX Cabin Branch 9/4/2020

Section 6 River Station 3727.06




Cabin Branch EXISTING Plan: EX Cabin Branch 9/4/2020


Section 7 River Station 3333.78





Cabin Branch EXISTING Plan: EX Cabin Branch 9/4/2020

Section 8 River Station 3038.54











Cabin Branch EXISTING Plan: EX Cabin Branch 9/4/2020


Section 14 River Station 1420.06




Cabin Branch EXISTING Plan: EX Cabin Branch 9/4/2020


Section 15 River Station 1133.82








Cabin Branch EXISTING Plan: EX Cabin Branch 9/4/2020
Section BR-US River Station 85.37




Cabin Branch EXISTING Plan: EX Cabin Branch 9/4/2020
Section Bridge Profile



Cabin Branch EXISTING Plan: EX Cabin Branch 9/4/2020


Section Bridge Profile




Legend
EG 100-Yr
WS 100-Yr
Crit 100-Yr
Ground
Bank Sta

Cabin Branch EXISTING Plan: EX Cabin Branch 9/4/2020


Section BR-DS River Station 9.06




Legend
EG 100-Yr
WS 100-Yr
Crit 100-Yr
Ground
Bank Sta




Cabin Branch PROPOSED Plan: PR Cabin Branch 9/4/2020
Section 1 River Station 5537.75




Cabin Branch PROPOSED Plan: PR Cabin Branch 9/4/2020
Section 2 River Station 5235.48



Cabin Branch PROPOSED Plan: PR Cabin Branch 9/4/2020
Section 3 River Station 4897.40




Cabin Branch PROPOSED Plan: PR Cabin Branch 9/4/2020
Section 4 River Station 4560.78




Cabin Branch PROPOSED Plan: PR Cabin Branch 9/4/2020



Section 5 River Station 4120.22






Cabin Branch PROPOSED Plan: PR Cabin Branch 9/4/2020

Section 6 River Station 3727.06












Cabin Branch PROPOSED Plan: PR Cabin Branch 9/4/2020
 Section 12 River Station 2158.36




Cabin Branch PROPOSED Plan: PR Cabin Branch 9/4/2020
 Section 13 River Station 1821.54




Cabin Branch PROPOSED Plan: PR Cabin Branch 9/4/2020
 Section 14 River Station 1420.06




Cabin Branch PROPOSED Plan: PR Cabin Branch 9/4/2020
 Section 15 River Station 1133.82




Cabin Branch PROPOSED Plan: PR Cabin Branch 9/4/2020
 Section 16 River Station 766.06




Cabin Branch PROPOSED Plan: PR Cabin Branch 9/4/2020
 Section 17 River Station 404.14








Cabin Branch PROPOSED Plan: PR Cabin Branch 9/4/2020
 Section BR-US River Station 85.37




Cabin Branch PROPOSED Plan: PR Cabin Branch 9/4/2020
 Section Bridge Profile












T4-Fairwayisland2 EXISTING Plan: EX Trib 4 9/4/2020
 Section 113 River Station 609.53




T4-Fairwayisland2 EXISTING Plan: EX Trib 4 9/4/2020
 Section 112 River Station 532.54




T4-Fairwayisland2 EXISTING Plan: EX Trib 4 9/4/2020
 Section 111 River Station 324.63




T4-Fairwayisland2 EXISTING Plan: EX Trib 4 9/4/2020
 Section 110 River Station 132.8




T4-Fairwayisland2 EXISTING Plan: EX Trib 4 9/4/2020
Section 109 River Station 0.0




T4-Fairwayisland2 PROPOSED Plan: PR Trib 4 9/4/2020
 Section 115 River Station 1117.4




T4-Fairwayisland2 PROPOSED Plan: PR Trib 4 9/4/2020
 Section 114 River Station 869.4




T4-Fairwayisland2 PROPOSED Plan: PR Trib 4 9/4/2020
 Section 113 River Station 609.53




T4-Fairwayisland2 PROPOSED Plan: PR Trib 4 9/4/2020
 Section 112 River Station 532.54



T4-Fairwayisland2 PROPOSED Plan: PR Trib 4 9/4/2020
 Section 111 River Station 324.63




T4-Fairwayisland2 PROPOSED Plan: PR Trib 4 9/4/2020
 Section 110 River Station 132.8



T4-Fairwayisland2 PROPOSED Plan: PR Trib 4 9/4/2020

Section 109 River Station 0.0



Legend
EG 100 Yr
WS 100 Yr
Crit 100 Yr
Ground
Bank Sta


Cabin Branch Stream and Wetland Restoration Design Report

Cabin Branch and Unnamed Tributaries
Montgomery County, Maryland




Appendix E: Well Data


2020-2021 Cabin Branch Hydrological Data




2020-2021 Cabin Branch Well 1 (Wetland 5)



2020-2021 Cabin Branch Well 2 (Wetland 4)




2020-2021 Cabin Branch Well 3 (Wetland 3)




Precip Well 3



2020-2021 Cabin Branch Well 4 (Wetland 2)



2020-2021 Cabin Branch Well 5 (Wetland 1A)



Cabin Branch Stream and Wetland Restoration Design Report

Cabin Branch and Unnamed Tributaries

Montgomery County, Maryland



Appendix F: Wetland Water Budgets

Cell 1

Wet Year - 2003

	Precip	Runoff	PET-Pen	GW-OUT	Outflow	Net Gain/Loss	Actual Water Level
2003-Jan	2.42	.00	-1.38	-1.00	.00	.04	.04
2003-Feb	5.10	.06	-1.24	-1.00	.00	2.91	2.95
2003-Mar	3.72	.04	-2.63	-1.00	.00	.13	3.08
2003-Apr	2.55	.00	-3.86	-1.00	.00	-2.31	.77
2003-May	7.18	.00	-3.20	-1.00	.00	2.99	3.76
2003-Jun	4.33	.00	-4.47	-1.00	.00	-1.14	2.62
2003-Jul	4.41	.00	-5.23	-1.00	.00	-1.82	.79
2003-Aug	2.64	.00	-4.33	-1.00	.00	-2.69	-7.57
2003-Sep	6.29	.04	-3.18	-1.00	.00	2.15	.26
2003-Oct	4.12	.00	-2.45	-1.00	.00	.67	.92
2003-Nov	4.68	.00	-1.74	-1.00	.00	1.94	2.86
2003-Dec	4.86	.00	-1.43	-1.00	-.50	1.94	4.80

Normal Year - 1988


	Precip	Runoff	PET-Pen	GW-OUT	Outflow	Net Gain/Loss	Actual Water Level
1988-Jan	2.56	.00	-1.14	-1.00	.00	.42	.42
1988-Feb	2.80	.00	-1.69	-1.00	.00	.11	.52
1988-Mar	2.29	.00	-3.18	-1.00	.00	-1.89	-5.49
1988-Apr	3.37	.00	-3.91	-1.00	.00	-1.54	-11.65
1988-May	8.38	.05	-4.75	-1.00	.00	2.68	-.91
1988-Jun	1.19	.00	-6.55	-1.00	.00	-6.36	-26.36
1988-Jul	5.56	.00	-6.32	-1.00	.00	-1.76	-33.39
1988-Aug	2.80	.00	-5.73	-1.00	.00	-3.93	-49.11
1988-Sep	2.90	.00	-3.78	-1.00	.00	-1.88	-56.63
1988-Oct	1.58	.00	-2.79	-1.00	.00	-2.21	-65.46
1988-Nov	4.84	.00	-1.67	-1.00	.00	2.17	-56.76
1988-Dec	1.16	.00	-1.56	-1.00	.00	-1.40	-62.37

Dry Year - 2007

	Precip	Runoff	PET-Pen	GW-OUT	Outflow	Net Gain/Loss	Actual Water Level
2007-Jan	1.92	.00	-1.83	-1.00	.00	-.91	-3.64
2007-Feb	2.40	.00	-1.65	-1.00	.00	-.25	-4.66
2007-Mar	2.96	.03	-3.71	-1.00	.00	-1.73	-11.56
2007-Apr	3.68	.05	-4.03	-1.00	.00	-1.29	-16.74
2007-May	.57	.00	-6.14	-1.00	.00	-6.57	-43.01
2007-Jun	2.87	.00	-6.14	-1.00	.00	-4.27	-60.10
2007-Jul	1.56	.00	-6.63	-1.00	.00	-6.07	-84.39
2007-Aug	3.46	.00	-5.73	-1.00	.00	-2.90	-96.00
2007-Sep	1.44	.00	-4.82	-1.00	.00	.00	-96.00
2007-Oct	3.28	.01	-3.10	-1.00	.00	.00	-96.00
2007-Nov	1.38	.00	-1.61	-1.00	.00	.00	-96.00
2007-Dec	2.89	.00	-1.00	-1.00	.00	.89	-92.42


Cell 1

Water Budget for Wet Year: 2003




Cell 1

Water Budget for Normal Year: 1988



Cell 1

Water Budget for Dry Year: 2007



Cell 2

Wet Year - 2003

	Precip	Runoff	PET-Pen	GW-OUT	Outflow	Net Gain/Loss	Actual Water Level
2003-Jan	2.42	.00	-1.38	-1.00	.00	.04	.04
2003-Feb	5.10	.02	-1.24	-1.00	.00	2.88	2.91
2003-Mar	3.72	.01	-2.63	-1.00	.00	.10	3.02
2003-Apr	2.55	.00	-3.86	-1.00	.00	-2.31	.71
2003-May	7.18	.00	-3.20	-1.00	.00	2.98	3.69
2003-Jun	4.33	.00	-4.47	-1.00	.00	-1.14	2.55
2003-Jul	4.41	.00	-5.23	-1.00	.00	-1.82	.73
2003-Aug	2.64	.00	-4.33	-1.00	.00	-2.69	-7.84
2003-Sep	6.29	.02	-3.18	-1.00	.00	2.12	.16
2003-Oct	4.12	.00	-2.45	-1.00	.00	.67	.83
2003-Nov	4.68	.00	-1.74	-1.00	.00	1.94	2.76
2003-Dec	4.86	.00	-1.43	-1.00	-.40	2.04	4.80

Normal Year - 1988


	Precip	Runoff	PET-Pen	GW-OUT	Outflow	Net Gain/Loss	Actual Water Level
1988-Jan	2.56	.00	-1.14	-1.00	.00	.42	.42
1988-Feb	2.80	.00	-1.69	-1.00	.00	.11	.52
1988-Mar	2.29	.00	-3.18	-1.00	.00	-1.89	-5.49
1988-Apr	3.37	.00	-3.91	-1.00	.00	-1.54	-11.65
1988-May	8.38	.03	-4.75	-1.00	.00	2.66	-1.00
1988-Jun	1.19	.00	-6.55	-1.00	.00	-6.36	-26.45
1988-Jul	5.56	.00	-6.32	-1.00	.00	-1.76	-33.47
1988-Aug	2.80	.00	-5.73	-1.00	.00	-3.93	-49.20
1988-Sep	2.90	.00	-3.78	-1.00	.00	-1.88	-56.72
1988-Oct	1.58	.00	-2.79	-1.00	.00	-2.21	-65.54
1988-Nov	4.84	.00	-1.67	-1.00	.00	2.17	-56.85
1988-Dec	1.16	.00	-1.56	-1.00	.00	-1.40	-62.45

Dry Year - 2007

	Precip	Runoff	PET-Pen	GW-OUT	Outflow	Net Gain/Loss	Actual Water Level
2007-Jan	1.92	.00	-1.83	-1.00	.00	-.91	-3.64
2007-Feb	2.40	.00	-1.65	-1.00	.00	-.25	-4.66
2007-Mar	2.96	.00	-3.71	-1.00	.00	-1.75	-11.66
2007-Apr	3.68	.03	-4.03	-1.00	.00	-1.32	-16.92
2007-May	.57	.00	-6.14	-1.00	.00	-6.57	-43.19
2007-Jun	2.87	.00	-6.14	-1.00	.00	-4.27	-60.28
2007-Jul	1.56	.00	-6.63	-1.00	.00	-6.07	-84.57
2007-Aug	3.46	.00	-5.73	-1.00	.00	-3.27	-97.65
2007-Sep	1.44	.00	-4.82	-1.00	.00	-4.38	-115.17
2007-Oct	3.28	.00	-3.10	-1.00	.00	-.82	-118.44
2007-Nov	1.38	.00	-1.61	-1.00	.00	-1.23	-123.35
2007-Dec	2.89	.00	-1.00	-1.00	.00	.89	-119.77


Cell 2

Water Budget for Wet Year: 2003




Cell 2

Water Budget for Normal Year: 1988



Cell 2

Water Budget for Dry Year: 2007



Cell 3

Wet Year - 2003

	Precip	Runoff	PET- Pen	GW- OUT	Outflow	Net Gain/Loss	Actual Water Level
2003-Jan	2.42	.00	-1.38	-1.00	.00	.04	.04
2003-Feb	5.10	.47	-1.24	-1.00	.00	3.33	3.37
2003-Mar	3.72	.29	-2.63	-1.00	.00	.38	3.74
2003-Apr	2.55	.00	-3.86	-1.00	.00	-2.31	1.44
2003-May	7.18	.11	-3.20	-1.00	.00	3.09	4.53
2003-Jun	4.33	.10	-4.47	-1.00	.00	-1.04	3.48
2003-Jul	4.41	.00	-5.23	-1.00	.00	-1.82	1.66
2003-Aug	2.64	.00	-4.33	-1.00	.00	-2.69	-4.12
2003-Sep	6.29	.32	-3.18	-1.00	.00	2.43	1.40
2003-Oct	4.12	.09	-2.45	-1.00	.00	.75	2.15
2003-Nov	4.68	.11	-1.74	-1.00	.00	2.05	4.20
2003-Dec	4.86	.00	-1.43	-1.00	-1.84	.60	4.80

Normal Year - 1988


	Precip	Runoff	PET- Pen	GW- OUT	Outflow	Net Gain/Loss	Actual Water Level
1988-Jan	2.56	.00	-1.14	-1.00	.00	.42	.42
1988-Feb	2.80	.00	-1.69	-1.00	.00	.11	.52
1988-Mar	2.29	.03	-3.18	-1.00	.00	-1.86	-5.35
1988-Apr	3.37	.00	-3.91	-1.00	.00	-1.54	-11.51
1988-May	8.38	.41	-4.75	-1.00	.00	3.05	.17
1988-Jun	1.19	.00	-6.55	-1.00	.00	-6.36	-24.77
1988-Jul	5.56	.00	-6.32	-1.00	.00	-1.76	-31.80
1988-Aug	2.80	.00	-5.73	-1.00	.00	-3.93	-47.52
1988-Sep	2.90	.00	-3.78	-1.00	.00	-1.88	-55.04
1988-Oct	1.58	.00	-2.79	-1.00	.00	-2.21	-63.87
1988-Nov	4.84	.00	-1.67	-1.00	.00	2.17	-55.18
1988-Dec	1.16	.00	-1.56	-1.00	.00	-1.40	-60.78

Dry Year - 2007

	Precip	Runoff	PET- Pen	GW- OUT	Outflow	Net Gain/Loss	Actual Water Level
2007-Jan	1.92	.00	-1.83	-1.00	.00	-.91	-3.64
2007-Feb	2.40	.00	-1.65	-1.00	.00	-.25	-4.66
2007-Mar	2.96	.23	-3.71	-1.00	.00	-1.52	-10.73
2007-Apr	3.68	.33	-4.03	-1.00	.00	-1.01	-14.78
2007-May	.57	.00	-6.14	-1.00	.00	-6.57	-41.05
2007-Jun	2.87	.00	-6.14	-1.00	.00	-4.27	-58.14
2007-Jul	1.56	.00	-6.63	-1.00	.00	-6.07	-82.43
2007-Aug	3.46	.00	-5.73	-1.00	.00	-3.27	-95.50
2007-Sep	1.44	.00	-4.82	-1.00	.00	-4.38	-113.03
2007-Oct	3.28	.17	-3.10	-1.00	.00	-.65	-115.63
2007-Nov	1.38	.00	-1.61	-1.00	.00	-1.23	-120.54
2007-Dec	2.89	.00	-1.00	-1.00	.00	.89	-116.96


Cell 3

Water Budget for Wet Year: 2003




Cell 3

Water Budget for Normal Year: 1988



Cell 3

Water Budget for Dry Year: 2007



Cell 4

Wet Year - 2003

	Precip	Runoff	PET-Pen	GW-OUT	Outflow	Net Gain/Loss	Actual Water Level
2003-Jan	2.42	.00	-1.38	-1.00	.00	.04	.04
2003-Feb	5.10	.00	-1.24	-1.00	.00	2.86	2.89
2003-Mar	3.72	.00	-2.63	-1.00	.00	.09	2.98
2003-Apr	2.55	.00	-3.86	-1.00	.00	-2.31	.68
2003-May	7.18	.00	-3.20	-1.00	.00	2.98	3.66
2003-Jun	4.33	.00	-4.47	-1.00	.00	-1.14	2.52
2003-Jul	4.41	.00	-5.23	-1.00	.00	-1.82	.69
2003-Aug	2.64	.00	-4.33	-1.00	.00	-2.69	-7.97
2003-Sep	6.29	.00	-3.18	-1.00	.00	2.11	.11
2003-Oct	4.12	.00	-2.45	-1.00	.00	.67	.78
2003-Nov	4.68	.00	-1.74	-1.00	.00	1.94	2.71
2003-Dec	4.86	.00	-1.43	-1.00	-.35	2.09	4.80

Normal Year - 1988


	Precip	Runoff	PET-Pen	GW-OUT	Outflow	Net Gain/Loss	Actual Water Level
1988-Jan	2.56	.00	-1.14	-1.00	.00	.42	.42
1988-Feb	2.80	.00	-1.69	-1.00	.00	.11	.52
1988-Mar	2.29	.00	-3.18	-1.00	.00	-1.89	-5.49
1988-Apr	3.37	.00	-3.91	-1.00	.00	-1.54	-11.65
1988-May	8.38	.00	-4.75	-1.00	.00	2.63	-1.12
1988-Jun	1.19	.00	-6.55	-1.00	.00	-6.36	-26.57
1988-Jul	5.56	.00	-6.32	-1.00	.00	-1.76	-33.60
1988-Aug	2.80	.00	-5.73	-1.00	.00	-3.93	-49.32
1988-Sep	2.90	.00	-3.78	-1.00	.00	-1.88	-56.84
1988-Oct	1.58	.00	-2.79	-1.00	.00	-2.21	-65.66
1988-Nov	4.84	.00	-1.67	-1.00	.00	2.17	-56.97
1988-Dec	1.16	.00	-1.56	-1.00	.00	-1.40	-62.57

Dry Year - 2007

	Precip	Runoff	PET-Pen	GW-OUT	Outflow	Net Gain/Loss	Actual Water Level
2007-Jan	1.92	.00	-1.83	-1.00	.00	-.91	-3.64
2007-Feb	2.40	.00	-1.65	-1.00	.00	-.25	-4.66
2007-Mar	2.96	.00	-3.71	-1.00	.00	-1.75	-11.67
2007-Apr	3.68	.00	-4.03	-1.00	.00	-1.35	-17.05
2007-May	.57	.00	-6.14	-1.00	.00	-6.57	-43.33
2007-Jun	2.87	.00	-6.14	-1.00	.00	-4.27	-60.42
2007-Jul	1.56	.00	-6.63	-1.00	.00	-6.07	-84.70
2007-Aug	3.46	.00	-5.73	-1.00	.00	-3.27	-97.78
2007-Sep	1.44	.00	-4.82	-1.00	.00	-4.38	-115.30
2007-Oct	3.28	.00	-3.10	-1.00	.00	-.82	-118.57
2007-Nov	1.38	.00	-1.61	-1.00	.00	-1.23	-123.48
2007-Dec	2.89	.00	-1.00	-1.00	.00	.89	-119.90


Cell 4

Water Budget for Wet Year: 2003




Cell 4

Water Budget for Normal Year: 1988



Cell 4

Water Budget for Dry Year: 2007



Cell 5

Wet Year - 2003

	Precip	Runoff	PET- Pen	GW- OUT	Outflow	Net Gain/Loss	Actual Water Level
2003-Jan	2.42	.02	-1.38	-1.00	.00	.06	.06
2003-Feb	5.10	1.08	-1.24	-1.00	.00	3.94	4.00
2003-Mar	3.72	.65	-2.63	-1.00	.00	.73	4.73
2003-Apr	2.55	.00	-3.86	-1.00	.00	-2.31	2.43
2003-May	7.18	.28	-3.20	-1.00	-.89	2.37	4.80
2003-Jun	4.33	.25	-4.47	-1.00	.00	-.89	3.91
2003-Jul	4.41	.00	-5.23	-1.00	.00	-1.82	2.09
2003-Aug	2.64	.00	-4.33	-1.00	.00	-2.69	-2.40
2003-Sep	6.29	.76	-3.18	-1.00	.00	2.87	2.27
2003-Oct	4.12	.23	-2.45	-1.00	.00	.90	3.17
2003-Nov	4.68	.29	-1.74	-1.00	-.59	1.63	4.80
2003-Dec	4.86	.02	-1.43	-1.00	-2.45	.00	4.80

Normal Year - 1988


	Precip	Runoff	PET- Pen	GW- OUT	Outflow	Net Gain/Loss	Actual Water Level
1988-Jan	2.56	.00	-1.14	-1.00	.00	.42	.42
1988-Feb	2.80	.00	-1.69	-1.00	.00	.11	.52
1988-Mar	2.29	.11	-3.18	-1.00	.00	-1.78	-5.04
1988-Apr	3.37	.00	-3.91	-1.00	.00	-1.54	-11.20
1988-May	8.38	.98	-4.75	-1.00	.00	3.61	.81
1988-Jun	1.19	.00	-6.55	-1.00	.00	-6.36	-22.20
1988-Jul	5.56	.01	-6.32	-1.00	.00	-1.75	-29.21
1988-Aug	2.80	.00	-5.73	-1.00	.00	-3.93	-44.93
1988-Sep	2.90	.00	-3.78	-1.00	.00	-1.88	-52.45
1988-Oct	1.58	.00	-2.79	-1.00	.00	-2.21	-61.27
1988-Nov	4.84	.00	-1.67	-1.00	.00	2.17	-52.58
1988-Dec	1.16	.00	-1.56	-1.00	.00	-1.40	-58.18

Dry Year - 2007

	Precip	Runoff	PET- Pen	GW- OUT	Outflow	Net Gain/Loss	Actual Water Level
2007-Jan	1.92	.00	-1.83	-1.00	.00	-.91	-3.64
2007-Feb	2.40	.00	-1.65	-1.00	.00	-.25	-4.66
2007-Mar	2.96	.54	-3.71	-1.00	.00	-1.21	-9.51
2007-Apr	3.68	.74	-4.03	-1.00	.00	-.60	-11.93
2007-May	.57	.00	-6.14	-1.00	.00	-6.57	-38.20
2007-Jun	2.87	.00	-6.14	-1.00	.00	-4.27	-55.29
2007-Jul	1.56	.00	-6.63	-1.00	.00	-6.07	-79.57
2007-Aug	3.46	.02	-5.73	-1.00	.00	-3.25	-92.59
2007-Sep	1.44	.00	-4.82	-1.00	.00	-4.38	-110.11
2007-Oct	3.28	.40	-3.10	-1.00	.00	-.42	-111.78
2007-Nov	1.38	.00	-1.61	-1.00	.00	-1.23	-116.69
2007-Dec	2.89	.00	-1.00	-1.00	.00	.89	-113.12


Cell 5

Water Budget for Wet Year: 2003




Cell 5

Water Budget for Normal Year: 1988



Cell 5

Water Budget for Dry Year: 2007



Cabin Branch Stream and Wetland Restoration Design Report

Cabin Branch and Unnamed Tributaries

Montgomery County, Maryland



Appendix G: Sample Easement Agreement Document

EQPUGTXCVKQP'GCUGO GPV"

SHA Plat Number _____ "(Rev. _____)"

THIS PERPETUAL CONSERVATION EASEMENT (this "EASEMENT") is made this _____ day of _____, 20_____, from _____ (hereinafter, the "GRANTOR") unto the State Highway Administration of the Maryland Department of Transportation, acting for and on behalf of the State of Maryland (hereinafter, "MDOT SHA" or the "GRANTEE").

RECITALS

WHEREAS, GRANTOR is the owner of land acquired by a deed dated _____ and recorded among the Land Records of _____ County, Maryland, in Liber No. _____, folio _____, (the "PROPERTY") as shown and described on State Highway Administration Plat numbered _____, which plat has been or is intended to be filed for record with the electronically recorded by the Maryland State Archives; and

WHEREAS, the purpose of the EASEMENT is to provide access and long term protection of the Stream Restoration project known as _____ for SHA Contract number _____, which includes design, construction, inspection, adaptive management, monitoring, and verification for stream restoration (the "WORK"); and

WHEREAS, the extent of the WORK to be completed is survey, design, construction (grading, structure placement and planting), inspection, adaptive management (grading, structure placement, planting and integrated vegetation management), monitoring, and verification; and

WHEREAS, it is the intent of the parties that notwithstanding the possible effect of the common law doctrine of merger, this EASEMENT shall not merge with the fee simple title, but shall remain effective and shall run with the land; and

WHEREAS, the total payment per §10-912(b) of the Tax-General Article of the Annotated Code of Maryland is _____ and 00/100 Dollars (\$_____.00); and

P qvg<'wug'hpq'gh'ij g'w q'hmmy kpi 'Y J GTGCU'ewugu'cu'errtqr tlcw'epf 'f gpg'ij g'hpq'ij cvf qgu' pqv'errf<'

WHEREAS, the undersigned certify(ies) under the penalties of perjury that the following is true to the best of his/her/their knowledge, information and belief, that in accordance with §10-912(d)(1)(i) of the Tax-General Article of the Annotated Code of Maryland, he/she/they is/are a resident(s) of the State of Maryland.

qt"

WHEREAS, GRANTOR is a resident entity under Section 10-912(a)(4) of the Tax-General Article of the Annotated Code of Maryland, the undersigned is an agent of GRANTOR, and the undersigned has the authority to sign this document on GRANTOR's behalf.

EQPUGTXCVKQP'GCUGO GPV"

SHA Plat Number _____ '(Rev. _____)'"

THIS PERPETUAL CONSERVATION EASEMENT (this "EASEMENT") is made this _____ day of _____, 20_____, from _____ (hereinafter, the "GRANTOR") unto the State Highway Administration of the Maryland Department of Transportation, acting for and on behalf of the State of Maryland (hereinafter, "MDOT SHA" or the "GRANTEE").

RECITALS

WHEREAS, GRANTOR is the owner of land acquired by a deed dated _____ and recorded among the Land Records of _____ County, Maryland, in Liber No. _____, folio ____, (the PROPERTY") as shown and described on State Highway Administration Plat numbered _____, which plat has been or is intended to be filed for record with the electronically recorded by the Maryland State Archives; and

WHEREAS, the purpose of the EASEMENT is to provide access and long term protection of the Stream Restoration project known as _____ for SHA Contract number _____, which includes design, construction, inspection, adaptive management, monitoring, and verification for stream restoration (the "WORK"); and

WHEREAS, the extent of the WORK to be completed is survey, design, construction (grading, structure placement and planting), inspection, adaptive management (grading, structure placement, planting and integrated vegetation management), monitoring, and verification; and

WHEREAS, it is the intent of the parties that notwithstanding the possible effect of the common law doctrine of merger, this EASEMENT shall not merge with the fee simple title, but shall remain effective and shall run with the land; and

WHEREAS, the total payment per §10-912(b) of the Tax-General Article of the Annotated Code of Maryland is _____ and 00/100 Dollars (\$_____.00); and

P qvg<'wug'hpq'gh'vj g'w q'hmgy kpi 'Y J GTGCU'ewugu'cu'errtqr tlcw'epf 'f gpg'vj g'hpq'vj cvf qgu' pqv'errf<'

WHEREAS, the undersigned certify(ies) under the penalties of perjury that the following is true to the best of his/her/their knowledge, information and belief, that in accordance with §10-912(d)(1)(i) of the Tax-General Article of the Annotated Code of Maryland, he/she/they is/are a resident(s) of the State of Maryland.

qt"

WHEREAS, GRANTOR is a resident entity under Section 10-912(a)(4) of the Tax-General Article of the Annotated Code of Maryland, the undersigned is an agent of GRANTOR, and the undersigned has the authority to sign this document on GRANTOR's behalf.

NOW, THEREFORE, for and in consideration of the foregoing and the covenants, terms, conditions and restrictions hereinafter set forth (the "Terms"), the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged by the parties, GRANTOR unconditionally and irrevocably hereby grants and conveys unto GRANTEE, its successors and assigns, a perpetual conservation easement, containing _____ square feet or _____ of an acre of land, more or less, and identified as _____ on State Highway Administration Plat numbered _____, which plat has been or is intended to be filed for record with and electronically recorded by the Maryland State Archives ("EASEMENT AREA"), together with the perpetual right of access to the EASEMENT AREA on, over, and through the PROPERTY, as and when needed.

A reduced copy of State Highway Administration Plat numbered _____ is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Gzj kdk/Pq03.

BEING a part of the same land conveyed by a deed dated _____ and recorded among the Land Records of _____ County, Maryland in Liber No. _____, folio _____, from _____ unto _____.

CTVÆNG'K''''' DURATION OF EASEMENT

This EASEMENT shall be perpetual. It is an easement in gross and as such is inheritable and assignable and runs with the land as an incorporeal interest in the EASEMENT AREA, enforceable with respect to the EASEMENT AREA by GRANTEE, its successors and assigns, against GRANTOR and its successors and assigns. The Terms contained herein shall run with the EASEMENT AREA and shall bind GRANTOR, its successors and assigns.

CTVÆNG'K PROHIBITED ACTIVITIES

- A. No commercial or recreational activities and no removal of vegetation shall occur on the EASEMENT AREA, except for:
 - 1. passive recreational activities (including hunting and fishing) that do not result in the destruction of, or harm the viability of vegetation in the EASEMENT AREA;
 - 2. wildlife management with the approval of MDOT SHA; and
 - 3. forest management, and tree maintenance practices pursuant to a forest stewardship plan prepared by a licensed, registered forester, with the approval of MDOT SHA.
- B. No materials may be dumped, placed or stored in the EASEMENT AREA, including, but not limited to, ashes, yard waste, sawdust, bark, trash, garbage, rubbish, dredge spoil, chemicals, pesticides, fertilizers, abandoned vehicles, appliances, or machinery.
- C. No excavation of materials is permitted in the EASEMENT AREA, including, but not limited to, dredging, mining and removal of loam, gravel, soil, rock, sand, coal and petroleum.
- D. No building, facility, means of access or other structure shall be constructed in the EASEMENT AREA after the date of the recordation of this EASEMENT.
- E. These additional activities are also prohibited within the EASEMENT AREA:
 - 1. use of motorized vehicles;
 - 2. agricultural use including use for cropland, waste lagoons, or pastureland;

3. placing structures or foundations;
4. placing of impervious surfaces;
5. placing of signs without approval;
6. grading; and
7. disposing of liquids other than clean water runoff.

"
"

CTV HENG'HO MISCELLANEOUS

A. GRANTOR and its assigns shall disclose these Terms in any subsequent sales contracts, leases, mortgages, deeds and/or other legal instruments by which any interest in the EASEMENT AREA is conveyed.

B. GRANTOR shall notify GRANTEE in writing of the names and addresses of any party to whom the EASEMENT AREA, or any part thereof, is to be granted, conveyed or otherwise transferred, at or prior to the time said transfer is consummated.

C. All written notice required by these Terms shall be sent to:

GRANTOR

GRANTEE

Maryland Department of Transportation State Highway Administration
Office of Environmental Design, Water Programs Division
707 North Calvert Street,
Mail Stop C-303
Baltimore, MD 21202

D. GRANTEE, its employees and agents, and its successors and assigns, may perpetually and at all reasonable times enter the EASEMENT AREA to perform inspections, monitoring, verification, adaptive management (grading, structure placement, planting and integrated vegetation management) and/or to determine whether GRANTOR, or its successors and assigns, is complying with the Terms of this EASEMENT. The obligation of providing access to and from the EASEMENT AREA shall run with the land and bind the GRANTOR, and any subsequent owner of the PROPERTY.

E. Upon any breach of any of the Terms, GRANTEE shall have the right to enforce this EASEMENT in accordance with any or all of the remedies provided in Annotated Code of Maryland Natural Resources Article, Section 5-1612, and COMAR 08.19.06.03.

F. GRANTEE's remedies shall be cumulative and shall be in addition to any other rights and remedies available to GRANTEE either at law or in equity.

G. No failure on the part of GRANTEE to enforce any Term hereof shall discharge or invalidate such Term or any other Term hereof or affect the right of GRANTEE to enforce the same in the event of a subsequent breach or default.

H. This EASEMENT shall be construed pursuant to the laws of the State of Maryland.

I. This instrument sets forth the entire agreement of the parties with respect to the EASEMENT and supersedes all prior discussions, negotiations, understandings or agreements relating to the EASEMENT. If any Term is found to be invalid, the remainder of the Terms of this EASEMENT, and the application of such Term to persons or circumstances other than those as to which it is found to be invalid, shall not be affected thereby.

J. This EASEMENT is based upon a form that assumes there exists a single GRANTOR and a single GRANTEE. In the event that this assumption is wrong for this EASEMENT, then, as appropriate, any Term assuming a singular GRANTOR or GRANTEE shall be interpreted to mean multiple GRANTORS or GRANTEES, as the case may be.

K. The terms "GRANTOR" and "GRANTEE" wherever used herein, and any pronouns used in place thereof, shall include, respectively, the above-named GRANTOR and its successors and assigns, and the above-named GRANTEE and its successors and assigns.

L. SUBJECT TO and excepting from the operation and effect of this EASEMENT, any and all rights and reservations that may have been granted or reserved on, over, within, across or through the EASEMENT AREA by former owners of the Property or their predecessors in title and/or covenants or restrictions which may have been established with respect to the EASEMENT AREA by such former owners or their predecessors in title, and further subject to and excepting from the operation and effect of this EASEMENT, any and all existing rights now held or used by any public utility or public utilities across or adjacent to the EASEMENT AREA herein conveyed.

M. All exhibits referenced herein are attached hereto and made a part hereof.

N. The Recitals set forth above constitute an integral part of this EASEMENT and are incorporated herein by reference.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the land and premises above described and mentioned for a Perpetual Stream Restoration Easement unto the proper use and benefit of the State of Maryland, to the use of the State Highway Administration of the Maryland Department of Transportation, its successors and assigns forever subject to the rights, easements privileges and controls herein mentioned. The covenants agreed to and the terms, conditions, and restrictions imposed as aforesaid shall be binding upon GRANTOR, and its successors and assigns, and all other successors to them in interest.

WITNESS the due execution of this EASEMENT on the day and year first above written.

Pqvq<If GRANTOR is an entity use this type of signature block:

ATTEST:

GRANTOR:

_____ By: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

..

Notary Public If GRANTOR is an individual use this type of signature block:

WITNESS:

GRANTOR:

Name: _____

State of Maryland, County of _____

I HEREBY CERTIFY that, before me, the undersigned officer, a NOTARY PUBLIC of the STATE OF MARYLAND, in and for the County aforesaid, personally appeared _____, known to me, or satisfactorily proven to be the person whose name is subscribed to this EASEMENT, who signed the same in my presence and acknowledged that he/she executed the same for the purposes therein contained and in the capacity therein stated.

AS WITNESS MY HAND AND NOTARIAL SEAL, this ____ day of _____ in the year ____.

Notary Public

My Commission expires:

I HEREBY CERTIFY that this instrument has been prepared by or under the supervision of the undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice before the Court of Appeals of Maryland.

Assistant Attorney General

Please return to: Maryland Department of Transportation State Highway Administration
Director, Office of Environmental Design
Mail Stop C-303
707 North Calvert Street
Baltimore, MD 21202

n/oag/environ/easement/conservation easement/6-21-17

Cabin Branch Stream and Wetland Restoration Design Report

Cabin Branch and Unnamed Tributaries

Montgomery County, Maryland



Appendix H: 2/10/100-Yr Shear Stress and 2/10/100-Yr Velocity Tables

2, 10 AND 100 YEAR SHEAR AND VELOCITY COMPARISON


For the Stability Justification for Velocity, a “Stable Velocity” is anything less than 8 LF/S, anything showing a “Decrease” is a location where the proposed velocity is lower than the existing velocity, “No Change” is where the proposed velocity did not change from the existing velocity, anywhere showing “Minor Increase” is within 10% of the existing velocity, and anywhere that calls out Coir 700 Matting is a location where the higher velocity will be stable because of the 12 LF/S that Coir 700 Matting can withstand, thus the velocity will not create a detrimental effect to the channel.

For the Stability Justification for Shear, a “Stable Shear Value” is values that are greater than 10% of the existing shear but less than 2.0 LB/SF and is thus stable with the use of Coir 400 Matting which can withstand shear up to 3.0 LB/SF, anything showing a “Decrease” is a location where the proposed shear is lower than the existing shear, “No Change” is where the proposed shear stress did not change from the existing shear stress, and anywhere showing “Minor Increase” is within 10% of the existing shear.


EXISTING							PROPOSED							COMPARISON	
River	Reach	River Sta	Profile	Q Total (cfs)	Vel Chnl (ft/s)	Shear Chan (lb/sq ft)	River	Reach	River Sta	Profile	Q Total (cfs)	Vel Chnl (ft/s)	Shear Chan (lb/sq ft)	Shear Stability Justification	Velocity Stability Justification
Fairway Island Trib	T4	2112.28	2YR	132.4	6.42	1.4	Fairway Island Trib	T4	2044.8	2YR	132.4	7.91	1.93	Stable Shear Value	Stable Velocity
Fairway Island Trib	T4	2112.28	10YR	228.6	7.73	1.85	Fairway Island Trib	T4	2044.8	10YR	228.6	8.86	2.29	Coir 700 Matting	Coir 700 Matting
Fairway Island Trib	T4	2112.28	100YR	370.6	9.06	2.34	Fairway Island Trib	T4	2044.8	100YR	370.6	9.77	2.66	Coir 700 Matting	Coir 700 Matting
Fairway Island Trib	T4	1897.05	2YR	132.4	7.6	1.96	Fairway Island Trib	T4	1837.98	2YR	132.4	8.36	2.2	Coir 700 Matting	Coir 700 Matting
Fairway Island Trib	T4	1897.05	10YR	228.6	8.83	2.45	Fairway Island Trib	T4	1837.98	10YR	228.6	9.43	2.59	Coir 700 Matting	Coir 700 Matting
Fairway Island Trib	T4	1897.05	100YR	370.6	5.92	0.89	Fairway Island Trib	T4	1837.98	100YR	370.6	10.47	2.97	Coir 700 Matting	Coir 700 Matting
Fairway Island Trib	T4	1700.6	2YR	132.4	5.49	0.86	Fairway Island Trib	T4	1655.81	2YR	132.4	6.75	1.33	Stable Shear Value	Stable Velocity
Fairway Island Trib	T4	1700.6	10YR	228.6	6.73	1.22	Fairway Island Trib	T4	1655.81	10YR	228.6	7.33	1.45	Stable Shear Value	Stable Velocity
Fairway Island Trib	T4	1700.6	100YR	370.6	9.46	2.35	Fairway Island Trib	T4	1655.81	100YR	370.6	8.55	1.86	Decrease	Decrease
Fairway Island Trib	T4	1567.59	2YR	132.4	7.13	1.58	Fairway Island Trib	T4	1514.24	2YR	132.4	8.72	2.39	Coir 700 Matting	Coir 700 Matting
Fairway Island Trib	T4	1567.59	10YR	228.6	8.02	1.85	Fairway Island Trib	T4	1514.24	10YR	228.6	10.59	3.31	Coir 700 Matting	Coir 700 Matting
Fairway Island Trib	T4	1567.59	100YR	370.6	8.43	1.83	Fairway Island Trib	T4	1514.24	100YR	370.6	11.75	3.79	Coir 700 Matting	Coir 700 Matting
Cabin Branch	R1	6716.3	2YR	1106.9	7.21	1.19	Cabin Branch	R1	6379.02	2YR	1106.9	6.84	1.07	Decrease	Decrease
Cabin Branch	R1	6716.3	10YR	2192.5	6.72	0.95	Cabin Branch	R1	6379.02	10YR	2192.5	8.07	1.4	Stable Shear Value	Coir 700 Matting
Cabin Branch	R1	6716.3	100YR	4215.6	6.59	0.84	Cabin Branch	R1	6379.02	100YR	4215.6	10.19	2.13	Coir 700 Matting	Coir 700 Matting
Cabin Branch	R1	6414.25	2YR	1106.9	6.78	1.08	Cabin Branch	R1	6124.71	2YR	1106.9	2.85	0.19	Decrease	Decrease
Cabin Branch	R1	6414.25	10YR	2192.5	7.46	1.22	Cabin Branch	R1	6124.71	10YR	2192.5	3.21	0.22	Decrease	Decrease
Cabin Branch	R1	6414.25	100YR	4215.6	8.96	1.63	Cabin Branch	R1	6124.71	100YR	4215.6	4.37	0.39	Decrease	Decrease
Cabin Branch	R1	6074.52	2YR	1106.9	7.05	1.05	Cabin Branch	R1	5783.17	2YR	1106.9	8.65	1.65	Stable Shear Value	Coir 700 Matting
Cabin Branch	R1	6074.52	10YR	2192.5	8.59	1.45	Cabin Branch	R1	5783.17	10YR	2192.5	8.97	1.59	Stable Shear Value	Coir 700 Matting
Cabin Branch	R1	6074.52	100YR	4215.6	10.35	1.99	Cabin Branch	R1	5783.17	100YR	4215.6	10.94	2.24	Coir 700 Matting	Coir 700 Matting
Cabin Branch	R1	5738.14	2YR	1106.9	10.56	2.67	Cabin Branch	R1	5447.84	2YR	1106.9	6.5	1.17	Decrease	Decrease
Cabin Branch	R1	5738.14	10YR	2192.5	11.97	3.22	Cabin Branch	R1	5447.84	10YR	2192.5	6.08	0.87	Decrease	Decrease
Cabin Branch	R1	5738.14	100YR	4215.6	8.51	1.41	Cabin Branch	R1	5447.84	100YR	4215.6	6.56	0.89	Decrease	Decrease
Cabin Branch	R1	5295.94	2YR	1106.9	5.3	0.59	Cabin Branch	R1	4996.42	2YR	1106.9	4.67	0.46	Decrease	Decrease
Cabin Branch	R1	5295.94	10YR	2192.5	5.86	0.66	Cabin Branch	R1	4996.42	10YR	2192.5	5.84	0.66	No Change	Decrease
Cabin Branch	R1	5295.94	100YR	4215.6	7.42	1	Cabin Branch	R1	4996.42	100YR	4215.6	7.58	1.03	Stable Shear Value	Stable Velocity
Cabin Branch	R1	4901.12	2YR	1106.9	9.58	2.02	Cabin Branch	R1	4612.7	2YR	1106.9	8.62	1.63	Decrease	Decrease
Cabin Branch	R1	4901.12	10YR	2192.5	9.87	1.86	Cabin Branch	R1	4612.7	10YR	2192.5	9.49	1.79	Decrease	Decrease
Cabin Branch	R1	4901.12	100YR	4215.6	11.13	2.2	Cabin Branch	R1	4612.7	100YR	4215.6	11.18	2.32	Coir 700 Matting	Coir 700 Matting
Cabin Branch	R1	4507.96	2YR	1106.9	8.34	1.58	Cabin Branch	R1	4222.05	2YR	1106.9	8.52	1.75	Stable Shear Value	Coir 700 Matting
Cabin Branch	R1	4507.96	10YR	2192.5	12.7	3.62	Cabin Branch	R1	4222.05	10YR	2192.5	12.24	3.42	Decrease	Decrease
Cabin Branch	R1	4507.96	100YR	4215.6	6.22	0.71	Cabin Branch	R1	4222.05	100YR	4215.6	6.46	0.79	Stable Shear Value	Stable Velocity
Cabin Branch	R1	4211.67	2YR	1106.9	2.49	0.13	Cabin Branch	R1	3905.14	2YR	1106.9	3.49	0.26	Stable Shear Value	Stable Velocity
Cabin Branch	R1	4211.67	10YR	2192.5	3.27	0.21	Cabin Branch	R1	3905.14	10YR	2192.5	3.85	0.29	Stable Shear Value	Stable Velocity
Cabin Branch	R1	4211.67	100YR	4215.6	2.82	0.14	Cabin Branch	R1	3905.14	100YR	4215.6	3.07	0.16	Stable Shear Value	Stable Velocity
Cabin Branch	R1	3835.96	2YR	1106.9	3.05	0.18	Cabin Branch	R1	3520.98	2YR	1106.9	2.98	0.17	Decrease	Decrease
Cabin Branch	R1	3835.96	10YR	2192.5	4.15	0.32	Cabin Branch	R1	3520.98	10YR	2192.5	4.09	0.31	Decrease	Decrease
Cabin Branch	R1	3835.96	100YR	4215.6	4.45	0.33	Cabin Branch	R1	3520.98	100YR	4215.6	4.38	0.32	Decrease	Decrease
Cabin Branch	R1	3811.77	2YR	1106.9	6.48	1.39	Cabin Branch	R1	3496.28	2YR	1106.9	6.5	1.37	Decrease	Stable Velocity
Cabin Branch	R1	3811.77	10YR	2192.5	5.38	0.75	Cabin Branch	R1	3496.28	10YR	2192.5	5.8	0.86	Stable Shear Value	Stable Velocity
Cabin Branch	R1	3811.77	100YR	4215.6	4.26	0.36	Cabin Branch	R1	3496.28	100YR	4215.6	4.73	0.44	Stable Shear Value	Stable Velocity
Cabin Branch	R1	3700	Culvert				Cabin Branch	R1	3370	Culvert				No Change	No Change
Cabin Branch	R1	3660.55	2YR	1106.9	4.36	0.55	Cabin Branch	R1	3345.43	2YR	1106.9	4.42	0.55	No Change	Stable Velocity
Cabin Branch	R1	3660.55	10YR	2192.5	4.46	0.48	Cabin Branch	R1	3345.43	10YR	2192.5	4.59	0.5	Stable Shear Value	Stable Velocity
Cabin Branch	R1	3660.55	100YR	4215.6	8.99	1.96	Cabin Branch	R1	3345.43	100YR	4215.6	8.4	1.64	Decrease	Decrease
Cabin Branch	R1	3647.79	2YR	1106.9	8.08	1.61	Cabin Branch	R1	3332.38	2YR	1106.9	7.54	1.24	Decrease	Decrease
Cabin Branch	R1	3647.79	10YR	2192.5	11.08	2.79	Cabin Branch	R1	3332.38	10YR	2192.5	10.87	2.43	Decrease	Decrease
Cabin Branch	R1	3647.79	100YR	4215.6	10.18	2.1	Cabin Branch	R1	3332.38	100YR	4215.6	10.88	2.23	Coir 700 Matting	Coir 700 Matting
Cabin Branch	R2	3326.87	2YR	1155.2	9.53	2.07	Cabin Branch	R2	3006.19	2YR	1155.2	8.07	1.47	Decrease	Decrease
Cabin Branch	R2	3326.87	10YR	2276.3	11.01	2.56	Cabin Branch	R2	3006.19	10YR	2276.3	9.02	1.7	Decrease	Decrease
Cabin Branch	R2	3326.87	100YR	4364.6	11.61	2.66	Cabin Branch	R2	3006.19	100YR	4364.6	9.67	1.82	Decrease	Decrease
Cabin Branch	R2	2988.4	2YR	1155.2	10.34	2.62	Cabin Branch	R2	2679.03	2YR	1155.2	7.15	1.15	Decrease	Decrease
Cabin Branch	R2	2988.4	10YR	2276.3	9.83	1.98	Cabin Branch	R2	2679.03	10YR	2276.3	9.07	1.76	Decrease	Decrease
Cabin Branch	R2	2988.4	100YR	4364.6	10.74	2.19	Cabin Branch	R2	2679.03	100YR	4364.6	11.61	2.75	Coir 700 Matting	Coir 700 Matting
Cabin Branch	R2	2583.32	2YR	1155.2	2.41	0.14	Cabin Branch	R2	2244.79	2YR	1155.2	4.42	0.44	Stable Shear Value	Stable Velocity
Cabin Branch	R2	2583.32	10YR	2276.3	3.23	0.23	Cabin Branch	R2	2244.79	10YR	2276.3	5.72	0.69	Stable Shear Value	Stable Velocity
Cabin Branch	R2	2583.32	100YR	4364.6	4.71	0.45	Cabin Branch	R2	2244.79	100YR	4364.6	6.75	0.89	Stable Shear Value	Stable Velocity
Cabin Branch	R2	2296.95	2YR	1155.2	3.56	0.27	Cabin Branch	R2	1956.53	2YR	1155.2	7.39	1.21	Stable Shear Value	Stable Velocity
Cabin Branch	R2	2296.95	10YR	2276.3	4.14	0.34	Cabin Branch	R2	1956.53	10YR	2276.3	6.77	0.93	Stable Shear Value	Stable Velocity
Cabin Branch	R2	2296.95	100YR	4364.6	5.05	0.47	Cabin Branch	R2	1956.53	100YR	4364.6	6.91	0.89	Stable Shear Value	Stable Velocity
Cabin Branch	R2	2053.69	2YR	1155.2	8.85	1.82	Cabin Branch	R2	1714.55	2YR	1155.2	8.71	1.7	Decrease	Decrease
Cabin Branch	R2	2053.69	10YR	2276.3	9.38	1.82	Cabin Branch	R2	1714.55	10YR	2276.3	9.68	1.88	Stable Shear Value	Coir 700 Matting
Cabin Branch	R2	2053.69	100YR	4364.6	11.43	2.52	Cabin Branch	R2	1714.55	100YR	4364.6	11.73	2.58	Coir 700 Matting	Coir 700 Matting
Cabin Branch	R2	1563.85	2YR	1155.2	1.19	0.03	Cabin Branch	R2	1268.3	2YR	1155.2	3.07	0.21	Stable Shear Value	Stable Velocity
Cabin Branch	R2	1563.85	10YR	2276.3	1.63	0.05	Cabin Branch	R2	1268.3	10YR	2276.3	2.82	0.16	Stable Shear Value	Stable Velocity
Cabin Branch	R2	1563.85	100YR	4364.6	1.77	0.05	Cabin Branch	R2	1268.3	100YR	4364.6	2.44	0.1	Stable Shear Value	Stable Velocity
Cabin Branch	R2	1316.79	2YR	1155.2	6.92	1.08	Cabin Branch	R2	1066.4	2YR	1155.2	8.77	1.68	Stable Shear Value	Coir 700 Matting
Cabin Branch	R2	1316.79	10YR	2276.3	6.06	0.71	Cabin Branch	R2	1066.4	10YR	2276.3	6.79	0.86	Stable Shear Value	Stable Velocity
Cabin Branch	R2	1316.79	100YR	4364.6	4.99	0.42	Cabin Branch	R2	1066.4	100YR	4364.6	5.4	0.48	Stable Shear Value	Stable Velocity
Cabin Branch	R2														

RFP-2 CABIN BRANCH STREAM RESTORATION AND WETLAND MITIGATION PHASE II MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

VICINITY/ZONING MAP




LOCATION MAP




LATITUDE: N 39° 10' 43"
LONGITUDE: W 77° 12' 08"

AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH-PROJECT OVERVIEW



FEMA FIRMETTE



REFERENCE FEMA MAP: 24031C0187D

APPLICANT/AGENT:
NAME: HGS, LLC A RES COMPANY
ADDRESS: 5367 TELEPHONE ROAD
WARRENTON, VIRGINIA 20187

PROPERTY OWNER #1:
NAME: USL2 MR MONT VILLAGE BUSINESS TR
ADDRESS: 19550 MONTGOMERY VILLAGE AVE.
GAITHERSBURG, MD 20886
ZONING: TLD, CRN-0.55
ACREAGE: 111.87

PROPERTY OWNER #2:
NAME: POTOMAC ELECTRIC POWER CO
ADDRESS: C/O CORP TAX DEPT, STE 5617
701 9TH ST NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20068
ZONING: R-200
ACREAGE: 16.52

PROJECT SUMMARY

RFP - 2 CABIN BRANCH STREAM AND WETLAND MITIGATION PROJECT

THE CABIN BRANCH PROJECT WILL RESTORE APPROXIMATELY 7,983 LINEAR FEET OF STREAM, CREATE APPROXIMATELY 4.40 ACRES OF FORESTED NON-TIDAL WETLANDS, AND ENHANCE APPROXIMATELY 11.67 ACRES OF NON-TIDAL WETLAND AND RIPARIAN BUFFERS. THE PROJECT IS WITHIN THE MIDDLE POTOMAC - CATOCTIN WATERSHED (FEDERAL 8-DIGIT HUC 02070003) AND LOCATED AT 19550 MONTGOMERY VILLAGE DRIVE GAITHERSBURG, MD. CABIN BRANCH AND ITS ASSOCIATED TRIBUTARIES ARE CLASSIFIED AS USE I-P STREAM. ALL WETLAND, STREAM, AND BUFFER COMPONENTS WILL BE FULLY INTEGRATED TO PROVIDE THE GREATEST FUNCTIONAL UPLIFT WHILE GENERATING PERMITTEE RESPONSIBLE COMPENSATORY MITIGATION CREDITS FOR THE I-495 & I-270 MANAGED LANES STUDY.

THE PROJECT IS LOCATED ON A FORMER GOLF COURSE AND THE STREAM AND ADJACENT RIPARIAN AREAS EXHIBIT EXTENSIVE PERTURBATION AS A RESULT OF PAST LAND USE PRACTICES AND INCREASED WATERSHED URBANIZATION. SITE IMPACTS INCLUDE CHANNEL MODIFICATIONS, UTILITY ENCRoACHMENT, STREAM PIPING, IMPOUNDMENT, CHANNELIZATION, BANK ARMORING, CHANNEL BLOCKAGES, AND ANTHROPOGENIC GRADING ASSOCIATED WITH HISTORIC GOLF COURSE INFRASTRUCTURE. AS A RESULT, CABIN BRANCH IS HIGHLY INCISED AND EXHIBITS MINIMAL FLOODPLAIN CONNECTION EXCEPT DURING LARGE FLOOD EVENTS. LOSS OF VERTICAL AND LATERAL STABILITY, COMBINED WITH HISTORIC LAND USE IMPACTS, PROVIDES AN OPPORTUNITY TO GENERATE SIGNIFICANT ECOLOGICAL UPLIFT THROUGH LARGE SCALE, MULTI-FEATURE RESTORATION IN A HIGHLY URBANIZED AREA. THE PROJECT ALSO PROPOSES DAYLIGHTING AND CREATION OF NATURAL STREAM CHANNELS FOR OVER 2,300 LINEAR FEET OF CURRENTLY PIPED WATER COURSES, HISTORIC LAND USE CHANGES HAVE ALSO HAD SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS TO THE STREAM VALLEY AND WHAT WOULD HAVE BEEN FORESTED FLOODPLAIN COMPLEXES. THE CREATION OF WATER HAZARDS AND AMENITY PONDS, IN COMBINATION WITH STREAM CHANNEL INCISION, INSTALLATION OF SUBSURFACE DRAINAGES, AND GOLF COURSE GRADING HAS ELIMINATED ALMOST ALL WETLANDS WITHIN THE PROJECT SITE. AS A RESULT OF THIS MITIGATION PROJECT, SIX OPEN WATER PONDS WILL BE CONVERTED TO NON-TIDAL FORESTED WETLANDS THAT WILL PROVIDE SIGNIFICANT ECOLOGICAL AND SYSTEM WIDE IMPROVEMENTS, ELIMINATE THERMAL POLLUTION, AND OTHER IMPORTANT CO-BENEFITS TO THE SITE AND WATERSHED.

STREAM DESIGN OBJECTIVES INCLUDE CREATION OF A SELF-SUSTAINING PLANFORM, CROSS-SECTION, AND PROFILE UTILIZING NATURAL CHANNEL DESIGN. THE DESIGN INCORPORATES PRIORITY II AND III RESTORATION THAT INCLUDES CHANNEL RELOCATION AND INCREASES IN BED ELEVATION TO ENSURE FUNCTIONING BANK HEIGHT AND ENTRENCHMENT RATIOS THAT WILL INCREASE FLOODPLAIN CONNECTIVITY TO EITHER THE EXISTING FLOODPLAIN OR PROPOSED FLOODPLAIN BENCHES. WOODY AND OTHER HABITAT STRUCTURES WILL BE UTILIZED TO FURTHER PROMOTE STABILITY WHILE INCREASING ECOLOGICAL UPLIFT. RIFFLE/POOL FEATURES HAVE BEEN DESIGNED TO MAINTAIN BEDFORM DIVERSITY, PROMOTE MACROINVERTEBRATE AND FISH HABITAT, AS WELL AS INCREASE HYPORHEIC EXCHANGE. THIS RESTORATION DESIGN WILL RESULT IN EIGHT FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT CATEGORIES CURRENTLY NON-FUNCTIONING OR FUNCTIONING AT RISK AND RESTORE THEM TO FUNCTIONING.

WETLAND DESIGN OBJECTIVES INCLUDE THE CONVERSION OF OPEN WATER PONDS TO FORESTED NON-TIDAL WETLANDS. THE EXISTING PONDS WILL BE FILLED WITH SUITABLE SOIL TO THE APPROPRIATE ELEVATION NECESSARY TO ENSURE WETLAND HYDROLOGY. ALL SUBSURFACE AND OTHER DRAINAGE FEATURES WILL BE DISCONNECTED AND VEGETATION WILL BE PLANTED BASED ON REFERENCE WETLANDS IN PROXIMITY TO THE PROJECT SITE. IN ADDITION TO GROUND WATER HYDROLOGY THE PROPOSED WETLANDS HAVE BEEN INTEGRATED INTO THE STREAM DESIGN AND WILL RECEIVE FLOOD INPUTS AT LARGER THAN BANKFULL EVENTS. THIS WETLAND/STREAM INTEGRATION PROVIDES THE GREATEST OVERALL BENEFIT TO THE SYSTEM.

CREDIT SUMMARY

ACTIVITY	LINEAR FEET (LF) ACREAGE (AC)	CREDIT RATIO	CREDIT	STREAM GAINS (FUNCTIONAL FEET)
STREAM RESTORATION	7,173 LF	1:1	7,173	5,149
STREAM RESTORATION (PEPCO PROPERTY)	810 LF	1:1	810	433
WETLAND RESTORATION (PFO)	4.38	1:1	4.38	-
WETLAND ENHANCEMENT	0.06	4:1	0.01	-
WETLAND BUFFER ENHANCEMENT	2.45	15:1	0.16	-
TOTAL WETLAND CREDIT			4.55	-
RIPIARIAN BUFFER (35 FOOT BUFFER)	8.27 AC	-	-	-
RIPIARIAN BUFFER ENHANCEMENT	0.93 AC	15:1	0.06	-

SHEET INDEX:

- 1 - COVER SHEET
- 2 - NOTES / NARRATIVE
- 3 - DRAINAGE AREA MAPS
- 4 - EXISTING CONDITIONS KEY SHEET
- 5 - 16 - EXISTING CONDITIONS
- 17 - MITIGATION MASTER PLAN
- 18 - DESIGN KEY SHEET
- 19 - 37 - STREAM GRADING PLANS & PROFILES
- 38 - 42 - WETLAND GRADING PLANS & PROFILES
- 43 - 46 - TYPICAL STREAM DETAILS
- 47 - PLANTING NOTES AND DETAILS
- 48 - 49 - PLANTING PLAN
- 50 - 51 - MONITORING PLAN

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION:
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE DOCUMENTS
WERE PREPARED OR APPROVED BY ME, AND
THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL
ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE
OF MARYLAND, LICENSE NO. 52852,
EXPIRATION DATE: 6/14/2022

NOT FOR
CONSTRUCTION

FOR ALL WORK WITHIN THE LIMITS OF THE PARCELS OWNED BY POTOMAC ELECTRIC
POWER COMPANY THE FOLLOWING NOTES SHALL APPLY:

GRANTOR's PROPERTIES Workspace Notes

- A. Notify GRANTOR at least seventy-two (72) hours prior to start of work on GRANTOR's PROPERTIES. Notify GRANTOR again at the completion of work. Failure to notify GRANTOR may trigger a stop work order.
- B. Remove all construction debris from GRANTOR's PROPERTIES at the completion of the work.
- C. Stabilize all disturbed areas by grading, seeding and/or mulching.

PROJECT STATUS

DATE	DESCRIPTION
6/26/2020	CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020	65% MITIGATION PLAN
2/15/2021	65% MITIGATION PLAN REV.
9/3/2021	65% MITIGATION PLAN REV. 2

RFP-2 CABIN BRANCH

PROJECT MANAGER:	RC	JOB NUMBER:	PRJ102054
DESIGNED:	BWSS/JC	DESIGN TYPE:	404 MITIGATION
DRAWN:	JC	PLAN DATE:	11/22/2021



5367 TELEPHONE ROAD, WARRENTON, VIRGINIA 20187
P: 703.393.4844 | F: 703.393.2934

WWW.RES.US

BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES FOR WORKING IN NONTIDAL WETLANDS, WETLAND BUFFERS, WATERWAYS, AND 100-YEAR FLOODPLAINS

1. NO EXCESS FILL, CONSTRUCTION MATERIAL, OR DEBRIS SHALL BE STOCKPILED OR STORED IN NONTIDAL WETLANDS, NONTIDAL WETLAND BUFFERS, WATERWAYS, OR THE 100-YEAR FLOODPLAIN.
- 2.PLACE MATERIALS IN A LOCATION AND MANNER WHICH DOES NOT ADVERSELY IMPACT SURFACE OR SUBSURFACE WATER FLOW INTO OR OUT OF NONTIDAL WETLANDS, NONTIDAL WETLAND BUFFERS, WATERWAYS, OR THE 100-YEAR FLOODPLAIN.
- 3.DO NOT USE THE EXCAVATED MATERIAL AS BACKFILL IF IT CONTAINS WASTE METAL PRODUCTS, UNSIGHTLY DEBRIS, TOXIC MATERIAL, OR ANY OTHER DELETERIOUS SUBSTANCE. IF ADDITIONAL BACKFILL IS REQUIRED, USE CLEAN MATERIALS FREE OF WASTE METAL PRODUCTS, UNSIGHTLY DEBRIS, TOXIC MATERIAL, OR ANY OTHER DELETERIOUS SUBSTANCE.
- 4.PLACE HEAVY EQUIPMENT ON MATS OR SUITABLY OPERATE THE EQUIPMENT TO PREVENT DAMAGE TO NONTIDAL WETLANDS, NONTIDAL WETLAND BUFFERS, WATERWAYS, OR THE 100-YEAR FLOODPLAIN.
- 5.REPAIR AND MAINTAIN ANY SERVICEABLE STRUCTURE OR FILL SO THERE IS NO PERMANENT LOSS OF NONTIDAL WETLANDS, NONTIDAL WETLAND BUFFERS, OR WATERWAYS, OR PERMANENT MODIFICATION OF THE 100-YEAR FLOODPLAIN IN EXCESS OF THAT LOST UNDER THE ORIGINALLY AUTHORIZED STRUCTURE OR FILL.
- 6.RECTIFY ANY NONTIDAL WETLANDS, WETLAND BUFFERS, WATERWAYS, OR 100-YEAR FLOODPLAIN TEMPORARILY IMPACTED BY ANY CONSTRUCTION.
- 7.ALL STABILIZATION IN THE NONTIDAL WETLAND AND NONTIDAL WETLAND BUFFER SHALL CONSIST OF THE FOLLOWING SPECIES:
ANNUAL RYEGRASS (LOLIUM MULTIFLORUM), MILLET (SETARIA ITALICA), BARLEY (HORDEUM SP.), OATS (UNIOLA SP.) AND/OR RYE (SECALE CEREALE). THESE SPECIES WILL ALLOW FOR THE STABILIZATION OF THE SITE WHILE ALSO ALLOWING FOR THE VOLUNTARY REVEGETATION OF NATURAL WETLAND SPECIES. OTHER NON-PERSISTENT VEGETATION MAY BE ACCEPTABLE, BUT MUST BE APPROVED BY THE NONTIDAL WETLANDS AND WATERWAYS DIVISION. KENTUCKY 31 FESCUE SHALL NOT BE UTILIZED IN WETLAND OR BUFFER AREAS. THE AREA SHOULD BE SEEDED AND MULCHED TO REDUCE EROSION AFTER CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES HAVE BEEN COMPLETED.
- 8.AFTER INSTALLATION HAS BEEN COMPLETED, MAKE POST CONSTRUCTION GRADES AND ELEVATIONS THE SAME AS THE ORIGINAL GRADES AND ELEVATIONS IN TEMPORARILY IMPACTED AREAS.
- 9.TO PROTECT AQUATIC SPECIES, IN-STREAM WORK IS PROHIBITED AS DETERMINED BY THE CLASSIFICATION OF THE STREAM:
 - A. USE I WATERS (WITHOUT YELLOW PERCH): IN-STREAM WORK SHALL NOT BE CONDUCTED DURING THE PERIOD MARCH 1 THROUGH JUNE 15, INCLUSIVE DURING ANY YEAR.
 - B. USE I WATERS (WITH YELLOW PERCH): IN-STREAM WORK SHALL NOT BE CONDUCTED DURING THE PERIOD FEBRUARY 15 THROUGH JUNE 15, INCLUSIVE DURING ANY YEAR.
 - C. USE III WATERS: IN-STREAM WORK SHALL NOT BE CONDUCTED DURING THE PERIOD OCTOBER 1 THORUGH APRIL 30, INCLUSIVE, DURING ANY YEAR.
 - D. USE IV WATERS: IN-STREAM WORK SHALL NOT BE CONDUCTED DURING THE PERIOD MARCH 1 THROUGH MAY 31, INCLUSIVE, DURING ANY YEAR.
10. STORMWATER RUNOFF FROM IMPERVIOUS SURFACES SHALL BE CONTROLLED TO PREVENT THE WASHING OF DEBRIS INTO THE WATERWAY.
11. CULVERTS SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED AND ANY RIPRAP PLACED SO AS NOT TO OBSTRUCT THE MOVEMENT OF AQUATIC SPECIES, UNLESS THE PURPOSE OF THE ACTIVITY IS TO IMPOUND WATER.

WETLAND CONSTRUCTION NOTES:

- NOTE: SEE ESC PLANS FOR THE COMPLETE SEQUENCE OF CONSTRUCTION.
- ALL WETLAND CONSTRUCTION MUST BE COMPLETED "IN THE DRY."
 - ALL UPSTREAM STORMWATER INFLOWS MUST BE DISCONNECTED.
 - THE EXISTING CLAY BOTTOM OF POND SHALL BE RIPPED TO THE DEPTH NECESSARY TO RESTORE FREE GROUNDWATER MOVEMENT; THE WETLAND DESIGNER SHALL APPROVE PRIOR TO FILLING WITHIN THE PROPOSED WETLAND.
 - FILL POND BOTTOM WITH SOIL SALVAGED FROM ON SITE TO ACHIEVE SUBGRADE ELEVATIONS 6" BELOW FINAL GRADE ELEVATION IN THE WETLAND PLANTING ZONES. ALL OTHER AREAS TO BE FILLED/EXCAVATED AND GRADED TO FINAL ELEVATIONS.
 - ASBUILT OF THE WETLAND CELLS SHALL BE BASED ON THE SUBGRADE ELEVATION. ONCE THE FINAL SUBGRADE HAS BEEN APPROVED BY THE WETLAND DESIGNER FINAL SURFACE PREPARATION MAY BEGIN.
 - 6" OF CLASS A TOPSOIL SHALL BE SPREAD ACROSS THE WETLAND PLANTING ZONES TO ACHIEVE FINAL GRADE. ONLY LOW-GROUND PRESSURE EQUIPMENT TO BE USED TO SPREAD TOPSOIL.
 - SPREAD ORGANIC COMPOST ON SURFACE OF WETLAND CELL AT A QUANTITY OF 60 CY PER ACRE, AND INCORPORATE INTO THE SOIL TO A MINIMUM DEPTH OF 8" BY DISKING OR RIPPING, USING ONLY LOW GROUND PRESSURE EQUIPMENT.
 - THE SPREADING OF THE TOP SOIL & DISKING OF THE ORGANIC COMPOST SHALL CREATE THE MICROTOPOGRAPHY WITHIN THE WETLAND CELL.
 - PLACE LARGE WOODY DEBRIS IN THE WETLAND CELL AS SHOWN IN THE DESIGN PLANS.
 - IF CONSTRUCTION IS COMPLETED OUTSIDE OF THE RECOMMENDED PLANTING SEASON, ALL AREAS OF DISTURBED SOIL ARE TO BE SEEDED WITH TEMPORARY SEED MIXES SPECIFIED IN THE PLANTING PLANS. NO SEEDING OF THE PERMANENT WETLAND SEED MIX OR PLANTING OF THE WETLAND PLANTS SHALL BE CONDUCTED UNTIL THE APPROPRIATE SEASON, AS APPROVED BY THE WETLAND DESIGNER.
 - WETLAND PLANTING AND PERMANENT SEEDING NOTES AND DETAILS ARE INCLUDED IN THE DESIGN PLANS.

PERFORM STREAM RESTORATION OPERATION:

- NOTE:SEE ESC PLANS FOR THE COMPLETE SEQUENCE OF CONSTRUCTION.
- ALL STREAM CONSTRUCTION MUST BE COMPLETED "IN THE DRY,"
 - WHEN POSSIBLE NEW SEGMENTS OF CHANNEL SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED OFF-LINE AND STREAM FLOW MAINTAINED IN THE ORIGINAL STREAM CHANNEL WHILE THE PROPOSED CHANNEL IS BEING CONSTRUCTED. THE PROPOSED STREAM CHANNEL MUST BE GRADED, SEEDED AND MATTED TO CONTROL EROSION PRIOR TO INTRODUCTION OF FLOW INTO THE PROPOSED CHANNEL.
 - TOP SOIL SHOULD BE SALVAGED AND STOCKPILED AS POSSIBLE FOR REUSE ACROSS THE DISTURBED STREAM BANKS & RIPARIAN AREAS.
 - INSTALLATION OF STRUCTURES (LOG OR ROCK): USING LOGS (SALVAGED FROM SITE CLEARING IF AVAILABLE) OR ROCKS INSTALL THE STRUCTURES PER THE PLANS, ENSURING THAT THE TOP OF THE LOG/HEADER ROCK EXPOSED IN THE CHANNEL IS EVEN WITH THE INVERT OF THE STREAM CHANNEL.
 - ONLY AFTER THE ENTIRE STREAM CHANNEL (OR SECTION) HAS BEEN CONSTRUCTED AND STABILIZED, AND ALL TIE-INS COMPLETED MAY THE PROPOSED CHANNEL BE OPENED TO STREAM FLOW.
 - FLOODPLAIN GRADING CAN HAPPEN CONCURRENTLY WITH STREAM CHANNEL CONSTRUCTION OR BE STAGGERED.

CONSTRUCTION NOTES:

1. ALL EXISTING UNDERGROUND UTILITIES SHALL BE PHYSICALLY LOCATED BY THE CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO THE BEGINNING OF ANY CONSTRUCTION IN THE VICINITY OF THESE UTILITIES. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR COORDINATING WITH UTILITY COMPANIES FOR THE RELOCATION OF SERVICES/UTILITIES IF REQUIRED.
2. THE DEVELOPER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY DAMAGE TO EXISTING ROADS AND UTILITIES WHICH OCCUR AS A RESULT OF PROJECT CONSTRUCTION WITHIN OR CONTIGUOUS TO EXISTING RIGHT-OF-WAY.
3. STREET SURFACES SHALL BE MAINTAINED IN A CLEANED CONDITION, MUD AND DUST FREE AT ALL TIMES. ADEQUATE MEANS SHALL BE PROVIDED TO CLEAN TRUCKS AND OTHER EQUIPMENT USING THE COMPLETED STREETS.
4. ALL MATERIALS AND CONSTRUCTION WITHIN THE MDSHA RIGHT-OF-WAY WILL CONFORM TO CURRENT SPECIFICATIONS AND STANDARDS OF THE MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION.
5. LAND CONSERVATION NOTES:
 - A.) STABILIZATION PRACTICES ON ALL PROJECTS MUST BE IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF COMAR 26.17.1.08 g REGULATIONS BY JANUARY 9, 2013, REGARDLESS OF WHEN AN EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN WAS APPROVED.
 - B.) FOLLOWING INITIAL SOIL DISTURBANCE OR RE-DISTURBANCE, PERMANENT OR TEMPORARY STABILIZATION MUST BE COMPLETED WITHIN: THREE (3) CALENDAR DAYS AS TO THE SURFACE OF ALL PERIMETER DIKES, SWALES, DITCHES, PERIMETER SLOPES, AND ALL SLOPES STEEPER THAN 3 HORIZONTAL TO 1 VERTICAL (3:1), AND SEVEN (7) CALENDAR DAYS AS TO ALL OTHER DISTURBED OR GRADED AREAS ON THE PROJECT SITE NOT UNDER ACTIVE GRADING.
6. ALL FILL, BASE AND SUBBASE MATERIAL SHALL BE COMPACTED WITH TRACKED OR MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT TO THE ENGINEERS APPROVAL.
7. THE MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT (MDE), IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CLEAN WATER ACT AS AMENDED AND PURSUANT TO THE STATE WATER CONTROL LAW AND REGULATIONS, REQUIRES CONSTRUCTION SITE OPERATORS SECURE, OR WILL SECURE, BY INDICATING PROOF OF APPLICATION, A NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM (NPDES) PERMIT FOR STORMWATER DISCHARGES FROM CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES. CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES INCLUDE, CLEARING, GRADING AND EXCAVATING WHICH RESULTS IN LAND DISTURBANCE EQUAL TO OR GREATER THAN ONE (1) ACRE. IN ORDER TO ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THE MDE PERMITTING REQUIREMENT, ALL APPLICATIONS FOR GRADING PERMITS WITHIN MONTGOMERY COUNTY, SUBJECT TO CRITERIA AS PREVIOUSLY DESCRIBED, SHALL BE REQUIRED TO PROVIDE RECEIPT OF PROOF THAT CONSTRUCTION SITE OPERATORS HAVE SECURED OR WILL SECURE A NPDES CONSTRUCTION PERMIT PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF THE GRADING PERMIT.
8. THE APPROVAL OF THESE PLANS SHALL IN NO WAY RELIEVE THE OWNER OF COMPLYING WITH OTHER APPLICABLE LOCAL, STATE AND FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS.
9. EMERGENCY VEHICLE ACCESS SHALL BE PROVIDED DURING ALL PHASES OF CONSTRUCTION.

NOTICE REQUIRED:

CONTRACTORS SHALL NOTIFY OPERATORS WHO MAINTAIN UNDERGROUND UTILITY LINES IN THE AREA OF PROPOSED EXCAVATION AND/OR BLASTING AT LEAST TWO (2) WORKING DAYS, BUT NOT MORE THAN TEN (10) WORKING DAYS PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF EXCAVATION OR DEMOLITION. NAMES AND TELEPHONE NUMBERS OF THE OPERATORS OF UNDERGROUND UTILITY LINES APPEAR BELOW. THESE NUMBERS SHALL ALSO BE USED TO SERVE IN AN EMERGENCY CONDITION.

PEPCO 202-833-7500
WASHINGTON GAS 703-750-1400
WASHINGTON SUBURBAN SANITARY COMMISSION 301-206-4001
MISS UTILITY 811 OR 800-552-7001

EMERGENCY

POLICE: 911 OR 301-258-6400
FIRE & RESCUE: 911 OR 240-773-4708

GENERAL NOTES:

1. THE PURPOSE OF THIS PROJECT IS TO CREATE A PERMITTEE RESPONSIBLE COMPENSATORY BANK FOR THE I-270/495 EXPANSION.
2. THE PROPERTY SHOWN HEREON IS RECORDED IN THE NAME OF:

PARCEL	NUMBER	OWNER
00772244		USL2 MR MONT VILLAGE BUSINESS TR
00775420		POTOMAC ELECTRIC POWER CO
00775407		POTOMAC ELECTRIC POWER CO
3. ACCORDING TO MONTGOMERY COUNTY ASSESSMENTS, THE PARCELS TOTAL IS 128.39 ACRES.
4. THIS PROPERTY IS CURRENTLY ZONED RESIDENTIAL TOWNHOUSE LOW DENSITY (TLD), COMMERCIAL RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD (CRN) OR RESIDENTIAL-200 (R-200).
5. THE BOUNDARY INFORMATION SHOWN HEREON WAS PREPARED WITHOUT THE BENEFIT OF A TITLE SEARCH OR PROPERTY BOUNDARY SURVEY, BASED ON AVAILABLE ONLINE GIS DATA.
6. THE PROPOSED USE OF THE PROPERTY FORESTED OPEN SPACE/MITIGATION.
7. TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SHOWN HEREON WAS ACQUIRED BY AMT ENGINEERING. CONTOUR INTERVAL IS 1 FOOT. SEE SURVEY NOTES.
8. SOILS INFORMATION IS BASED ON THE USDA WEB SOIL SURVEYS DATABASE CURRENT DATA FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY.
9. THE PROPERTY SHOWN HEREON IS WITHIN A 100-YEAR FLOODPLAIN; FEMA ZONE AE.
10. THE PROPERTY SHOWN HEREON HAS NO ARCHEOLOGICAL OR HISTORIC FEATURES AS INCLUDED IN THE STATE OR NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES.
11. ALL CONSTRUCTION SHALL CONFORM TO CURRENT MONTGOMERY COUNTY AND MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS OR APPROVED MODIFICATIONS.
12. SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL WILL BE PROVIDED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE 2011 MARYLAND STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR SOIL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL.
13. THE WETLAND DELINEATION SHOWN HEREON WAS PERFORMED BY RES IN SEPTEMBER 2020.
14. ALL WETLAND DELINEATIONS, PERMITS AND MITIGATION PLANS SHALL BE OBTAINED AND EVIDENCE OF SUCH BE PROVIDED TO THE COUNTY PRIOR TO LAND DISTURBANCE.
15. THESE STREAMS ARE DESIGNATED USE CLASS IP (WATER CONTACT RECREATION, PROTECTION OF AQUATIC LIFE, AND PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY) WATERS (WITHOUT YELLOW PERCH): IN-STREAM WORK SHALL NOT BE CONDUCTED DURING THE PERIOD MARCH 1 THROUGH JUNE 15, INCLUSIVE DURING ANY YEAR.

SURVEY NOTES:

1. HORIZONTAL DATUM: MARYLAND STATE PLANE NAD 83/2011
2. VERTICAL DATUM: NAVD 88 BASED ON RTK GPS OBSERVATIONS.
3. NO UNDERGROUND UTILITY DESIGNATION PROCEDURES WERE PERFORMED. UTILITIES SHOWN ARE FROM VISIBLE SURFACE EVIDENCE AND AVAILABLE RECORDS. SEWER INVERTS LABELED (DATR) ARE FROM WSSC RECORDS CONVERTED TO NAVD88 WITH AVERAGED RATE AND SHOULD BE CONSIDERED APPROXIMATE.
4. NO PROPERTY OR BOUNDARY SURVEY PROCEDURES WERE PERFORMED AS PART OF THIS SURVEY.

BENCHMARK DATA		
NO	ELEV	DESCRIPTION
1	378.41	X-CUT ON SEWER MANHOLE RIM
2	372.11	MAG-NAIL IN POWER POLE
3	368.51	SQUARE CUT ON CORNER OF CONCRETE STEP
4	363.74	MAG-NAIL IN RETAINING WALL SUPPORT POLE
5	356.59	X-CUT ON SEWER MANHOLE RIM
6	351.32	SQUARE CUT ON CORNER OF CONCRETE EBAX PEDESTAL
7	352.28	X-CUT ON SEWER MANHOLE RIM
8	361.62	X-CUT ON SEWER MANHOLE RIM
9	365.67	X-CUT ON SEWER MANHOLE RIM

TRAVERSE DATA				
NO	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEV	DESCRIPTION
1	549612.8806	1256904.0739	371.55	REBAR # CAP
2	549911.6583	1256877.3961	369.15	REBAR # CAP
3	550185.5116	1256545.3493	368.74	REBAR # CAP
4	550194.5612	1256244.7026	368.13	REBAR # CAP
5	550385.9432	1255901.0124	364.51	REBAR # CAP
6	550518.4884	1255106.4533	358.29	MAG-NAIL
7	550750.7908	1254861.5025	357.77	REBAR # CAP
8	550700.2689	1254462.5964	357.82	REBAR # CAP
9	550943.2640	1254205.0051	354.21	REBAR # CAP
10	551145.2060	1254008.3981	353.40	REBAR # CAP
11	551011.3964	1253706.0437	351.66	REBAR # CAP
12	551030.2872	1254946.3786	360.33	REBAR # CAP
301	549375.3780	1257258.6468	373.65	REBAR # CAP
302	549389.6357	1257027.6904	376.40	REBAR # CAP
303	550381.6582	1255510.6750	363.73	REBAR # CAP
304	550527.3286	1255303.3564	362.62	REBAR # CAP
305	551124.9972	1253417.3228	348.69	REBAR # CAP
306	550991.0591	1252983.5044	349.90	REBAR # CAP

PROJECT: RFP-2 CABIN BRANCH
CLIENT: MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED OR APPROVED BY ME, AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND.
LICENSE#52852
EXP. DATE:6/14/2022

NOT FOR
CONSTRUCTION

REVISIONS:




PROJECT STATUS:	
6/26/2020	CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020	65% MIT. PLAN
2/15/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV.
9/3/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
3/10/2022	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4

PROJECT MANAGER:	RC
DESIGNED:	BW/JC
DRAWN:	JC
JOB NUMBER:	102054
DESIGN TYPE:	STREAM
DATE:	11/22/2021
SHEET NO:	



MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

NOTES



ISO 3166-1 Country Code	ISO 3166-2 Region Code	ISO 3166-3 Country Name	ISO 3166-4 Country Code
FR	FR-01	France	FR
FR	FR-02	France	FR
FR	FR-03	France	FR
FR	FR-04	France	FR
FR	FR-05	France	FR
FR	FR-06	France	FR
FR	FR-07	France	FR
FR	FR-08	France	FR
FR	FR-09	France	FR
FR	FR-10	France	FR
FR	FR-11	France	FR
FR	FR-12	France	FR
FR	FR-13	France	FR
FR	FR-14	France	FR
FR	FR-15	France	FR
FR	FR-16	France	FR
FR	FR-17	France	FR
FR	FR-18	France	FR
FR	FR-19	France	FR
FR	FR-20	France	FR
FR	FR-21	France	FR
FR	FR-22	France	FR
FR	FR-23	France	FR
FR	FR-24	France	FR
FR	FR-25	France	FR
FR	FR-26	France	FR
FR	FR-27	France	FR
FR	FR-28	France	FR
FR	FR-29	France	FR
FR	FR-30	France	FR
FR	FR-31	France	FR
FR	FR-32	France	FR
FR	FR-33	France	FR
FR	FR-34	France	FR
FR	FR-35	France	FR
FR	FR-36	France	FR
FR	FR-37	France	FR
FR	FR-38	France	FR
FR	FR-39	France	FR
FR	FR-40	France	FR
FR	FR-41	France	FR
FR	FR-42	France	FR
FR	FR-43	France	FR
FR	FR-44	France	FR
FR	FR-45	France	FR
FR	FR-46	France	FR
FR	FR-47	France	FR
FR	FR-48	France	FR
FR	FR-49	France	FR
FR	FR-50	France	FR
FR	FR-51	France	FR
FR	FR-52	France	FR
FR	FR-53	France	FR
FR	FR-54	France	FR
FR	FR-55	France	FR
FR	FR-56	France	FR
FR	FR-57	France	FR
FR	FR-58	France	FR
FR	FR-59	France	FR
FR	FR-60	France	FR
FR	FR-61	France	FR
FR	FR-62	France	FR
FR	FR-63	France	FR
FR	FR-64	France	FR
FR	FR-65	France	FR
FR	FR-66	France	FR
FR	FR-67	France	FR
FR	FR-68	France	FR
FR	FR-69	France	FR
FR	FR-70	France	FR
FR	FR-71	France	FR
FR	FR-72	France	FR
FR	FR-73	France	FR
FR	FR-74	France	FR
FR	FR-75	France	FR
FR	FR-76	France	FR
FR	FR-77	France	FR
FR	FR-78	France	FR
FR	FR-79	France	FR
FR	FR-80	France	FR
FR	FR-81	France	FR
FR	FR-82	France	FR
FR	FR-83	France	FR
FR	FR-84	France	FR
FR	FR-85	France	FR
FR	FR-86	France	FR
FR	FR-87	France	FR
FR	FR-88	France	FR
FR	FR-89	France	FR
FR	FR-90	France	FR
FR	FR-91	France	FR
FR	FR-92	France	FR
FR	FR-93	France	FR
FR	FR-94	France	FR
FR	FR-95	France	FR
FR	FR-96	France	FR
FR	FR-97	France	FR
FR	FR-98	France	FR
FR	FR-99	France	FR
FR	FR-100	France	FR

NOTES:

1. TOPOGRAPHY SHOWN IS BASED ON GIS, CONTOUR INTERVAL 5'.
2. WETLAND DELINEATION PERFORMED IN SEPTEMBER 2020 BY RES.
3. SEE DESIGN REPORT FOR HYDROLOGY CALCULATIONS.

PROJECT: RFP-2 CABIN BRANCH
CLIENT: MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

H&H


DRAINAGE AREA MAP

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

NOT FOR
CONSTRUCTION

PROJECT STATUS:	
6/26/2020	CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020	65% MIT. PLAN
2/15/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV.
9/3/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV.
3/10/2022	65% MIT. PLAN REV.

PROJECT MANAGER:	ROBERT
DESIGNED:	BWJ
DRAWN:	JC
JOB NUMBER:	102054
DESIGN TYPE:	STREAM
DATE:	11/22/2021
SHEET NO:	



LEGEND:			
---	---	---	EX. PROPERTY LINE
---	---	---	EX. PROPERTY ADJACENT
---	---	---	EX. MAJOR CONTOUR
---	---	---	EX. MINOR CONTOUR
---	---	---	EX. EDGE OF PAVEMENT
---	---	---	EX. SOILS BOUNDARY
---	---	---	EX. DRAINAGE DIVIDE
---	---	---	EX. SUB DRAINAGE DIVIDE
---	---	---	TC FLOW PATH
---	---	---	EX. FOND
---	---	---	EX. STREAM

MAP UNIT SYMBOL	MAP UNIT NAME	HYDROLOGIC SOIL GROUP	HYDRIC SOIL?
1B	GAILA SILT LOAM, 3 TO 8 PERCENT SLOPES	B	NO
1C	GAILA SILT LOAM, 8 TO 15 PERCENT SLOPES	B	NO
2B	GLENELG SILT LOAM, 3 TO 8 PERCENT SLOPES	B	NO
2C	GLENELG SILT LOAM, 8 TO 15 PERCENT SLOPES	B	NO
4B	ELIOAK SILT LOAM, 3 TO 8 PERCENT SLOPES	C	NO
5B	GLENVILLE SILT LOAM, 3 TO 8 PERCENT SLOPES	C/D	NO
6A	BAILE SILT LOAM, 0 TO 3 PERCENT SLOPES	C/D	YES
16C	BRINKLOW-BLOCKTOWN CHANNERY SILT LOAMS, 8 TO 15 PERCENT SLOPES	C	NO
16D	BRINKLOW-BLOCKTOWN CHANNERY SILT LOAMS, 15 TO 25 PERCENT SLOPES	C	NO
24D	MONTALTO SILT LOAM 15 TO 25 PERCENT SLOPES, VERY STONY	C	NO
37B	TRAVILAH SILT LOAM, 3 TO 8 PERCENT SLOPES	C/D	NO
54A	HATBORO SILT LOAM, 0 TO 3 PERCENT SLOPES, FREQUENTLY FLOODED	B/D	YES
65B	WHEATON SILT LOAM, 0 TO 8 PERCENT SLOPES	B	NO
66UB	WHEATON-URBAN LAND COMPLEX, 0 TO 8 PERCENT SLOPES	B	NO
66UC	WHEATON-URBAN LAND COMPLEX, 8 TO 15 PERCENT SLOPES	B	NO
67UB	URBAN LAND-WHEATON COMPLEX, 0 TO 8 PERCENT SLOPES	D	NO
116D	BLOCKTOWN CHANNERY SILT LOAM, 15 TO 25 PERCENT SLOPES, VERY ROCKY	D	NO
116E	BLOCKTOWN CHANNERY SILT LOAM, 25 TO 45 PERCENT SLOPES, VERY ROCKY	D	NO
400	URBAN LAND	D	NO

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED OR APPROVED BY ME, AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND.
LICENSE#52852
EXP. DATE: 6/14/2022


NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

REVISIONS:
A

PROJECT STATUS:
6/26/2020 CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020 65% MIT. PLAN
2/15/2021 65% MIT. PLAN REV.
9/3/2021 65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
11/22/2021 65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
3/10/2022 65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4

PROJECT MANAGER: RC
DESIGNED: BW/JC
DRAWN: JC
JOB NUMBER: 102054
DESIGN TYPE: STREAM
DATE: 11/22/2021
SHEET NO: 3B OF 51

NOTES:
1. TOPOGRAPHY SHOWN IS BASED ON GIS, CONTOUR INTERVAL 5'.
2. WETLAND DELINEATION PERFORMED IN SEPTEMBER 2020 BY RES.
3. SEE DESIGN REPORT FOR HYDROLOGY CALCULATIONS.



LEGEND:

- EX. PROPERTY LINE
- EX. PROPERTY ADJACENT
- EX. DRAINAGE DIVIDE
- EX. SUB DRAINAGE DIVIDE

GRAPHIC SCALE: 1" = 700'

0 700 1400 2100

ores
HGS, LLC - A RES COMPANY
5367 TELEPHONE ROAD, WARRENTON, VIRGINIA 20187
P: 703.953.1234
WWW.RES.US

PROJECT: RFP-2 CABIN BRANCH
CLIENT: MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION
ZONING MAP
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION
HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE
DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED OR
APPROVED BY ME, AND THAT I AM A
DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL
ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE
STATE OF MARYLAND.
LICENSE# 52852
EXP. DATE: 6/14/2022

NOT FOR
CONSTRUCTION

REVISIONS:

△



PROJECT STATUS:

6/26/2020	CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020	65% MIT. PLAN
2/15/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 1
9/3/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
3/10/2022	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4

PROJECT MANAGER: RC
DESIGNED: BW/JC
DRAWN: JC
JOB NUMBER: 102054
DESIGN TYPE: STREAM
DATE: 11/22/2021
SHEET NO: 3C OF 51

NOTES:

1. TOPOGRAPHY SHOWN IS BASED ON GIS, CONTOUR INTERVAL 5'.
2. WETLAND DELINEATION PERFORMED IN SEPTEMBER 2020 BY RES.
3. SEE DESIGN REPORT FOR HYDROLOGY CALCULATIONS.



LEGEND:	
---	EX. PROPERTY LINE
---	EX. PROPERTY ADJACENT
---	EX. OVERHEAD ELECTRIC
---	EX. SANITARY SEWER
---	EX. STORM UTILITY
---	EX. GAS
---	EX. EASEMENT
---	EX. POND
---	EX. STREAM
---	EX. EMERGENT WETLAND
---	EX. FORESTED WETLAND
---	LOS
---	SURVEY LIMITS

PROJECT: RFP-2 CABIN BRANCH
CLIENT: MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION
**EXISTING CONDITIONS
KEY SHEET**
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE
DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED OR
APPROVED BY ME, AND THAT I AM A
FULLY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL
ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE
STATE OF MARYLAND.
LICENSE# 52852
EXP. DATE: 6/14/2022


NOT FOR
CONSTRUCTION

REVISIONS:
△

PROJECT STATUS:	
6/26/2020	CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020	65% MIT. PLAN
2/15/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV.
9/3/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
3/10/2022	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4

PROJECT MANAGER:	RC
DESIGNED:	BW/JC
DRAWN:	JC
JOB NUMBER:	102054
DESIGN TYPE:	STREAM
DATE:	11/22/2021
SHEET NO:	4 OF 51

THIS SHEET FOR REFERENCE ONLY



PROJECT: RFP-2 CABIN BRANCH
CLIENT: MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

**EX. CONDITIONS
REACH 1**

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED OR APPROVED BY ME, AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND.
LICENSE# 52852
EXP. DATE: 6/14/2022



NOT FOR
CONSTRUCTION

REVISIONS:

PROJECT STATUS:

6/26/2020	CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020	65% MIT. PLAN
2/15/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV.
9/3/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
3/10/2022	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4

PROJECT MANAGER: RC
DESIGNED: BW/JC
DRAWN: JC
JOB NUMBER: 102054
DESIGN TYPE: STREAM
DATE: 11/22/2021
SHEET NO: 5 OF 51



LEGEND:	
	EX. PROPERTY LINE
	EX. PROPERTY ADJACENT
	EX. MAJOR CONTOUR
	EX. MINOR CONTOUR
	EX. EDGE OF PAVEMENT
	EX. SOILS BOUNDARY
	EX. ROAD CENTERLINE
	EX. EASEMENT
	EX. OVERHEAD ELECTRIC
	EX. SANITARY SEWER
	EX. STORM UTILITY
	EX. GAS
	EX. BUILDING
	EX. CURB
	EX. 100 YR WSE
	EX. 25' WETLAND BUFFER
	EX. STREAM THALWEG
	EX. POND
	EX. STREAM
	EX. EMERGENT WETLAND
	EX. FORESTED WETLAND
	EX. RIP RAP
	EX. CONCRETE SWALE
	EX. GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL
	EX. DECIDUOUS TREE
	EX. EVERGREEN TREE
	EX. SPECIMEN TREE - REMOVE
	EX. SPECIMEN TREE W/ ORANGE SAFETY FENCE - SAVE
	LOS
	LOD



HGS, LLC - A RES COMPANY

5367 TELEPHONE ROAD, WARRENTON, VIRGINIA 20187

F: 703.555.1433

WWW.RES.US

PROJECT: RFP-2 CABIN BRANCH

CLIENT: MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

EX. CONDITIONS

REACH 1

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION
HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED OR APPROVED BY ME, AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND.
LICENSE# 52852
EXP. DATE: 6/14/2022

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

REVISIONS:

--	--

PROJECT STATUS:	
6/26/2020	CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020	65% MIT. PLAN
2/15/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV.
9/3/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
3/10/2022	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4

PROJECT MANAGER:	RC
DESIGNED:	BW/JC
DRAWN:	JC
JOB NUMBER:	102054
DESIGN TYPE:	STREAM
DATE:	11/22/2021
SHEET NO:	

MATCHLINE SHEET 8

US12 MR MONT VILLAGE BUSINESS TR
19550 MONTGOMERY VILLAGE AVE
GAITHERSBURG, MD 20879
PARCEL # = 00772244
ZONE = TLD, CRN-0.55

30" SYCAMORE(NO TAG)

24" MAPLE

TRV 4

21" SEWER

SEWER MANHOLE
TOP=369.41
INV IN=357.73(DATR)
INV OUT=357.73(DATR)

US12 MR MONT VILLAGE BUSINESS TR
19550 MONTGOMERY VILLAGE AVE
GAITHERSBURG, MD 20879
PARCEL # = 00772244
ZONE = TLD, CRN-0.55

24" (2)

3" PVC
INV=365.14

MATCHLINE SHEET 6

NOTES:
1. TOPOGRAPHY WITHIN THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON A GROUND SURVEY PERFORMED BY AMT ENGINEERING FROM JANUARY-FEBRUARY 2020. CONTOUR INTERVAL 1'. SEE SHEET 2 FOR COMPLETE NOTES.
2. TOPOGRAPHY & LINENWORK OUTSIDE THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON AVAILABLE GIS DATA. GIS TOPOGRAPHY CONTOUR INTERVAL 2'.
3. WETLAND DELINEATION PERFORMED IN SEPTEMBER 2020 BY RES.



GRAPHIC SCALE: 1" = 20'
0 20 40 60

LEGEND:

- EX. PROPERTY LINE
- EX. PROPERTY ADJACENT
- EX. MAJOR CONTOUR
- EX. MINOR CONTOUR
- EX. EDGE OF PAVEMENT
- EX. SOILS BOUNDARY
- EX. ROAD CENTERLINE
- EX. EASEMENT
- EX. OVERHEAD ELECTRIC
- EX. SANITARY SEWER
- EX. STORM UTILITY
- EX. GAS
- EX. BUILDING
- EX. CURB
- EX. 100 YR WSE
- EX. 25' WETLAND BUFFER
- EX. STREAM THALWEG
- EX. POND
- EX. STREAM
- EX. EMERGENT WETLAND
- EX. FORESTED WETLAND
- EX. RIP RAP
- EX. CONCRETE SWALE
- EX. GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL
- EX. DECIDUOUS TREE
- EX. EVERGREEN TREE
- EX. SPECIMEN TREE - REMOVE
- EX. SPECIMEN TREE W/ ORANGE SAFETY FENCE - SAVE
- SURVEY LIMITS
- LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE

PROJECT: RFP-2 CABIN BRANCH
CLIENT: MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

EX. CONDITIONS REACH 1

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND



PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION
HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED OR APPROVED BY ME, AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND.
LICENSE#52852
EXP. DATE: 6/14/2022

NOT FOR
CONSTRUCTION

REVISIONS:

PROJECT STATUS:
6/26/2020 CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020 65% MIT. PLAN
2/15/2021 65% MIT. PLAN REV.
9/3/2021 65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
11/22/2021 65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
3/10/2022 65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4

PROJECT MANAGER: RC
DESIGNED: BW/JC
DRAWN: JC
JOB NUMBER: 102054
DESIGN TYPE: STREAM
DATE: 11/22/2021
SHEET NO:



LEGEND:	
	EX. PROPERTY LINE
	EX. PROPERTY ADJACENT
	EX. MAJOR CONTOUR
	EX. MINOR CONTOUR
	EX. EDGE OF PAVEMENT
	EX. SOILS BOUNDARY
	EX. ROAD CENTERLINE
	EX. EASEMENT
	EX. OVERHEAD ELECTRIC
	EX. SANITARY SEWER
	EX. STORM UTILITY
	EX. GAS
	EX. BUILDING
	EX. CURB
	EX. 100 YR WSE
	EX. 25' WETLAND BUFFER
	EX. STREAM THALWEG
	EX. POND
	EX. STREAM
	EX. EMERGENT WETLAND
	EX. FORESTED WETLAND
	EX. RIP RAP
	EX. CONCRETE SWALE
	EX. GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL
	EX. DECIDUOUS TREE
	EX. EVERGREEN TREE
	EX. SPECIMEN TREE - REMOVE
	EX. SPECIMEN TREE W/ ORANGE SAFETY FENCE - SAVE
	SURVEY LIMITS
	LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE

HGS, LLC - A RES COMPANY

5367 TELEPHONE ROAD, WARRENTON, VIRGINIA 20187

F: 703.353.1254

WWW.RES.US

PROJECT: RFP-2 CABIN BRANCH

CLIENT: MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

EX. CONDITIONS

REACH 1

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION
HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED OR APPROVED BY ME, AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND.
LICENSE#52852
EXP. DATE: 6/14/2022

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION



REVISIONS:

--	--

PROJECT STATUS:	
6/26/2020	CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020	65% MIT. PLAN
2/15/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV.
9/3/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
3/10/2022	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4

PROJECT MANAGER:	RC
DESIGNED:	BW/JC
DRAWN:	JC
JOB NUMBER:	102054
DESIGN TYPE:	STREAM
DATE:	11/22/2021
SHEET NO:	

- NOTES:
1. TOPOGRAPHY WITHIN THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON A GROUND SURVEY PERFORMED BY AMT ENGINEERING FROM JANUARY-FEBRUARY 2020. CONTOUR INTERVAL 1'. SEE SHEET 2 FOR COMPLETE NOTES
 2. TOPOGRAPHY & LINENWORK OUTSIDE THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON AVAILABLE GIS DATA. GIS TOPOGRAPHY CONTOUR INTERVAL 2'.
 3. WETLAND DELINEATION PERFORMED IN SEPTEMBER 2020 BY RES.



LEGEND:

[Symbol]	EX. PROPERTY LINE
[Symbol]	EX. PROPERTY ADJACENT
[Symbol]	EX. MAJOR CONTOUR
[Symbol]	EX. MINOR CONTOUR
[Symbol]	EX. EDGE OF PAVEMENT
[Symbol]	EX. SOILS BOUNDARY
[Symbol]	EX. ROAD CENTERLINE
[Symbol]	EX. EASEMENT
[Symbol]	EX. OVERHEAD ELECTRIC
[Symbol]	EX. SANITARY SEWER
[Symbol]	EX. STORM UTILITY
[Symbol]	EX. GAS
[Symbol]	EX. BUILDING
[Symbol]	EX. CURB
[Symbol]	EX. 100 YR WSE
[Symbol]	EX. 25' WETLAND BUFFER
[Symbol]	EX. STREAM THALWEG
[Symbol]	EX. POND
[Symbol]	EX. STREAM
[Symbol]	EX. EMERGENT WETLAND
[Symbol]	EX. FORESTED WETLAND
[Symbol]	EX. RIP RAP
[Symbol]	EX. CONCRETE SWALE
[Symbol]	EX. GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL
[Symbol]	EX. DECIDUOUS TREE
[Symbol]	EX. EVERGREEN TREE
[Symbol]	EX. SPECIMEN TREE - REMOVE
[Symbol]	EX. SPECIMEN TREE W/ ORANGE SAFETY FENCE - SAVE
[Symbol]	SURVEY LIMITS
[Symbol]	LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE

ores
HGS, LLC - A RES COMPANY
5367 TELEPHONE ROAD, WARRENTON, VIRGINIA 20187
P: 703.555.1234
WWW.RES.US

PROJECT: RFP-2 CABIN BRANCH
CLIENT: MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

EX. CONDITIONS REACH 2 & TRIBUTARY 4

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED OR APPROVED BY ME, AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND.
LICENSE#52852
EXP. DATE: 6/14/2022

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION



REVISIONS:
[Symbol]

PROJECT STATUS:

6/26/2020	CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020	65% MIT. PLAN
2/15/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV.
9/3/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
3/10/2022	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4

PROJECT MANAGER: RC
DESIGNED: BW/JC
DRAWN: JC
JOB NUMBER: 102054
DESIGN TYPE: STREAM
DATE: 11/22/2021
SHEET NO: 10 OF 51

NOTES:
1. TOPOGRAPHY WITHIN THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON A GROUND SURVEY PERFORMED BY AMT ENGINEERING FROM JANUARY-FEBRUARY 2020. CONTOUR INTERVAL 1'. SEE SHEET 2 FOR COMPLETE NOTES.
2. TOPOGRAPHY & LINEWORK OUTSIDE THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON AVAILABLE GIS DATA. GIS TOPOGRAPHY CONTOUR INTERVAL 2'.
3. WETLAND DELINEATION PERFORMED IN SEPTEMBER 2020 BY RES.



LEGEND:	
	EX. PROPERTY LINE
	EX. PROPERTY ADJACENT
	EX. MAJOR CONTOUR
	EX. MINOR CONTOUR
	EX. EDGE OF PAVEMENT
	EX. SOILS BOUNDARY
	EX. ROAD CENTERLINE
	EX. EASEMENT
	EX. OVERHEAD ELECTRIC
	EX. SANITARY SEWER
	EX. STORM UTILITY
	EX. GAS
	EX. BUILDING
	EX. CURB
	EX. 100 YR WSE
	EX. 25' WETLAND BUFFER
	EX. STREAM THALWEG
	EX. POND
	EX. STREAM
	EX. EMERGENT WETLAND
	EX. FORESTED WETLAND
	EX. RIP RAP
	EX. CONCRETE SWALE
	EX. GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL
	EX. DECIDUOUS TREE
	EX. EVERGREEN TREE
	EX. SPECIMEN TREE - REMOVE
	EX. SPECIMEN TREE W/ ORANGE SAFETY FENCE - SAVE
	LOD
	LOS

POTOMAC ELECTRIC POWER CO
C/O CORP TAX DEPT STE 5617 701 9TH ST NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20068
PARCEL # = 00775420
ZONE = R-200

POTOMAC ELECTRIC POWER CO
C/O CORP TAX DEPT STE 5617 701 9TH ST NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20068
PARCEL # = 00775420
ZONE = R-200

PROJECT: RFP-2 CABIN BRANCH
CLIENT: MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

EX. CONDITIONS REACH 2

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION
HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE
DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED OR
APPROVED BY ME, AND THAT I AM A
DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL
ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE
STATE OF MARYLAND.
LICENSE# 52852
EXP. DATE: 6/14/2022


NOT FOR
CONSTRUCTION



REVISIONS:

PROJECT STATUS:	
6/26/2020	CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020	65% MIT. PLAN
2/15/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV.
9/3/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
3/10/2022	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4

PROJECT MANAGER:	RC
DESIGNED:	BW/JC
DRAWN:	JC
JOB NUMBER:	102054
DESIGN TYPE:	STREAM
DATE:	11/22/2021
SHEET NO:	11 OF 51

NOTES:
1. TOPOGRAPHY WITHIN THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON A GROUND SURVEY PERFORMED BY AMT
ENGINEERING FROM JANUARY-FEBRUARY 2020. CONTOUR INTERVAL 1'. SEE SHEET 2 FOR
COMPLETE NOTES
2. TOPOGRAPHY & LINEWORK OUTSIDE THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON AVAILABLE GIS DATA. GIS
TOPOGRAPHY CONTOUR INTERVAL 2'.
3. WETLAND DELINEATION PERFORMED IN SEPTEMBER 2020 BY RES.





LEGEND:	
	EX. PROPERTY LINE
	EX. PROPERTY ADJACENT
	EX. MAJOR CONTOUR
	EX. MINOR CONTOUR
	EX. EDGE OF PAVEMENT
	EX. SOILS BOUNDARY
	EX. ROAD CENTERLINE
	EX. EASEMENT
	EX. OVERHEAD ELECTRIC
	EX. SANITARY SEWER
	EX. STORM UTILITY
	EX. GAS
	EX. BUILDING
	EX. CURB
	EX. 100 YR WSE
	EX. 25' WETLAND BUFFER
	EX. STREAM THALWEG
	EX. POND
	EX. STREAM
	EX. EMERGENT WETLAND
	EX. FORESTED WETLAND
	EX. RIP RAP
	EX. CONCRETE SWALE
	EX. GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL
	EX. DECIDUOUS TREE
	EX. EVERGREEN TREE
	EX. SPECIMEN TREE - REMOVE
	EX. SPECIMEN TREE W/ ORANGE SAFETY FENCE - SAVE
	SURVEY LIMITS
	LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE



HGS, LLC - A RES COMPANY

5367 TELEPHONE ROAD, WARRENTON, VIRGINIA 20187

F: 703.555.1234

WWW.RES.US

PROJECT: RFP-2 CABIN BRANCH

CLIENT: MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

EX. CONDITIONS

REACH 2

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE
DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED OR
APPROVED BY ME, AND THAT I AM A
FULLY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL
ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE
STATE OF MARYLAND.
LICENSE#52852
EXP. DATE: 6/14/2022



NOT FOR
CONSTRUCTION

REVISIONS:

PROJECT STATUS:	
6/26/2020	CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020	65% MIT. PLAN
2/15/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV.
9/3/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
3/10/2022	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4

PROJECT MANAGER:	RC
DESIGNED:	BW/JC
DRAWN:	JC
JOB NUMBER:	102054
DESIGN TYPE:	STREAM
DATE:	11/22/2021
SHEET NO:	13 OF 51

NOTES:
1. TOPOGRAPHY WITHIN THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON A GROUND SURVEY PERFORMED BY AMT ENGINEERING FROM JANUARY-FEBRUARY 2020. CONTOUR INTERVAL 1'. SEE SHEET 2 FOR COMPLETE NOTES.
2. TOPOGRAPHY & LINENWORK OUTSIDE THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON AVAILABLE GIS DATA. GIS TOPOGRAPHY CONTOUR INTERVAL 2'.
3. WETLAND DELINEATION PERFORMED IN SEPTEMBER 2020 BY RES.



LEGEND:	
---	EX. PROPERTY LINE
---	EX. PROPERTY ADJACENT
---	EX. OVERHEAD ELECTRIC
---	EX. SANITARY SEWER
---	EX. STORM UTILITY
---	EX. GAS
---	EX. POND
---	EX. STREAM
---	EX. WETLAND
---	SURVEY LIMITS
---	PR. CONSERVATION EASEMENT
---	PR. BANKFULL
---	PR. STREAM RESTORATION (EXCLUDED)
---	PR. STREAM RESTORATION
---	PR. STREAM RESTORATION (PEPCO)
---	PR. FORESTED WETLAND RESTORATION
---	PR. WETLAND ENHANCEMENT
---	PR. WETLAND BUFFER ENHANCEMENT
---	PR. RIPARIAN BUFFER (35' BUFFER)
---	PR. RIPARIAN BUFFER ENHANCEMENT
---	PR. FOREST CONSERVATION EASEMENT (BY OTHERS)

CREDIT SUMMARY				
ACTIVITY	LINEAR FEET (LF) ACREAGE (AC)	CREDIT RATIO	CREDIT	STREAM GAINS (FUNCTIONAL FEET)
STREAM RESTORATION	7,173 LF	1:1	7,173	5,598
STREAM RESTORATION (PEPCO PROPERTY)	810 LF	1:1	810	476
WETLAND RESTORATION (PFO)	4.37	1:1	4.37	-
WETLAND ENHANCEMENT	0.03	4:1	0.01	-
WETLAND BUFFER ENHANCEMENT	2.48 AC	15:1	0.17	-
TOTAL WETLAND CREDIT			4.55	-
RIPARIAN BUFFER (35 FOOT BUFFER)	8.27 AC	-	-	-
RIPARIAN BUFFER ENHANCEMENT	0.93 AC	15:1	0.06	-

PFO WETLAND 5
1.16 ACRES

REACH 2
1,856 LF RESTORED

TRIBUTARY 4
813 LF RESTORED

PFO WETLAND 3
0.40 ACRES

PFO WETLAND 2
0.18 ACRES RESTORED
0.06 ACRES ENHANCEMENT

TRIBUTARY 3
724 LF RESTORED

TRIBUTARY 2
421 LF RESTORED

PFO WETLAND 4
1.53 ACRES

TRIBUTARY 5
39 LF RESTORED

TRIBUTARY 1
497 LF RESTORED

REACH 1
2,825 LF RESTORED

PFO WETLAND 1
1.11 AC



PROJECT: RFP-2 CABIN BRANCH
CLIENT: MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

MITIGATION MASTER PLAN

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED OR APPROVED BY ME, AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND.
LICENSE# 52852
EXP. DATE: 6/14/2022


NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

REVISIONS:

PROJECT STATUS:	
6/26/2020	CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020	65% MIT. PLAN
2/15/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV.
9/3/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
3/10/2022	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4

PROJECT MANAGER:	RC
DESIGNED:	BW/JC
DRAWN:	JC
JOB NUMBER:	102054
DESIGN TYPE:	STREAM
DATE:	11/22/2021
SHEET NO:	17 OF 51

THIS SHEET FOR REFERENCE ONLY



LEGEND:

---	EX. PROPERTY LINE
---	EX. PROPERTY ADJACENT
---	EX. MAJOR CONTOUR
---	EX. MINOR CONTOUR
---	EX. EDGE OF PAVEMENT
---	EX. SOILS BOUNDARY
---	EX. ROAD CENTERLINE
---	EX. EASEMENT
---	EX. OVERHEAD ELECTRIC
---	EX. SANITARY SEWER
---	EX. STORM UTILITY
---	EX. GAS
---	EX. BUILDING
---	EX. CURB
---	EX. 100 YR WSE
---	EX. 25' WETLAND BUFFER
---	EX. STREAM THALWEG
---	EX. POND
---	EX. STREAM
---	EX. EMERGENT WETLAND
---	EX. FORESTED WETLAND
---	EX. RIP RAP
---	EX. CONCRETE SWALE
---	EX. GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL
---	EX. DECIDUOUS TREE
---	EX. EVERGREEN TREE
---	EX. SPECIMEN TREE - REMOVE
---	EX. SPECIMEN TREE W/ ORANGE SAFETY FENCE - SAVE
---	SURVEY LIMITS
---	LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE

dres
HGS, LLC - A RES COMPANY
5367 TELEPHONE ROAD, WARRENTON, VIRGINIA 20187
P: 703.555.1433
WWW.RES.US

PROJECT: RFP-2 CABIN BRANCH
CLIENT: MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

**EX. CONDITIONS
TRIBUTARY 4**

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED OR APPROVED BY ME, AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND.
LICENSE#52852
EXP. DATE: 6/14/2022

**NOT FOR
CONSTRUCTION**

REVISIONS:
A

PROJECT STATUS:	
6/26/2020	CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020	65% MIT. PLAN
2/15/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV.
9/3/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
3/10/2022	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4

PROJECT MANAGER:	RC
DESIGNED:	BW/JC
DRAWN:	JC
JOB NUMBER:	102054
DESIGN TYPE:	STREAM
DATE:	11/22/2021
SHEET NO:	15 OF 51

NOTES:
1. TOPOGRAPHY WITHIN THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON A GROUND SURVEY PERFORMED BY AMT ENGINEERING FROM JANUARY-FEBRUARY 2020. CONTOUR INTERVAL 1'. SEE SHEET 2 FOR COMPLETE NOTES.
2. TOPOGRAPHY & LINENWORK OUTSIDE THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON AVAILABLE GIS DATA. GIS TOPOGRAPHY CONTOUR INTERVAL 2'.
3. WETLAND DELINEATION PERFORMED IN SEPTEMBER 2020 BY RES.



PROJECT: RFP-2 CABIN BRANCH
CLIENT: MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

**EX. CONDITIONS
TRIBUTARY 5**

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED OR APPROVED BY ME, AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND.
LICENSE# 52852
EXP. DATE: 6/14/2022



NOT FOR
CONSTRUCTION

REVISIONS:

PROJECT STATUS:

6/26/2020	CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020	65% MIT. PLAN
2/15/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV.
9/3/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
3/10/2022	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4

PROJECT MANAGER: RC
DESIGNED: BW/JC
DRAWN: JC
JOB NUMBER: 102054
DESIGN TYPE: STREAM
DATE: 11/22/2021
SHEET NO: 16 OF 51



LEGEND:	
---	EX. PROPERTY LINE
---	EX. PROPERTY ADJACENT
---	EX. OVERHEAD ELECTRIC
---	EX. SANITARY SEWER
---	EX. STORM UTILITY
---	EX. GAS
---	EX. POND
---	EX. STREAM
---	EX. WETLAND
---	SURVEY LIMITS
---	PR. CONSERVATION EASEMENT
---	PR. BANKFULL
---	PR. STREAM RESTORATION (EXCLUDED)
---	PR. STREAM RESTORATION
---	PR. STREAM RESTORATION (PEPCO)
---	PR. FORESTED WETLAND RESTORATION
---	PR. WETLAND BUFFER ENHANCEMENT
---	PR. RIPARIAN BUFFER (35' BUFFER)
---	PR. RIPARIAN BUFFER ENHANCEMENT
---	PR. FOREST CONSERVATION EASEMENT (BY OTHERS)

CREDIT SUMMARY				
ACTIVITY	LINEAR FEET (LF) ACREAGE (AC)	CREDIT RATIO	CREDIT	STREAM GAINS (FUNCTIONAL FEET)
STREAM RESTORATION	7,173 LF	1:1	7,173	5,596
STREAM RESTORATION (PEPCO PROPERTY)	810 LF	1:1	810	476
WETLAND RESTORATION (PFO)	4.37	1:1	4.37	-
WETLAND ENHANCEMENT	0.03	4:1	0.01	-
WETLAND BUFFER ENHANCEMENT	2.48 AC	15:1	0.17	-
TOTAL WETLAND CREDIT			4.55	-
RIPARIAN BUFFER (35 FOOT BUFFER)	8.27 AC	-	-	-
RIPARIAN BUFFER ENHANCEMENT	0.93 AC	15:1	0.06	-



PROJECT: RFP-2 CABIN BRANCH
CLIENT: MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

MITIGATION MASTER PLAN

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED OR APPROVED BY ME, AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND.
LICENSE# 52852
EXP. DATE: 6/14/2022



NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

REVISIONS:

PROJECT STATUS:	
6/26/2020	CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020	65% MIT. PLAN
2/15/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV.
9/3/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
3/10/2022	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4

PROJECT MANAGER:	RC
DESIGNED:	BW/JC
DRAWN:	JC
JOB NUMBER:	102054
DESIGN TYPE:	STREAM
DATE:	11/22/2021
SHEET NO:	17 OF 51

THIS SHEET FOR REFERENCE ONLY



LEGEND:	
	EX. PROPERTY LINE
	EX. PROPERTY ADJACENT
	EX. OVERHEAD ELECTRIC
	EX. SANITARY SEWER
	EX. STORM UTILITY
	EX. GAS
	EX. EASEMENT
	EX. POND
	EX. STREAM
	EX. EMERGENT WETLAND
	EX. FORESTED WETLAND
	SURVEY LIMITS
	PR. BANKFULL
	PR. FORESTED WETLAND

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE
DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED OR
APPROVED BY ME, AND THAT I AM A
DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL
ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE
STATE OF MARYLAND.
LICENSE# 52852
EXP. DATE: 6/14/2022


NOT FOR
CONSTRUCTION

REVISIONS:

PROJECT STATUS:	
6/26/2020	CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020	65% MIT. PLAN
2/15/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV.
9/3/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
3/10/2022	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4

PROJECT MANAGER:	RC
DESIGNED:	BW/JC
DRAWN:	JC
JOB NUMBER:	102054
DESIGN TYPE:	STREAM
DATE:	11/22/2021
SHEET NO:	18 OF 51

THIS SHEET FOR REFERENCE ONLY




LEGEND:

- EX. PROPERTY LINE
- EX. PROPERTY ADJACENT
- EX. MAJOR CONTOUR
- EX. MINOR CONTOUR
- EX. EDGE OF PAVEMENT
- EX. ROAD CENTERLINE
- EX. EASEMENT
- EX. OVERHEAD ELECTRIC
- EX. SANITARY SEWER
- EX. STORM UTILITY
- EX. GAS
- EX. BUILDING
- EX. CURB
- EX. FLOODPLAIN
- EX. STREAM THALWEG
- EX. POND
- EX. STREAM
- EX. WETLAND
- EX. CONCRETE SWALE
- LO5 SURVEY LIMITS
- LOD PR. LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE
- PR. MAJOR CONTOUR
- PR. MINOR CONTOUR
- PR. FLOODPLAIN GRADING LIMITS
- PR. FORESTED WETLAND
- PR. WETLAND ENHANCEMENT
- PR. BANKFULL
- PR. STREAM CENTERLINE
- PR. BERM
- PR. WETLAND INLET/OUTLET
- PR. RIP RAP
- PR. RIFFLE
- PR. ROCK OFFSET CROSS-VANE
- PR. ROCK SILL
- DETAIL #
- SHEET #
- DETAIL CALLOUT

PROFILE LEGEND:

- EX. GRADE
- PR. GRADE
- PR. BANKFULL

NOTES:
1. TOPOGRAPHY WITHIN THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON A GROUND SURVEY PERFORMED BY AMT ENGINEERING FROM JANUARY-FEBRUARY 2020. CONTOUR INTERVAL 1'. SEE SHEET 2 FOR COMPLETE NOTES.
2. TOPOGRAPHY & LANEWORK OUTSIDE THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON AVAILABLE GIS DATA. GIS TOPOGRAPHY CONTOUR INTERVAL 2'.
3. WETLAND DELINEATION PERFORMED IN SEPTEMBER 2020 BY RES.



VERTICAL SCALE: 1" = 2'
HORIZONTAL SCALE: 1" = 20'

CABIN BRANCH REACH 1 PROFILE

Project: RFP-2 CABIN BRANCH
Client: MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

PLAN & PROFILE
REACH 1

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION
HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED OR APPROVED BY ME, AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND.
LICENSE# 52852
EXP. DATE: 6/14/2022


NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

REVISIONS:

NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	6/26/2020	CONCEPT PLAN
2	11/9/2020	65% MIT. PLAN
3	2/15/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV.
4	9/3/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
5	11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
6	3/10/2022	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4

PROJECT STATUS:
6/26/2020 CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020 65% MIT. PLAN
2/15/2021 65% MIT. PLAN REV.
9/3/2021 65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
11/22/2021 65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
3/10/2022 65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4

PROJECT MANAGER: RC
DESIGNED: BW/JC
DRAWN: JC
JOB NUMBER: 102054
DESIGN TYPE: STREAM
DATE: 11/22/2021
SHEET NO: 19 OF 51



LEGEND:


- EX. PROPERTY LINE
- EX. PROPERTY ADJACENT
- EX. MAJOR CONTOUR
- EX. MINOR CONTOUR
- EX. EDGE OF PAVEMENT
- EX. ROAD CENTERLINE
- EX. EASEMENT
- EX. OVERHEAD ELECTRIC
- EX. SANITARY SEWER
- EX. STORM UTILITY
- EX. GAS
- EX. BUILDING
- EX. CURB
- EX. FLOODPLAIN
- EX. STREAM THALWEG
- EX. POND
- EX. STREAM
- EX. WETLAND
- EX. CONCRETE SWALE
- SURVEY LIMITS
- PR. LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE
- PR. MAJOR CONTOUR
- PR. MINOR CONTOUR
- PR. FLOODPLAIN GRADING LIMITS
- PR. FORESTED WETLAND
- PR. WETLAND ENHANCEMENT
- PR. BANKFULL
- PR. STREAM CENTERLINE
- PR. BERM
- PR. WETLAND INLET/OUTLET
- PR. RIP RAP
- PR. RIFFLE
- PR. ROCK OFFSET CROSS-VANE
- PR. ROCK SILL
- DETAIL #
- SHEET #
- DETAIL CALLOUT

PROFILE LEGEND:

- EX. GRADE
- PR. GRADE
- PR. BANKFULL

NOTES:

1. TOPOGRAPHY WITHIN THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON A GROUND SURVEY PERFORMED BY AMT ENGINEERING FROM JANUARY-FEBRUARY 2020. CONTOUR INTERVAL 1'. SEE SHEET 2 FOR COMPLETE NOTES.
2. TOPOGRAPHY & LINWORK OUTSIDE THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON AVAILABLE GIS DATA. GIS TOPOGRAPHY CONTOUR INTERVAL 2'.
3. WETLAND DELINEATION PERFORMED IN SEPTEMBER 2020 BY RES.



HGS, LLC - A RES COMPANY
5367 TELEPHONE ROAD, WARRENTON, VIRGINIA 20187
P: 703.955.3333
WWW.RES.US

PROJECT: RFP-2 CABIN BRANCH
CLIENT: MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

PLAN & PROFILE REACH 1

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED OR APPROVED BY ME, AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND.
LICENSE# 52852
EXP. DATE: 6/14/2022

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION



REVISIONS:


6/26/2020	CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020	65% MIT. PLAN
2/15/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV.
9/3/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
3/10/2022	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4

PROJECT STATUS:

6/26/2020	CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020	65% MIT. PLAN
2/15/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV.
9/3/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
3/10/2022	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4

PROJECT MANAGER: RC
DESIGNED: BW/JC
DRAWN: JC
JOB NUMBER: 102054
DESIGN TYPE: STREAM
DATE: 11/22/2021
SHEET NO: 21 OF 51





LEGEND:


---	EX. PROPERTY LINE
---	EX. PROPERTY ADJACENT
---	EX. MAJOR CONTOUR
---	EX. MINOR CONTOUR
---	EX. EDGE OF PAVEMENT
---	EX. ROAD CENTERLINE
---	EX. EASEMENT
---	EX. OVERHEAD ELECTRIC
---	EX. SANITARY SEWER
---	EX. STORM UTILITY
---	EX. GAS
---	EX. BUILDING
---	EX. CURB
---	EX. FLOODPLAIN
---	EX. STREAM THALWEG
---	EX. POND
---	EX. STREAM
---	EX. WETLAND
---	EX. CONCRETE SWALE
---	EX. SURVEY LIMITS
---	PR. LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE
---	PR. MAJOR CONTOUR
---	PR. MINOR CONTOUR
---	PR. FLOODPLAIN GRADING LIMITS
---	PR. FORESTED WETLAND
---	PR. WETLAND ENHANCEMENT
---	PR. BANKFULL
---	PR. STREAM CENTERLINE
---	PR. BERM
---	PR. WETLAND INLET/OUTLET
---	PR. RIP RAP
---	PR. RIFFLE
---	PR. ROCK OFFSET CROSS-VANE
---	PR. ROCK SILL
---	PR. DETAIL #
---	PR. SHEET #
---	PR. DETAIL CALLOUT

PROFILE LEGEND:

---	EX. GRADE
---	PR. GRADE
---	PR. BANKFULL

NOTES:

1. TOPOGRAPHY WITHIN THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON A GROUND SURVEY PERFORMED BY AMT ENGINEERING FROM JANUARY-FEBRUARY 2020. CONTOUR INTERVAL 1'. SEE SHEET 2 FOR COMPLETE NOTES.
2. TOPOGRAPHY & LINENWORK OUTSIDE THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON AVAILABLE GIS DATA. GIS TOPOGRAPHY CONTOUR INTERVAL 2'.
3. WETLAND DELINEATION PERFORMED IN SEPTEMBER 2020 BY RES.



VERTICAL SCALE: 1" = 2'

HORIZONTAL SCALE: 1" = 20'

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED OR APPROVED BY ME, AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND. LICENSE#52852 EXP. DATE: 11/14/2022

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

REVISIONS:

1	11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
2	11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
3	11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4

PROJECT STATUS:

6/26/2020	CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020	65% MIT. PLAN
2/15/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 1
9/3/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
3/10/2022	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4

PROJECT MANAGER: RC

DESIGNED: BW/JC

DRAWN: JC

JOB NUMBER: 102054

DESIGN TYPE: STREAM

DATE: 11/22/2021

SHEET NO: 25 OF 51

PROJECT: RFP-2 CABIN BRANCH

CLIENT: MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

PLAN & PROFILE

REACH 2

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND


ores

HGS, LLC - A RES COMPANY

5367 TELEPHONE ROAD, WARRENTON, VIRGINIA 20187

F: 703.555.4444

WWW.RES.US



LEGEND:


- EX. PROPERTY LINE
- EX. PROPERTY ADJACENT
- EX. MAJOR CONTOUR
- EX. MINOR CONTOUR
- EX. EDGE OF PAVEMENT
- EX. ROAD CENTERLINE
- EX. EASEMENT
- EX. OVERHEAD ELECTRIC
- EX. SANITARY SEWER
- EX. STORM UTILITY
- EX. GAS
- EX. BUILDING
- EX. CURB
- EX. FLOODPLAIN
- EX. STREAM THALWEG
- EX. POND
- EX. STREAM
- EX. WETLAND
- EX. CONCRETE SWALE
- EX. RIP RAP
- EX. RIFFLE
- EX. ROCK OFFSET CROSS-VANE
- EX. ROCK SILL
- EX. DETAIL CALLOUT

PROFILE LEGEND:

- EX. GRADE
- PR. GRADE
- PR. BANKFULL

NOTES:

1. TOPOGRAPHY WITHIN THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON A GROUND SURVEY PERFORMED BY AMT ENGINEERING FROM JANUARY-FEBRUARY 2020. CONTOUR INTERVAL 1'. SEE SHEET 2 FOR COMPLETE NOTES.
2. TOPOGRAPHY & LINENWORK OUTSIDE THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON AVAILABLE GIS DATA. GIS TOPOGRAPHY CONTOUR INTERVAL 2'.
3. WETLAND DELINEATION PERFORMED IN SEPTEMBER 2020 BY RES.



CABIN BRANCH REACH 2 PROFILE



PROJECT: RFP-2 CABIN BRANCH
CLIENT: MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

PLAN & PROFILE REACH 2

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND


PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION
HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED OR APPROVED BY ME, AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND.
LICENSE# 52852
EXP. DATE: 6/14/2022

NOT FOR
CONSTRUCTION

REVISIONS:

PROJECT STATUS:	
6/26/2020	CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020	65% MIT. PLAN
2/15/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV.
9/3/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
3/10/2022	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4

PROJECT MANAGER:	RC
DESIGNED:	BW/JC
DRAWN:	JC
JOB NUMBER:	102054
DESIGN TYPE:	STREAM
DATE:	11/22/2021
SHEET NO:	26 OF 51



LEGEND:


- EX. PROPERTY LINE
- EX. PROPERTY ADJACENT
- EX. MAJOR CONTOUR
- EX. MINOR CONTOUR
- EX. EDGE OF PAVEMENT
- EX. ROAD CENTERLINE
- EX. EASEMENT
- EX. OVERHEAD ELECTRIC
- EX. SANITARY SEWER
- EX. STORM UTILITY
- EX. GAS
- EX. BUILDING
- EX. CURB
- EX. FLOODPLAIN
- EX. STREAM THALWEG
- EX. POND
- EX. STREAM
- EX. WETLAND
- EX. CONCRETE SWALE
- SURVEY LIMITS
- PR. LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE
- PR. MAJOR CONTOUR
- PR. MINOR CONTOUR
- PR. FLOODPLAIN GRADING LIMITS
- PR. FORESTED WETLAND
- PR. WETLAND ENHANCEMENT
- PR. BANKFULL
- PR. STREAM CENTERLINE
- PR. BERM
- PR. WETLAND INLET/OUTLET
- PR. RIP RAP
- PR. RIFFLE
- PR. ROCK OFFSET CROSS-VANE
- PR. ROCK SILL
- DETAIL #
- SHEET #
- DETAIL CALLOUT

PROFILE LEGEND:

- EX. GRADE
- PR. GRADE
- PR. BANKFULL

NOTES:

1. TOPOGRAPHY WITHIN THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON A GROUND SURVEY PERFORMED BY AMT ENGINEERING FROM JANUARY-FEBRUARY 2020. CONTOUR INTERVAL 1'. SEE SHEET 2 FOR COMPLETE NOTES.
2. TOPOGRAPHY & LINEWORK OUTSIDE THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON AVAILABLE GIS DATA. GIS TOPOGRAPHY CONTOUR INTERVAL 2'.
3. WETLAND DELINEATION PERFORMED IN SEPTEMBER 2020 BY RES.



CABIN BRANCH REACH 2 PROFILE

dres

HGS, LLC - A RES COMPANY

5367 TELEPHONE ROAD, WARRENTON, VIRGINIA 20187

TEL: 703.955.1400

WWW.RES.US

PROJECT: RFP-2 CABIN BRANCH

CLIENT: MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

PLAN & PROFILE

REACH 2

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED OR APPROVED BY ME, AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND.

LICENSE# 52852

EXP. DATE: 6/14/2022

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION


REVISIONS:

1	
---	--

PROJECT STATUS:

6/26/2020	CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020	65% MIT. PLAN
2/15/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 1
9/3/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
3/10/2022	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4

PROJECT MANAGER:	RC
DESIGNED:	BW/JC
DRAWN:	JC
JOB NUMBER:	102054
DESIGN TYPE:	STREAM
DATE:	11/22/2021
SHEET NO:	27 OF 51



LEGEND:

- EX. PROPERTY LINE
- EX. PROPERTY ADJACENT
- EX. MAJOR CONTOUR
- EX. MINOR CONTOUR
- EX. EDGE OF PAVEMENT
- EX. ROAD CENTERLINE
- EX. EASEMENT
- EX. OVERHEAD ELECTRIC
- EX. SANITARY SEWER
- EX. STORM UTILITY
- EX. GAS
- EX. BUILDING
- EX. CURB
- EX. FLOODPLAIN
- EX. STREAM THALWEG
- EX. POND
- EX. STREAM
- EX. WETLAND
- EX. CONCRETE SWALE
- SURVEY LIMITS
- PR. LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE
- PR. MAJOR CONTOUR
- PR. MINOR CONTOUR
- PR. FLOODPLAIN GRADING LIMITS
- PR. FORESTED WETLAND
- PR. WETLAND ENHANCEMENT
- PR. BANKFULL
- PR. STREAM CENTERLINE
- PR. BERM
- PR. WETLAND INLET/OUTLET
- PR. RIP RAP
- PR. RIFFLE
- PR. ROCK OFFSET CROSS-VANE
- PR. ROCK SILL
- DETAIL #
- SHEET #

PROFILE LEGEND:

- EX. GRADE
- PR. GRADE
- PR. BANKFULL

NOTES:

1. TOPOGRAPHY WITHIN THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON A GROUND SURVEY PERFORMED BY AMT ENGINEERING FROM JANUARY-FEBRUARY 2020. CONTOUR INTERVAL 1'. SEE SHEET 2 FOR COMPLETE NOTES.
2. TOPOGRAPHY & LINEWORK OUTSIDE THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON AVAILABLE GIS DATA. GIS TOPOGRAPHY CONTOUR INTERVAL 2'.
3. WETLAND DELINEATION PERFORMED IN SEPTEMBER 2020 BY RES.



VERTICAL SCALE: 1" = 2'

HORIZONTAL SCALE: 1" = 20'

CABIN BRANCH REACH 2 PROFILE

PROJECT: RFP-2 CABIN BRANCH
CLIENT: MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

PLAN & PROFILE
REACH 2

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION
HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED OR APPROVED BY ME, AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND.
LICENSE# 52852
EXP. DATE: 6/14/2022

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION


REVISIONS:



NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	11/22/2021	CONCEPT PLAN
2	11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN
3	11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV.
4	11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
5	11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
6	11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4


PROJECT STATUS:


DATE	STATUS
6/26/2020	CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020	65% MIT. PLAN
2/15/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV.
9/3/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
3/10/2022	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4

PROJECT MANAGER: RC
DESIGNED: BW/JC
DRAWN: JC
JOB NUMBER: 102054
DESIGN TYPE: STREAM
DATE: 11/22/2021
SHEET NO: 29 OF 51









LEGEND:



- EX. PROPERTY LINE
- EX. PROPERTY ADJACENT
- EX. MAJOR CONTOUR
- EX. MINOR CONTOUR
- EX. EDGE OF PAVEMENT
- EX. ROAD CENTERLINE
- EX. EASEMENT
- EX. OVERHEAD ELECTRIC
- EX. SANITARY SEWER
- EX. STORM UTILITY
- EX. GAS
- EX. BUILDING
- EX. CURB
- EX. FLOODPLAIN
- EX. STREAM THALWEG
- EX. POND
- EX. STREAM
- EX. WETLAND
- EX. CONCRETE SWALE
- PR. LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE
- PR. MAJOR CONTOUR
- PR. MINOR CONTOUR
- PR. FLOODPLAIN GRADING LIMITS
- PR. FORESTED WETLAND
- PR. WETLAND ENHANCEMENT
- PR. BANKFULL
- PR. STREAM CENTERLINE
- PR. BERM
- PR. WETLAND INLET/OUTLET
- PR. RIP RAP
- PR. RIFFLE
- PR. ROCK OFFSET CROSS-VANE
- PR. ROCK SILL
- DETAIL #
- SHEET #

PROFILE LEGEND:

- EX. GRADE
- PR. GRADE
- PR. BANKFULL

NOTES:

1. TOPOGRAPHY WITHIN THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON A GROUND SURVEY PERFORMED BY AMT ENGINEERING FROM JANUARY-FEBRUARY 2020. CONTOUR INTERVAL 1'. SEE SHEET 2 FOR COMPLETE NOTES.
2. TOPOGRAPHY & LINEWORK OUTSIDE THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON AVAILABLE GIS DATA. GIS TOPOGRAPHY CONTOUR INTERVAL 2'.
3. WETLAND DELINEATION PERFORMED IN SEPTEMBER 2020 BY RES.



TRIB 3 PROFILE

PROJECT: RFP-2 CABIN BRANCH
CLIENT: MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

**PLAN & PROFILE
TRIBUTARY 3**

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED OR APPROVED BY ME, AND THAT I AM A FULLY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND.
LICENSE# 52852
EXP. DATE: 6/14/2022

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION


REVISIONS:

NO.	DESCRIPTION	DATE
1	ISSUED FOR PERMIT	11/22/2021

PROJECT STATUS:

DATE	STATUS
6/26/2020	CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020	65% MIT. PLAN
2/15/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 1
9/3/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
3/10/2022	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4

PROJECT MANAGER: RC
DESIGNED: BW/JC
DRAWN: JC
JOB NUMBER: 102054
DESIGN TYPE: STREAM
DATE: 11/22/2021
SHEET NO: 33 OF 51



LEGEND:



	EX. PROPERTY LINE
	EX. PROPERTY ADJACENT
	EX. MAJOR CONTOUR
	EX. MINOR CONTOUR
	EX. EDGE OF PAVEMENT
	EX. ROAD CENTERLINE
	EX. EASEMENT
	EX. OVERHEAD ELECTRIC
	EX. SANITARY SEWER
	EX. STORM UTILITY
	EX. GAS
	EX. BUILDING
	EX. CURB
	EX. FLOODPLAIN
	EX. STREAM THALWEG
	EX. POND
	EX. STREAM
	EX. WETLAND
	EX. CONCRETE SWALE
	SURVEY LIMITS
	PR. LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE
	PR. MAJOR CONTOUR
	PR. MINOR CONTOUR
	PR. FLOODPLAIN GRADING LIMITS
	PR. FORESTED WETLAND
	PR. WETLAND ENHANCEMENT
	PR. BANKFULL
	PR. STREAM CENTERLINE
	PR. BERM
	PR. WETLAND INLET/OUTLET
	PR. RIP RAP
	PR. RIFFLE
	PR. ROCK OFFSET CROSS-VANE
	PR. ROCK SILL
	DETAIL # SHEET #

PROFILE LEGEND:

	EX. GRADE
	PR. GRADE
	PR. BANKFULL

NOTES:

1. TOPOGRAPHY WITHIN THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON A GROUND SURVEY PERFORMED BY AMT ENGINEERING FROM JANUARY-FEBRUARY 2020. CONTOUR INTERVAL 1'. SEE SHEET 2 FOR COMPLETE NOTES.
2. TOPOGRAPHY & LINEWORK OUTSIDE THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON AVAILABLE GIS DATA. GIS TOPOGRAPHY CONTOUR INTERVAL 2'.
3. WETLAND DELINEATION PERFORMED IN SEPTEMBER 2020 BY RES.



HGS, LLC - A RES COMPANY
5367 TELEPHONE ROAD, WARRENTON, VIRGINIA 20187
P: 703.555.4444
WWW.RES.US

PROJECT: RFP-2 CABIN BRANCH
CLIENT: MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

PLAN & PROFILE
TRIBUTARY 4 & TRIBUTARY 4-I
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED OR APPROVED BY ME, AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND.
LICENSE# 52852
EXP. DATE: 6/14/2022

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION


REVISIONS:

--	--

PROJECT STATUS:

6/26/2020	CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020	65% MIT. PLAN
2/15/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV.
9/3/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
3/10/2022	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4

PROJECT MANAGER: RC
DESIGNED: BW/JC
DRAWN: JC
JOB NUMBER: 102054
DESIGN TYPE: STREAM
DATE: 11/22/2021
SHEET NO: 34 OF 51



LEGEND:


- EX. PROPERTY LINE
- EX. PROPERTY ADJACENT
- EX. MAJOR CONTOUR
- EX. MINOR CONTOUR
- EX. EDGE OF PAVEMENT
- EX. ROAD CENTERLINE
- EX. EASEMENT
- EX. OVERHEAD ELECTRIC
- EX. SANITARY SEWER
- EX. STORM UTILITY
- EX. GAS
- EX. BUILDING
- EX. CURB
- EX. FLOODPLAIN
- EX. STREAM THALWEG
- EX. POND
- EX. STREAM
- EX. WETLAND
- EX. CONCRETE SWALE
- LO5
- LOD
- PR. LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE
- PR. MAJOR CONTOUR
- PR. MINOR CONTOUR
- PR. FLOODPLAIN GRADING LIMITS
- PR. FORESTED WETLAND
- PR. BANKFULL
- PR. STREAM CENTERLINE
- PR. BERM
- PR. WETLAND INLET/OUTLET
- PR. RIP RAP
- PR. RIFFLE
- PR. ROCK OFFSET CROSS-VANE
- PR. ROCK SILL
- DETAIL #
- SHEET #
- DETAIL CALLOUT

PROFILE LEGEND:

- EX. GRADE
- PR. GRADE
- PR. BANKFULL

NOTES:

1. TOPOGRAPHY WITHIN THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON A GROUND SURVEY PERFORMED BY AMT ENGINEERING FROM JANUARY-FEBRUARY 2020. CONTOUR INTERVAL 1'. SEE SHEET 2 FOR COMPLETE NOTES.
2. TOPOGRAPHY & LINEWORK OUTSIDE THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON AVAILABLE GIS DATA. GIS TOPOGRAPHY CONTOUR INTERVAL 2'.
3. WETLAND DELINEATION PERFORMED IN SEPTEMBER 2020 BY RES.



dres

HGS, LLC - A RES COMPANY

5367 TELEPHONE ROAD, WARRENTON, VIRGINIA 20187

F: 703.555.1434

WWW.RES.US

PROJECT: RFP-2 CABIN BRANCH

CLIENT: MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

PLAN & PROFILE

TRIBUTARY 4

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED OR APPROVED BY ME, AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND.

LICENSE#52852

EXP. DATE: 6/14/2022

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION



REVISIONS:

△	
---	--

PROJECT STATUS:

6/26/2020	CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020	65% MIT. PLAN
2/15/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV.
9/3/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
3/10/2022	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4

PROJECT MANAGER:	RC
DESIGNED:	BW/JC
DRAWN:	JC
JOB NUMBER:	102054
DESIGN TYPE:	STREAM
DATE:	11/22/2021
SHEET NO:	35 OF 51



PROJECT: RFP-2 CABIN BRANCH
CLIENT: MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

PLAN & PROFILE
TRIBUTARY 4

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION
HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE
DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED OR
APPROVED BY ME, AND THAT I AM A
DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL
ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE
STATE OF MARYLAND.
LICENSE#52852
EXP. DATE: 6/14/2022



NOT FOR
CONSTRUCTION

REVISIONS:

PROJECT STATUS:

6/26/2020	CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020	65% MIT. PLAN
2/15/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 1
9/3/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
3/10/2022	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4

PROJECT MANAGER: RC
DESIGNED: BW/JC
DRAWN: JC
JOB NUMBER: 102054
DESIGN TYPE: STREAM
DATE: 11/22/2021
SHEET NO: 36 OF 51



PROJECT: RFP-2 CABIN BRANCH
CLIENT: MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

PLAN & PROFILE

TRIBUTARY 5

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE
DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED OR
APPROVED BY ME, AND THAT I AM A
DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL
ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE
STATE OF MARYLAND.
LICENSE#: 52852
EXP. DATE: 6/14/2022



NOT FOR
CONSTRUCTION

REVISIONS
X

PROJECT STATUS:	
6/26/2020	CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020	65% MIT. PLAN
2/15/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV.
3/3/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV.
11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV.
3/10/2022	65% MIT. PLAN REV.

PROJECT MANAGER:	ROBERT
DESIGNED:	BWJ
DRAWN:	J
JOB NUMBER:	10205
DESIGN TYPE:	STREAM
DATE:	11/22/202
SHEET NO:	

37 OF 5





LEGEND:

---	EX. PROPERTY LINE
---	EX. PROPERTY ADJACENT
---	EX. MAJOR CONTOUR
---	EX. MINOR CONTOUR
---	EX. EDGE OF PAVEMENT
---	EX. ROAD CENTERLINE
---	EX. EASEMENT
---	EX. OVERHEAD ELECTRIC
---	EX. SANITARY SEWER
---	EX. STORM UTILITY
---	EX. GAS
---	EX. BUILDING
---	EX. CURB
---	EX. FLOODPLAIN
---	EX. STREAM THALWEG
---	EX. POND
---	EX. STREAM
---	EX. WETLAND
---	EX. CONCRETE SWALE
---	SURVEY LIMITS
---	PR. LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE
---	PR. MAJOR CONTOUR
---	PR. MINOR CONTOUR
---	PR. FLOODPLAIN GRADING LIMITS
---	PR. FORESTED WETLAND
---	PR. WETLAND ENHANCEMENT
---	PR. BANKFULL
---	PR. STREAM CENTERLINE
---	PR. BERM
---	PR. WETLAND INLET/OUTLET
---	PR. RIP RAP
---	PR. RIFFLE
---	PR. ROCK OFFSET CROSS-VANE
---	PR. ROCK SILL
---	DETAIL #
---	SHEET #
---	DETAIL CALLOUT

PROFILE LEGEND:

---	EX. GRADE
---	PR. GRADE
---	PR. BANKFULL



PROFILE DOES NOT REFLECT MICROTOPOGRAPHY,
MICROTOPOGRAPHY TO BE CREATED IN THE FIELD.



PROJECT: RFP-2 CABIN BRANCH
CLIENT: MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

PLAN & SECTION
WETLAND CELL #2
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE
DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED OR
APPROVED BY ME, AND THAT I AM A
DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL
ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE
STATE OF MARYLAND.
LICENSE# 52852
EXP. DATE: 6/14/2022

NOT FOR
CONSTRUCTION


REVISIONS:

△

PROJECT STATUS:

6/26/2020	CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020	65% MIT. PLAN
2/15/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 1
9/3/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
3/10/2022	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4

PROJECT MANAGER:	RC
DESIGNED:	BW/JC
DRAWN:	JC
JOB NUMBER:	102054
DESIGN TYPE:	STREAM
DATE:	11/22/2021
SHEET NO:	



LEGEND:


---	EX. PROPERTY LINE
---	EX. PROPERTY ADJACENT
---	EX. MAJOR CONTOUR
---	EX. MINOR CONTOUR
---	EX. EDGE OF PAVEMENT
---	EX. ROAD CENTERLINE
---	EX. EASEMENT
---	EX. OVERHEAD ELECTRIC
---	EX. SANITARY SEWER
---	EX. STORM UTILITY
---	EX. GAS
---	EX. BUILDING
---	EX. CURB
---	EX. FLOODPLAIN
---	EX. STREAM THALWEG
---	EX. POND
---	EX. STREAM
---	EX. WETLAND
---	EX. CONCRETE SWALE
---	EX. SURVEY LIMITS
---	PR. LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE
---	PR. MAJOR CONTOUR
---	PR. MINOR CONTOUR
---	PR. FLOODPLAIN GRADING LIMITS
---	PR. FORESTED WETLAND
---	PR. WETLAND ENHANCEMENT
---	PR. BANKFULL
---	PR. STREAM CENTERLINE
---	PR. BERM
---	PR. WETLAND INLET/OUTLET
---	PR. RIP RAP
---	PR. RIFFLE
---	PR. ROCK OFFSET CROSS-VANE
---	PR. ROCK SILL
---	PR. DETAIL CALLOUT

PROFILE LEGEND:

---	EX. GRADE
---	PR. GRADE
---	PR. BANKFULL

NOTES:

1. TOPOGRAPHY WITHIN THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON A GROUND SURVEY PERFORMED BY AMT ENGINEERING FROM JANUARY-FEBRUARY 2020. CONTOUR INTERVAL 1'. SEE SHEET 2 FOR COMPLETE NOTES.
2. TOPOGRAPHY & LINEWORK OUTSIDE THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON AVAILABLE GIS DATA. GIS TOPOGRAPHY CONTOUR INTERVAL 2'.
3. WETLAND DELINEATION PERFORMED IN SEPTEMBER 2020 BY RES.



WETLAND CELL #3 SECTION

PROFILE DOES NOT REFLECT MICROTOPOGRAPHY,
MICROTOPOGRAPHY TO BE CREATED IN THE FIELD.

PROJECT: RFP-2 CABIN BRANCH
CLIENT: MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

PLAN & SECTION

WETLAND CELL #3

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED OR APPROVED BY ME, AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND.
LICENSE# 52852
EXP. DATE: 6/14/2022


NOT FOR
CONSTRUCTION

REVISIONS:

1	
---	--

PROJECT STATUS:	
6/26/2020	CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020	65% MIT. PLAN
2/15/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 1
9/3/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
3/10/2022	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4

PROJECT MANAGER:	RC
DESIGNED:	BW/JC
DRAWN:	JC
JOB NUMBER:	102054
DESIGN TYPE:	STREAM
DATE:	11/22/2021
SHEET NO:	40 OF 51





LEGEND:

	EX. PROPERTY LINE
	EX. PROPERTY ADJACENT
	EX. MAJOR CONTOUR
	EX. MINOR CONTOUR
	EX. EDGE OF PAVEMENT
	EX. ROAD CENTERLINE
	EX. EASEMENT
	EX. OVERHEAD ELECTRIC
	EX. SANITARY SEWER
	EX. STORM UTILITY
	EX. GAS
	EX. BUILDING
	EX. CURB
	EX. FLOODPLAIN
	EX. STREAM THALWEG
	EX. POND
	EX. STREAM
	EX. WETLAND
	EX. CONCRETE SWALE
	SURVEY LIMITS
	PR. LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE
	PR. MAJOR CONTOUR
	PR. MINOR CONTOUR
	PR. FLOODPLAIN GRADING LIMITS
	PR. FORESTED WETLAND
	PR. WETLAND ENHANCEMENT
	PR. BANKFULL
	PR. STREAM CENTERLINE
	PR. BERM
	PR. WETLAND INLET/OUTLET
	PR. RIP RAP
	PR. RIFFLE
	PR. ROCK OFFSET CROSS-VANE
	PR. ROCK SILL
	DETAIL CALLOUT

PROFILE LEGEND:

	EX. GRADE
	PR. GRADE
	PR. BANKFULL

NOTES:
1. TOPOGRAPHY WITHIN THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON A GROUND SURVEY PERFORMED BY AMT ENGINEERING FROM JANUARY-FEBRUARY 2020. CONTOUR INTERVAL 1'. SEE SHEET 2 FOR COMPLETE NOTES.
2. TOPOGRAPHY & LINEWORK OUTSIDE THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON AVAILABLE GIS DATA. GIS TOPOGRAPHY CONTOUR INTERVAL 2'.
3. WETLAND DELINEATION PERFORMED IN SEPTEMBER 2020 BY RES.



WETLAND CELL #4 SECTION

PROFILE DOES NOT REFLECT MICROTOPOGRAPHY, MICROTOPOGRAPHY TO BE CREATED IN THE FIELD.

HGS, LLC - A RES COMPANY
5367 TELEPHONE ROAD, WARRENTON, VIRGINIA 20187
P: 703.555.1254
WWW.RES.US

PROJECT: RFP-2 CABIN BRANCH
CLIENT: MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

PLAN & SECTION
WETLAND CELL #4
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED OR APPROVED BY ME, AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND.
LICENSE# 52852
EXP. DATE: 6/14/2022

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

REVISIONS:

PROJECT STATUS:	
6/26/2020	CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020	65% MIT. PLAN
2/15/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV.
9/3/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
3/10/2022	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4

PROJECT MANAGER:	RC
DESIGNED:	BW/JC
DRAWN:	JC
JOB NUMBER:	102054
DESIGN TYPE:	STREAM
DATE:	11/22/2021
SHEET NO:	41 OF 51




LEGEND:

---	EX. PROPERTY LINE
---	EX. PROPERTY ADJACENT
---	EX. MAJOR CONTOUR
---	EX. MINOR CONTOUR
---	EX. EDGE OF PAVEMENT
---	EX. ROAD CENTERLINE
---	EX. EASEMENT
---	EX. OVERHEAD ELECTRIC
---	EX. SANITARY SEWER
---	EX. STORM UTILITY
---	EX. GAS
---	EX. BUILDING
---	EX. CURB
---	EX. FLOODPLAIN
---	EX. STREAM THALWEG
---	EX. POND
---	EX. STREAM
---	EX. WETLAND
---	EX. CONCRETE SWALE
---	SURVEY LIMITS
---	PR. LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE
---	PR. MAJOR CONTOUR
---	PR. MINOR CONTOUR
---	PR. FLOODPLAIN GRADING LIMITS
---	PR. FORESTED WETLAND
---	PR. WETLAND ENHANCEMENT
---	PR. BANKFULL
---	PR. STREAM CENTERLINE
---	PR. BERM
---	PR. WETLAND INLET/OUTLET
---	PR. RIP RAP
---	PR. RIFFLE
---	PR. ROCK OFFSET CROSS-VANE
---	PR. ROCK SILL
---	DETAIL #
---	SHEET #
---	DETAIL CALLOUT

PROFILE LEGEND:

---	EX. GRADE
---	PR. GRADE
---	PR. BANKFULL



NOTES:

1. TOPOGRAPHY WITHIN THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON A GROUND SURVEY PERFORMED BY AMT ENGINEERING FROM JANUARY-FEBRUARY 2020. CONTOUR INTERVAL 1'. SEE SHEET 2 FOR COMPLETE NOTES.
2. TOPOGRAPHY & LINEWORK OUTSIDE THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON AVAILABLE GIS DATA. GIS TOPOGRAPHY CONTOUR INTERVAL 2'.
3. WETLAND DELINEATION PERFORMED IN SEPTEMBER 2020 BY RES.

VERTICAL SCALE: 1" = 2'

HORIZONTAL SCALE: 1" = 20'

REVISIONS:

6/26/2020	CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020	65% MIT. PLAN
2/15/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 1
9/3/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
3/10/2022	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4

PROJECT STATUS:

PROJECT MANAGER: RC

DESIGNED: BW/JC

DRAWN: JC

JOB NUMBER: 102054

DESIGN TYPE: STREAM

DATE: 11/22/2021

SHEET NO: 42 OF 51

PROFILE DOES NOT REFLECT MICROTOPOGRAPHY, MICROTOPOGRAPHY TO BE CREATED IN THE FIELD.

PROJECT: RFP-2 CABIN BRANCH

CLIENT: MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

PLAN & SECTION

WETLAND CELL #5

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION

HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED OR APPROVED BY ME, AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND.

LICENSE# 52852

EXP. DATE: 6/14/2022

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

REVISIONS:

6/26/2020	CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020	65% MIT. PLAN
2/15/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 1
9/3/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
3/10/2022	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4

PROJECT MANAGER: RC

DESIGNED: BW/JC

DRAWN: JC

JOB NUMBER: 102054

DESIGN TYPE: STREAM

DATE: 11/22/2021

SHEET NO: 42 OF 51



ores

HGS, LLC - A RES COMPANY


5367 TELEPHONE ROAD, WARRENTON, VIRGINIA 20187

P: 703.555.4444


WWW.RES.US




PLAN VIEW
NOT TO SCALE




SECTION A - A'
NOT TO SCALE




SECTION B - B'
NOT TO SCALE



SECTION C - C'
NOT TO SCALE



SECTION D - D'
NOT TO SCALE



SECTION E - E'
NOT TO SCALE

ROCK CROSS-VANE STRUCTURE TABLE										
REACH	STRUCTURE #	STATION	INVERT WIDTH, A'	INVERT ELEV.	THALWEG OFFSET, B'	VANE ARM LENGTH, C'		VANE ARM TIE-IN HEIGHT, D'		CUT OFF SILL LENGTH, E'
						LONG	SHORT	LONG	SHORT	
						ROCK HEIGHT (A)		ROCK DEPTH (B)		ROCK LENGTH (C)

LEGEND

- ⊗ STAKEOUT
- ⬤ HEADER ROCK
- FOOTER ROCK
- ▨ GEOTEXTILE FABRIC
- ▤ CHINKING MATERIAL
- FOOTER SUPPORT ROCK

① OFFSET CROSS VANE/STEP POOL
NOT TO SCALE

*NOTE: SEE CROSS-SECTION GEOMETRY TABLE FOR CHANNEL DIMENSIONS AND SLOPES.

HGS, LLC - A RES COMPANY
5367 TELEPHONE ROAD, WARRENTON, VIRGINIA 20187
P: 703.555.1254
WWW.RES.US

PROJECT: RFP-2 CABIN BRANCH
CLIENT: MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

TYPICAL STREAM DETAILS

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND





PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED OR APPROVED BY ME, AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND.
LICENSE# 52852
EXP. DATE: 6/14/2022

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

REVISIONS:

PROJECT STATUS:	6/26/2020	CONCEPT PLAN
	11/9/2020	65% MIT. PLAN
	2/15/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV.
	9/3/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
	11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
	3/10/2022	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4


PROJECT MANAGER: RC
DESIGNED: BW/JC
DRAWN: JC
JOB NUMBER: 102054
DESIGN TYPE: STREAM
DATE: 11/22/2021
SHEET NO: 43 OF 51




- NOTES:
1. BURIED LOGS MAY BE PLACED IN SINGLE OR MULTIPLE CONFIGURATIONS BASED ON SITE CONDITIONS, DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS, AND/OR AVAILABLE MATERIAL.
 2. A LONGER SILL MAY BE ACHIEVED, WHERE A SINGLE LOG OF SUFFICIENT LENGTH NOT BE AVAILABLE, BY OVERLAPPING PARALLEL LOGS BY A MINIMUM OF 2 FEET.
 3. LOGS SHOULD BE PLACED PERPENDICULAR TO PROJECTED OVERBANK FLOWPATHS.
 4. WHERE MULTIPLE BURIED LOGS ARE UTILIZED, THE LOGS SHOULD MAKE SECURE CONTACT WITH ONE ANOTHER.
 5. REFER TO PLANTING AND/OR ESC PLAN FOR VEGETATION, SEEDING, AND MATTING REQUIREMENTS

WILL BE ADDED DURING FINAL PLAN DEVELOPMENT



1 FLOODPLAIN LOG SILL
NOT TO SCALE




3 ACB PIPE PROTECTION




4 IMBRICATED STONE TOE
NOT TO SCALE




SECTION A - A'
NOT TO SCALE




SECTION B - B'
NOT TO SCALE



SECTION C - C'
NOT TO SCALE




SECTION D - D'
NOT TO SCALE




SECTION E - E'
NOT TO SCALE

2 INNER BERM TO POOL TRANSITION
NOT TO SCALE



5 COIR FIBER MATTING DETAIL IN-CHANNEL INSTALLATION
NOT TO SCALE



6 DEAD BLOW STAKE
NOT TO SCALE


PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED OR APPROVED BY ME, AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND.
LICENSE#52852
EXP. DATE: 6/14/2022

NOT FOR
CONSTRUCTION

REVISIONS:


PROJECT STATUS:	
6/26/2020	CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020	65% MIT. PLAN
2/15/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV.
9/3/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
3/10/2022	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4

PROJECT MANAGER:	RC
DESIGNED:	BW/JC
DRAWN:	JC
JOB NUMBER:	102054
DESIGN TYPE:	STREAM
DATE:	11/22/2021
SHEET NO:	



1 CONSTRUCTED RIFFLE
NOT TO SCALE

*NOTE: SEE CROSS-SECTION GEOMETRY TABLE FOR CHANNEL DIMENSIONS AND SLOPES.



Xref

WITH FINAL DESIGN

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED OR APPROVED BY ME, AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND.

LICENSE# 52852

EXP. DATE: 6/14/2022

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

REVISIONS:

△

PROJECT STATUS:

6/26/2020	CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020	65% MIT. PLAN
2/15/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV.
9/3/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
3/10/2022	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4

PROJECT MANAGER: RC

DESIGNED: BW/JC

DRAWN: JC

JOB NUMBER: 102054

DESIGN TYPE: STREAM

DATE: 11/22/2021

SHEET NO:

DETAIL D-4-2 PLUNGE POOL

STANDARD SYMBOL
PP

PLAN VIEW

SECTION A-A

CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATIONS

- USE SPECIFIED CLASS OF RIPRAP.
- USE NONWOVEN GEOTEXTILE AS SPECIFIED IN SECTION H-1 MATERIALS, AND PROTECT FROM PUNCHING, CUTTING, OR TEARING. REPAIR ANY DAMAGE OTHER THAN AN OCCASIONAL SMALL HOLE BY PLACING ANOTHER PIECE OF GEOTEXTILE OVER THE DAMAGED PART OR BY COMPLETELY REPLACING THE GEOTEXTILE. PROVIDE A MINIMUM OF ONE FOOT OVERLAP FOR ALL REPAIRS AND FOR JOINING TWO PIECES OF GEOTEXTILE.
- PREPARE THE SUBGRADE FOR THE PLUNGE POOL TO THE REQUIRED LINES AND GRADES. COMPACT ANY FILL REQUIRED IN THE SUBGRADE TO A DENSITY OF APPROXIMATELY THAT OF THE SURROUNDING UNDISTURBED MATERIAL.
- EMBED THE GEOTEXTILE A MINIMUM OF 4 INCHES AND EXTEND THE GEOTEXTILE A MINIMUM OF 6 INCHES BEYOND THE EDGE OF THE SCOUR HOLE.
- STONE FOR THE PLUNGE POOL MAY BE PLACED BY EQUIPMENT. CONSTRUCT TO THE FULL COURSE THICKNESS IN ONE OPERATION AND IN SUCH A MANNER AS TO AVOID DISPLACEMENT OF UNDERLYING MATERIALS. DELIVER AND PLACE THE STONE FOR THE PLUNGE POOL IN A MANNER THAT WILL ENSURE THAT IT IS REASONABLY HOMOGENEOUS WITH THE SMALLER STONES AND SPALLS FILLING THE VOIDS BETWEEN THE LARGER STONES. PLACE STONE FOR THE PLUNGE POOL IN A MANNER TO PREVENT DAMAGE TO THE GEOTEXTILE. HAND PLACE TO THE EXTENT NECESSARY.
- AT THE PLUNGE POOL OUTLET, PLACE THE STONE SO THAT IT MEETS THE EXISTING GRADE.
- MAINTAIN LINE, GRADE, AND CROSS SECTION. KEEP OUTLET FREE OF EROSION. REMOVE ACCUMULATED SEDIMENT AND DEBRIS. AFTER HIGH FLOWS INSPECT FOR SCOUR AND DISLODGED RIPRAP. MAKE NECESSARY REPAIRS IMMEDIATELY.

MARYLAND STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR SOIL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE

2011

MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT
WATER MANAGEMENT ADMINISTRATION

		Type 1 Plunge Pool								
Tributary	TW (ft)	Plunge Pool Width, B (ft)	Plunge Pool Length, C (ft)	Min. D50-Type 1 (ft)	Min. D50-Type 1 (in)	Stone Size (Refer Table H.2)	Rip Rap Depth-Type 1 (2xD50) (in)	Plunge Pool Bottom Length (ft)	Plunge Pool Bottom Width (ft)	Plunge Pool Depth-F (ft)
T1-1	2.8	17.5	21.0	0.9	11.3	Class II	32	10.5	7.0	1.8
T1A	1.2	7.5	9.0	0.1	1.2	Number 1	5	4.5	3.0	0.8
T2	1.6	10.0	12.0	0.3	4.0	Rip Rap	11	6.0	4.0	1.0
T3A	1.4	8.8	10.5	0.1	1.2	Number 1	5	5.3	3.5	0.9
T3-1	2	12.5	15.0	0.3	3.9	Rip Rap	11	7.5	5.0	1.3
T4-1	2.2	13.8	16.5	0.5	5.6	Class I	19	8.3	5.5	1.4
T5	2.4	15.0	18.0	0.5	5.6	Class I	19	9.0	6.0	1.5

ORIENTATION: FACING DOWNSTREAM

RIFLE CROSS-SECTION GEOMETRY											
REACH	STATION	A-CENTERLINE OFFSET TO BOTTOM OF BANK (FT)	RIFLE BOTTOM WIDTH (FT)	MAX RIFLE DEPTH* (FT)	B-CENTERLINE OFFSET TO TOP OF BANK (FT)	RIFLE BANKFULL WIDTH (FT)	C-CENTERLINE OFFSET TO INSIDE OF BERM (FT)	D-CENTERLINE OFFSET TO OUTSIDE OF BERM (FT)	E-HEIGHT TO BERM FROM RIFLE BOTTOM (FT)	F-HEIGHT TO TOP OF BANK FROM BERM (FT)	G-RIFLE STONE DEPTH (FT)
1	10+00-39+00	6	12	2.3	11.6	23.2	8.6	9.6	1.3	1	
2	40+56-64+00	7	14	2.4	12.8	25.6	9.8	10.8	1.4	1	

*REACH PROFILE DATA SHALL BE USED TO DETERMINE DEPTH AT SPECIFIC STATIONS.

ORIENTATION: FACING DOWNSTREAM

RIFLE (W/ INNER BERM) CROSS-SECTION GEOMETRY											
REACH	STATION	A-CENTERLINE OFFSET TO BOTTOM OF BANK (FT)	RIFLE BOTTOM WIDTH (FT)	MAX RIFLE DEPTH* (FT)	B-CENTERLINE OFFSET TO TOP OF BANK (FT)	RIFLE BANKFULL WIDTH (FT)	C-CENTERLINE OFFSET TO INSIDE OF BERM (FT)	D-CENTERLINE OFFSET TO OUTSIDE OF BERM (FT)	E-HEIGHT TO BERM FROM RIFLE BOTTOM (FT)	F-HEIGHT TO TOP OF BANK FROM BERM (FT)	G-RIFLE STONE DEPTH (FT)
1	10+00-39+00	6	12	2.3	11.6	23.2	8.6	9.6	1.3	1	
2	40+56-64+00	7	14	2.4	12.8	25.6	9.8	10.8	1.4	1	

*REACH PROFILE DATA SHALL BE USED TO DETERMINE DEPTH AT SPECIFIC STATIONS.

ORIENTATION: FACING DOWNSTREAM

POOL CROSS-SECTION GEOMETRY										
REACH	STATION	A-CENTERLINE OFFSET TO BOTTOM OF BANK (FT)	POOL BOTTO M WIDTH (FT)	B-HEIGHT TO POINT BAR FROM POOL BOTTOM (FT)	C-HEIGHT TO TOP OF INNER BANK FROM POINT BAR (FT)	MAX POOL DEPT H (FT)	D-CENTERLINE OFFSET TO TOP OF INNER BANK (FT)	E-CENTERLINE OFFSET TO POINT BAR (FT)	F-CENTERLINE OFFSET TO TOP OF OUTER BANK (FT)	POOL BKF WIDTH (FT)
1	10+00-39+00	3.0	3.0	3.3	1.0	4.3	16.3	9.6	11.6	27.9
2	40+56-64+00	3.6	3.6	3.6	1.0	4.6	17.9	10.8	12.8	30.7

*REACH PROFILE DATA SHALL BE USED TO DETERMINE DEPTH AT SPECIFIC STATIONS.

POOL (POINT BAR) CROSS-SECTION GEOMETRY										
REACH	STATION	A-CENTERLINE OFFSET TO BOTTOM OF BANK (FT)	POOL BOTTO M WIDTH (FT)	B-HEIGHT TO POINT BAR FROM POOL BOTTOM (FT)	C-HEIGHT TO TOP OF INNER BANK FROM POINT BAR (FT)	MAX POOL DEPT H (FT)	D-CENTERLINE OFFSET TO TOP OF INNER BANK (FT)	E-CENTERLINE OFFSET TO POINT BAR (FT)	F-CENTERLINE OFFSET TO TOP OF OUTER BANK (FT)	POOL BKF WIDTH (FT)
1	10+00-39+00	3.0	3.0	3.3	1.0	4.3	16.3	9.6	11.6	27.9
2	40+56-64+00	3.6	3.6	3.6	1.0	4.6	17.9	10.8	12.8	30.7

*REACH PROFILE DATA SHALL BE USED TO DETERMINE DEPTH AT SPECIFIC STATIONS.

PLAN VIEW
NOT TO SCALE

SECTION A - A'
NOT TO SCALE

SECTION B - B'
NOT TO SCALE

PROFILE VIEW
NOT TO SCALE

STEP POOL CROSS-SECTION GEOMETRY				
REACH	STATION	A-POOL BKF WIDTH (FT)	B-POOL BOTTOM WIDTH (FT)	C-MAX POOL DEPTH (FT)
T4A	10+00-11+10	5.0	0.5	1.00

1 STEP POOL
NOT TO SCALE

HGS, LLC - A RES COMPANY
5367 TELEPHONE ROAD, WARRENTON, VIRGINIA 20187
P: 703.553.1441
WWW.RES.US

PROJECT: RFP-2 CABIN BRANCH
CLIENT: MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

TYPICAL STREAM DETAILS

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED OR APPROVED BY ME, AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND.
LICENSE#52852
EXP. DATE: 6/14/2022

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION


REVISIONS:

NO.	DESCRIPTION	DATE
1	ISSUED FOR PERMIT	11/19/2020
2	65% MIT, PLAN REV. 2	9/3/2021
3	65% MIT, PLAN REV. 3	11/22/2021
4	65% MIT, PLAN REV. 4	3/10/2022

PROJECT STATUS:

DATE	STATUS
6/26/2020	CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020	65% MIT, PLAN
2/15/2021	65% MIT, PLAN REV. 1
9/3/2021	65% MIT, PLAN REV. 2
11/22/2021	65% MIT, PLAN REV. 3
3/10/2022	65% MIT, PLAN REV. 4

PROJECT MANAGER: RC
DESIGNED: BW/JC
DRAWN: JC
JOB NUMBER: 102054
DESIGN TYPE: STREAM
DATE: 11/22/2021
SHEET NO: 46 OF 51



LEGEND:	
	PR. FORESTED WETLAND TREES / S
	PR. RIPARIAN TREES
	PR. UPLAND TREES
	PR. RIPARIAN SEEDING
	PR. UPLAND SEEDING
	PR. STREAM BANK PLANTING



HGS, LLC - A RES COMPANY

5367 TELEPHONE ROAD, WARRENTON, VIRGINIA 20187

F: 703.555.1100

WWW.RES.US

PROJECT: RFP-2 CABIN BRANCH

CLIENT: MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

PLANTING PLAN

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED OR APPROVED BY ME, AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND.
LICENSE#52852
EXP. DATE:6/14/2022


NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

REVISIONS:

PROJECT STATUS:	
6/26/2020	CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020	65% MIT. PLAN
2/15/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 1
9/3/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
3/10/2022	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4

PROJECT MANAGER:	RC
DESIGNED:	BW/JC
DRAWN:	JC
JOB NUMBER:	102054
DESIGN TYPE:	STREAM
DATE:	11/22/2021
SHEET NO:	48 OF 51

- NOTES:
1. TOPOGRAPHY WITHIN THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON A GROUND SURVEY PERFORMED BY AMT ENGINEERING FROM JANUARY-FEBRUARY 2020. CONTOUR INTERVAL 1'. SEE SHEET 2 FOR COMPLETE NOTES
 2. TOPOGRAPHY & LINEWORK OUTSIDE THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON AVAILABLE GIS DATA. GIS TOPOGRAPHY CONTOUR INTERVAL 2'.
 3. WETLAND DELINEATION PERFORMED IN SEPTEMBER 2020 BY RES.



LEGEND:	
	PR. FORESTED WETLAND TREES / S
	PR. RIPARIAN TREES
	PR. UPLAND TREES
	PR. RIPARIAN SEEDING
	PR. UPLAND SEEDING
	PR. STREAM BANK PLANTING

PROJECT: RFP-2 CABIN BRANCH
CLIENT: MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

PLANTING PLAN

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION
HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE
DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED OR
APPROVED BY ME, AND THAT I AM A
DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL
ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE
STATE OF MARYLAND.
LICENSE#52852
EXP. DATE: 6/14/2022

NOT FOR
CONSTRUCTION

REVISIONS:

PROJECT STATUS:	
6/26/2020	CONCEPT PLAN
11/9/2020	65% MIT. PLAN
2/15/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 1
9/3/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 2
11/22/2021	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 3
3/10/2022	65% MIT. PLAN REV. 4

PROJECT MANAGER:	RC
DESIGNED:	BW/JC
DRAWN:	JC
JOB NUMBER:	102054
DESIGN TYPE:	STREAM
DATE:	11/22/2021
SHEET NO:	49 OF 51

NOTES:
1. TOPOGRAPHY WITHIN THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON A GROUND SURVEY PERFORMED BY AMT
ENGINEERING FROM JANUARY-FEBRUARY 2020. CONTOUR INTERVAL 1'. SEE SHEET 2 FOR
COMPLETE NOTES
2. TOPOGRAPHY & LINENWORK OUTSIDE THE SURVEY LIMITS IS BASED ON AVAILABLE GIS DATA. GIS
TOPOGRAPHY CONTOUR INTERVAL 2'.
3. WETLAND DELINEATION PERFORMED IN SEPTEMBER 2020 BY RES.



Appendix B: Option Agreement with Green Bloom MV Park LLC and Green Bloom MV Development, LLC

DRAFT

Permanent Easement Option Contract
with the
State Highway Administration
of the
Maryland Department of Transportation

THIS OPTION, granted this of in the year **20** ,

BY:

Name:

Green Bloom MV Park, LLC

Address:

**19550 Montgomery Village Avenue
Gaithersburg, MD 20886**

and the Mortgagees, Trustees and/or Lien Holders listed in the PAYEE CLAUSE who will be contacted by the STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION for the purpose of agreeing to release the land, easements and/or rights hereinafter described from the operation and effect of any mortgage and/or lien which they may hold upon the property of the above mentioned persons, it being understood and agreed that they retain their rights as mortgagees and/or lienors in and to the remainder of the land of the above mentioned persons not hereby agreed to be conveyed.

All as the parties of the first part, hereinafter called the GRANTORS, to **HGS, LLC, a Virginia limited liability company ("HGS")** on behalf of the STATE OF MARYLAND, party of the second part, hereinafter called the GRANTEE.

- A. **WHEREAS**, the said GRANTEE proposes to lay out, open, establish, construct, extend, widen, straighten, grade and improve as a part of the State Highway System of Maryland, a highway and/or bridge, together with the appurtenances thereto belonging, shown on the plans designated as Construction Contract No. **AZ0485172-B** for the improvements to **Cabin Branch Phase I Stream Mitigation** in **Montgomery** County.
- B. **WITNESSETH**, that in consideration of the mutual benefits, inuring to each of the parties hereto and the covenants and agreements between them, incorporated herein, beneficial to each of the parties to this agreement, the said GRANTORS do hereby give and grant to the GRANTEE, its successors and assigns, the exclusive right and option to purchase for a period of twelve (12) months from the date hereof, for the sum of **One Hundred Ninety-eight Thousand Eight Hundred Fifty and No/100 Dollars (\$198,850.00)** all of the following described land, easements, rights, privileges and controls.
- C. **ALL THE EASEMENTS, RIGHTS, PRIVILEGES AND CONTROLS**, as shown and/or indicated, on the **attached preliminary plat** all of which plat are made a part hereof, and which are duly recorded, or intended to be recorded among the Land Records of the aforesaid County.
- D. **TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EASEMENT: TOGETHER** with the temporary right during the period of construction to use the area of land shown on the **attached preliminary plat** for the purpose of **construction access, stockpiling materials and haul roads**.
- E. **IT IS FURTHER AGREED** that the GRANTORS will **N/A**.
- F. **IT IS FURTHER AGREED** that the GRANTEE will **N/A**.
- G. **IT IS FURTHER AGREED** that the deed or deeds or other instruments of conveyance executed by the GRANTORS conveying to the GRANTEE all of the land, premises, easements, rights and privileges described in this option must contain covenants (running with and binding the remaining property of the GRANTORS, and binding the GRANTORS, their heirs, successors and assigns) that will perpetuate all of the rights and privileges

agreed to be conveyed to the GRANTEE under the provisions of this option. The terms and conditions of this contract shall survive the execution and delivery of the deed and shall not become merged therein.

H. **IT IS FURTHER AGREED** that the GRANTORS herein do hereby authorize and designate

Name:

Green Bloom MV Park, LLC

Address:

**c/o Monument Realty LLC
750 17th Street NW Ste. 110
Washington, DC 20006**

as their specified Agent or the individual Grantor to receive, on their behalf, the official notice of the acceptance of this option by the GRANTEE, said notice to be forwarded by mail to said specified Agent or Grantor. The said GRANTORS do hereby further authorize the GRANTEE, its members, officers, agents or employees to enter in and upon the hereinbefore described premises and proceed with the construction of the said state roads and/or bridge and their appurtenances, immediately upon the mailing by the GRANTEE to said Agent or Grantor, by mail, of the said notice of the acceptance of this option. The taking possession of said land and premises by the GRANTEE, however, shall not be construed as a waiver of any objection to title.

- I. **IT IS FURTHER AGREED** that upon acceptance of this option by the GRANTEE, said GRANTORS will, upon demand, convey unto the State of Maryland, to the use of the State Highway Administration of the Department of Transportation (or to such person or persons as may be designated by the GRANTEE), by a special warranty deed, or deeds, a good and marketable fee simple title, the same to be delivered to the office of the GRANTEE at Baltimore, Maryland, or to a duly appointed agent acting for the GRANTEE in this particular instance, within thirty (30) days from the date of said demand, to be made in writing within three (3) months from the date of the acceptance of this option; provided that, GRANTORS and GRANTEE further agree each to employ their best efforts to conform with said time periods except, however, that no cause of action shall lie for the failure of such best efforts to so conform. The deed or deeds, and other instruments of conveyance must meet with the approval of the Office of Counsel of the State Highway Administration and shall contain the covenants set forth in this option.
- J. **PAYEE CLAUSE:** Payment shall be made for the land rights herein agreed to be conveyed, upon receipt of the approvals mentioned in this option, by check, from the Treasurer of the State of Maryland, said checks, except as herein otherwise provided, to be made payable to **Green Bloom MV Park, LLC** its heirs and assigns.
- K. **IT IS FURTHER AGREED** that the contents of this option and the acceptance thereof, comprise the entire contract and that no verbal representations made before or after the signing hereof, or anything not herein written, shall vary the terms of this option, and that the payment of **One Hundred Ninety-eight Thousand Eight Hundred Fifty and No/100 dollars (\$198,850.00)** by the GRANTEE shall constitute full and final payment for the acquisition of the property described in this option, and any damages to the remainder thereof, if any.

Relocation Assistance payments and services, if any, are in addition to, and are not included, as any part of this option contract.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF THE GRANTORS have hereunto set their hands and seals.
SIGNED, SEALED AND DELIVERED IN THE PRESENCE OF:

FOR: Green Bloom MV Park, LLC, a Delaware
limited liability company

By: Monument Realty LLC, its authorized agent

WITNESS Kalvin Mayanji

(Signature) (SEAL)
BY: F. Russell Hines, Authorized Signatory

HGS, LLC

WITNESS _____ (SEAL)
BY: Ben Eubanks, General Manager

STATE OF ~~Maryland~~ District of Columbia ~~COUNTY OF~~

I hereby certify that, before me, the subscriber, a NOTARY PUBLIC of the ~~STATE OF~~ District of Columbia, in and for
County personally appeared F. Russell Hines and each severally acknowledged the foregoing
option to be his respective act.

AS WITNESS MY HAND AND NOTARY SEAL, this 5th of October in the year 2020

NOTARY PUBLIC Emily M. Lilly

NOTARY SEAL



My Commission expires 11/14/2024

IN WITNESS WHEREOF THE GRANTORS have hereunto set their hands and seals.
SIGNED, SEALED AND DELIVERED IN THE PRESENCE OF:

FOR: Green Bloom MV Park, LLC

WITNESS _____ (SEAL)

BY: F. Russell Hines, President

HGS, LLC

WITNESS _____ (SEAL)

BY: Ben Eubanks, General Manager

STATE OF Maryland

COUNTY OF

I hereby certify that, before me, the subscriber, a NOTARY PUBLIC of the STATE OF Virginia, in and for City of Richmond County personally appeared BEN EUBANKS and each severally acknowledged the foregoing option to be his respective act.

AS WITNESS MY HAND AND NOTARY SEAL, this 28th of September in the year 2020

NOTARY SEAL




NOTARY PUBLIC Ronda LaFERN Gooden

My Commission expires 10/31/2021


The foregoing option is hereby accepted this of in the year .

STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION
of the
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION OF MARYLAND

by: _____



PROPOSED CONSERVATION AREA
LANDOWNER: USL2 MR MONT VILLAGE BUSINESS TR
ADDRESS: 19550 MONTGOMERY VILLAGE AVE



LEGEND:

- EX. PROPERTY LINE
- EX. PROPERTY ADJACENT
- EX. OVERHEAD ELECTRIC
- EX. SANITARY SEWER
- EX. STORM UTILITY
- EX. GAS
- EX. FOND
- EX. STREAM
- EX. WETLAND
- SURVEY LIMITS
- PR. BANKFULL
- PR. FORESTED WETLAND

ores
HGS, LLC - A RES COMPANY
5367 TELEPHONE ROAD, WARRENTON, VIRGINIA 20187
P: 703.935.1400 WWW.RES.US

PROJECT: RFP-2 CABIN BRANCH
CLIENT: MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATION
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THESE DOCUMENTS WERE PREPARED OR APPROVED BY ME, AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND.
LICENSE# 52852
EXP. DATE: 6/14/2022

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

REVISIONS:
△

PROJECT STATUS:
6/26/2020 CONCEPT PLAN
9/25/2020 65% MIT, PLAN

PROJECT MANAGER: RC
DESIGNED: BW/JC
DRAWN: JC
JOB NUMBER: 102054
DESIGN TYPE: STREAM
DATE: 9/25/2020
SHEET NO: 4 OF 48

THIS SHEET FOR REFERENCE ONLY



Appendix C: Cabin Branch Function Based Rapid Stream Assessment

DRAFT

FINAL DRAFT
FUNCTION-BASED RAPID STREAM ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY
METHODOLOGY SEQUENCE

A report has been completed that provides detailed guidance on how this assessment is to be conducted (Starr et al, 2015). It can be located on the USFWS Chesapeake Bay Field Office website under Stream Restoration Protocol Publications. The methodology report is written based on the sequence in how the assessment should be conducted, as much as possible. However, there are some sections in the report that are out of sequence based on where that information is recorded on the data sheets. Therefore, this section lists the order of how the assessment should be conducted. The following is the rapid function-based assessment stepwise procedure:

1. Office Pre Site Visit Tasks
2. Rapid Watershed Assessment Form
3. Rapid Assessment Summary Form – Bankfull Determination
4. Rapid Assessment Summary Form – Rosgen Classification
5. Existing and Proposed Function-based Rapid Reach Level Stream Assessment Form – Only the existing conditions
6. Rapid Assessment Summary Form - Overall Existing Function-based Rapid Stream Assessment
7. Rapid Assessment Summary Form – Channel Evolution Trend
8. Rapid Assessment Summary Form - Restoration Potential
9. Existing and Proposed Function-based Rapid Reach Level Stream Assessment Form - proposed conditions
10. Rapid Assessment Summary Form – Overall Proposed Function-based Rapid Stream Assessment
11. Overall Project Evaluation

EXISTING and PROPOSED REACH LEVEL STREAM FUNCTION-BASED RAPID ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET												
Watershed:	Middle Potomac - Catoctin				Rater(s):	RC/BW						
Stream:	Cabin Branch				Date:	3/10/2022						
Reach Length:	5008 linear feet				Latitude:	39.177353						
Photo(s):	See Attached				Longitude:	-77.199137						
Reach ID:	Cabin Branch				Reach Score/Reach Total		Ex. 60/170		Prop.: 134/170		Quality: Ex: 0.35 Prop:0.79	
Function-based Rapid Reach Level Stream Assessment												
Assessment Parameter	Measurement Method	Category										
		Functioning			Functioning-at-Risk				Not Functioning			
Stream Function Pyramid Level 1 Hydrology												
Runoff	1. Concentrated Flow	No potential for concentrated flow/impairments from adjacent land use			Some potential for concentrated flow/impairments to reach restoration site, however, measures are in place to protect resources				Potential for concentrated flow/impairments to reach restoration site and no treatments are in place			
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	2. Flashiness	Non-flashy flow regime as a result of rainfall patterns, geology, and soils, impervious cover less than 6%			Semi-flashy flow regime as a result of rainfall patterns, geology, and soils, impervious cover 7 - 15%				Flashy flow regime as a result of rainfall patterns, geology, and soils, impervious cover greater than 15%			
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	Stream Function Pyramid Level 1 Hydrology Overall EXISTING Condition F FAR NF											Score:7
	Stream Function Pyramid Level 1 Hydrology Overall PROPOSED Condition F FAR NF											Score:14
Stream Function Pyramid Level 2 Hydraulics												
Floodplain Connectivity (Vertical Stability)	3. Bank Height Ratio (BHR)	<1.20			1.21 - 1.50				>1.50			
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	4a. Entrenchment (Meandering streams in alluvial valleys or Rosgen C, E, DA Streams)	>2.2			2.1 - 1.4				<1.4			
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	4b. Entrenchment (Non meandering streams in colluvial valleys or Rosgen B Streams)	>1.4			1.3 - 1.1				<1.1			
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	5. Floodplain Drainage	no concentrated flow; runoff is primarily sheet flow; hillslopes < 10%; hillslopes >200 ft from stream; ponding or wetland areas and litter or debris jams are well represented			runoff is equally sheet and concentrated flow (minor gully and rill erosion occurring); hillslopes 10 - 40%; hillslopes 50 - 200 ft from stream; ponding or wetland areas and litter or debris jams are minimally represented				concentrated flows present (extensive gully and rill erosion); hillslopes >40%; hillslopes <50 ft from stream; ponding or wetland areas and litter or debris jams are not well represented or absent			
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	6. Vertical Stability Extent	Stable			Localized Instability				Widespread Instability			
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
Stream Function Pyramid Level 2 Hydraulics Overall EXISTING Condition F FAR NF											Score:14	
Stream Function Pyramid Level 2 Hydraulics Overall PROPOSED Condition F FAR NF											Score:36	

Reach ID:	Cabin Branch	Reach Score/Reach Total							Ex. 60/170			Prop.: 134/170			Quality: Ex: 0.35 Prop:0.79		
Function-based Rapid Reach Level Stream Assessment																	
Assessment Parameter	Measurement Method	Category															
		Functioning			Functioning-at-Risk							Not Functioning					
Stream Function Pyramid Level 3 Geomorphology																	
Riparian Vegetation (Score = Average of Left and Right bank, max score of 10)	7. Riparian Vegetation Zone (EPA, 1999, modified)	Riparian zone extends to a width of >100 feet; good vegetation community diversity and density; human activities do not impact zone; invasive species not present or sparse			Riparian zone extends to a width of 25-100 feet; species composition is dominated by 2 or 3 species; human activities greatly impact zone; invasive species well represented and alter the community							Riparian zone extends to a width of <25 feet; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities; majority of vegetation is invasive					
	Left Bank Existing	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1						
	Left Bank Proposed	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1						
	Right Bank Existing	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1						
	Right Bank Proposed	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1						
	8. Dominant Bank Erosion Rate Potential	Dominate bank erosion rate potential is low or BEHI/NBS Rating: L/VL, L/L, L/M, L/H, L/VH, M/VL			Dominate bank erosion rate potential is moderate or BEHI/NBS Rating: M/L, M/M, M/H, L/Ex, H/L, M/VH, M/Ex, H/L, H/M, VH/VL, Ex/VL							Dominate bank erosion rate potential is high or BEHI/NBS Rating: H/H, H/Ex, VH/H, Ex/M, Ex/H, Ex/VH, VH/VH, Ex/Ex					
	Existing Condition (Right bank)	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1						
	Proposed Condition (Right Bank)	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1						
	Existing Condition (Left bank)	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1						
	Proposed Condition (Left Bank)	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1						
Lateral Stability (Score =Average of Left and right bank, max score of 10)	9. Lateral Stability Extent	Stable			Localized Instability							Widespread Instability					
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1						
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1						
Bedform Diversity (Do not complete if stream is ephemeral)	10. Shelter for Fish and Macroinvertebrates (EPA 1999)	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, rubble, gravel, cobble and large rocks, or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient)			20-70% mix of stable habitat; suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of new fall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale)							Less than 20% mix of stable habitat; lack of habitat availability less than desirables obvious; substrate unstable or lacking					
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1						
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1						
	11a. Pool-to-Pool Spacing Ratio (Watersheds < 10 mi²)	4.0 - 5.0			3.0 - 4.0 or 5.0 - 7.0							< 3.0 or >7.0					
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1						
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1						
	11b. Pool-to-Pool Spacing Ratio (Watersheds > 10 mi²)	5.0 - 7.0			3.5 - 5.0 or 7.0 - 8.0							<3.5 or >8.0					
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1						
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1						
	12a. Pool Max Depth Ratio/Depth Variability (Gravel Bed Streams)	>1.5			1.2 - 1.5							<1.2					
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1						
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1						
	12b. Pool Max Depth Ratio/Depth Variability (Sand Bed Streams)	>1.2			1.1 - 1.2							<1.1					
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1						
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1						
Bedform Diversity (Do not complete if stream is ephemeral)	Moderate Gradient Perennial Streams in Colluvial Valleys																
	11. Pool-to-Pool Spacing Ratio (3-5% Slope)	2.0 - 4.0			4.0 - 6.0							>6.0					
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1						
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1						
	12. Pool Max Depth Ratio/Depth Variability	>1.5			1.2 - 1.5							<1.2					
Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1							
Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1							
Stream Function Pyramid Level 3 Geomorphology Overall EXISTING Condition F FAR NF Score:17																	
Stream Function Pyramid Level 3 Geomorphology Overall PROPOSED Condition F FAR NF Score:53																	

Reach ID:	Cabin Branch	Reach Score/Reach Total Ex. 60/170 Prop.: 134/170										Quality: Ex: 0.35 Prop:0.79		
Function-based Rapid Reach Level Stream Assessment														
Assessment Parameter	Measurement Method	Category												
		Functioning			Functioning-at-Risk				Not Functioning					
Water Quality and Nutrients (Do not complete if stream is ephemeral)	Stream Function Pyramid Level 4 Physicochemical													
	13. Water Appearance and Nutrient Enrichment (USDA 1999)	Very clear, or clear but tea-colored; objects visible at depth 3 to 6 ft (less if slightly colored); no oil sheen on surface; no noticeable film on submerged objects or rocks. Clear water along entire reach; diverse aquatic plant community includes low quantities of many species of macrophytes; little algal growth present			Frequent cloudiness especially after storm events; objects visible to depth 0.5 to 3.0 ft; may have slight green color; no oil sheen on water surface. Fairly clear or slightly greenish water along entire reach; moderate algal growth on stream substrate				Very turbid or muddy appearance most of the time; objects visible at depth < 0.5 ft; slow moving water maybe bright green; other obvious water pollutants; floating algal mats, surface scum, sheen or heavy coat of foam on surface; or strong odor of chemicals, oil, sewage, or other pollutants. Pea-green, gray, or brown water along entire reach; dense stands of macrophytes clogging stream; severe algal blooms creating thick algal					
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1			
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1			
	14. Detritus (Petersen, 1992)	Mainly consisting of leaves and wood without sediment covering it			Leaves and wood scarce; fine organic debris without sediment				Fine organic sediment - black in color and foul odor (anaerobic) or detritus absent					
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1			
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1			
	Stream Function Pyramid Level 4 Physicochemical Overall EXISTING Condition										F	FAR	NF	Score:7
	Stream Function Pyramid Level 4 Physicochemical Overall PROPOSED Condition										F	FAR	NF	Score:11
	Biology (Do not complete if stream is ephemeral)	Stream Function Pyramid Level 5 Biology												
15. Macroinvertebrate		Abundant			Rare				Not present					
Existing Condition		10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1			
Proposed Condition		10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1			
16. Macroinvertebrate Tolerance		Abundant intolerant species			Limited intolerant species				Only tolerant species					
Existing Condition		10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1			
Proposed Condition		10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1			
17. Fish Presence		Abundant			Rare				Not present					
Existing Condition		10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1			
Proposed Condition		10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1			
If existing biology is FAR or NF, provide description of cause(s)														
Stream Function Pyramid Level 5 Biology Overall EXISTING Condition										F	FAR	NF	Score: 15	
Stream Function Pyramid Level 5 Biology Overall PROPOSED Condition										F	FAR	NF	Score: 20	

Reach ID:	Cabin Branch	Reach Score/Reach Total Ex. 60/170 Prop.: 134/170 Quality: Ex: 0.35 Prop:0.79			
Function-based Rapid Reach Level Stream Assessment					
Assessment Parameter	Measurement Method	Category			
		Functioning	Functioning-at-Risk	Not Functioning	
Bankfull Determination and Rosgen Stream Classification					
Rosgen Stream Type (Observation): EX - F PRO - C/Bc					
Regional Curve (circle one): Piedmont Coastal Plain Allegheny Plateau/Ridge and Valley Urban Karst					
DA (sqmi)	4.32				
BF Width (ft)	26.2-27.0	BF Area (sqft)		40.6-50.7	
BF Depth (ft)	1.5-1.94	Percent Impervious (%)		21.3	
Field Measurements					
Parameter		Measurements and Ratios			
Water surface to geomorphic feature elevation difference		Existing Min:1.6, Max: 2.5, Avg.:2.0			
Riffle Mean Depth at Bankfull Stage (dbkf)		Existing Min:1.7, Max: 2.29, Avg.:2.09	Proposed: 1.73 & 1.84		
Riffle Width at Bankfull Stage (Wbkf)		Existing Min:17.1, Max:22.5, Avg.:20.2	Proposed: 23.2 & 25.6		
Riffle XS Area at Bankfull Stage (Abkf = dbkf*Wbkf)		Existing Min: 34.4, Max: 45.8, Avg.:40.3	Proposed: 40.18 & 41.12		
Floodprone Area Width (Wfpa) (Wfpa=Width at elevation determined by 2xDmax)		Existing Not calculated at all XS Minimum 28.23	Target: 92.8 & 102.4		
Entrenchment Ratio (ER) (ER=Wfpa/Wbkf)		Existing Min: 1.4, Max: 1.93 Avg: 1.6	Target 4.0		
Low Bank Height (LBH)		Existing Min: 4.09, Max: 5.52, Avg.:4.53	Proposed: 2.3 & 2.4		
Riffle Maximum Depth at Bankfull Stage (Dmax)		Existing Min: 1.95, Max: 3.35, Avg.:2.56	Proposed: 2.3 & 2.4		
Bank Height Ratio (BHR) (BHR=LBH/Dmax)		Existing Min: 1.46, Max: 2.24, Avg.:1.79	Proposed: 1.0		
BEHI/NBS Ratings and Lengths		H/M, H/L, M/M, M/L, L/L	L/L		
Pool to Pool Spacing (P-P)		Ranges from 47-168	Proposed: Min:104, Max:168, Avg.:136.6		
Pool to Pool Spacing Ratio (P-P Ratio) (P-P Ratio=P-P/Wbkf)		Range from 2.4-8.4	Proposed: Min:4.4, Max:7.0, Avg.:5.7		
Pool Maximum Depth at Bankfull Stage (Dmbkfp)		n/a	Proposed: 4.3 & 4.6		
Pool Depth Ratio (Dmbkfp Ratio) (Dmbkfp Ratio=Dmbkfp/dbkf)		n/a	Proposed: 2.5		
Macroinvertebrate Taxa Observed		n/a	n/a		

EXISTING and PROPOSED REACH LEVEL STREAM FUNCTION-BASED RAPID ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET												
Watershed:	Middle Potomac - Catoctin				Rater(s):	RC/BW						
Stream:	Un -Named Tributary to Cabin Branch				Date:	3/10/2022						
Reach Length:	542 linear feet				Latitude:	39.177353						
Photo(s):	See Attached				Longitude:	-77.199137						
Reach ID:	Trib 1				Reach Score/Reach Total	Ex. 24/170		Prop.: 136/170		Quality: Ex: 0.14 Prop:0.8		
Function-based Rapid Reach Level Stream Assessment												
Assessment Parameter	Measurement Method	Category										
		Functioning			Functioning-at-Risk				Not Functioning			
Stream Function Pyramid Level 1 Hydrology												
Runoff	1. Concentrated Flow	No potential for concentrated flow/impairments from adjacent land use			Some potential for concentrated flow/impairments to reach restoration site, however, measures are in place to protect resources				Potential for concentrated flow/impairments to reach restoration site and no treatments are in place			
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	2. Flashiness	Non-flashy flow regime as a result of rainfall patterns, geology, and soils, impervious cover less than 6%			Semi-flashy flow regime as a result of rainfall patterns, geology, and soils, impervious cover 7 - 15%				Flashy flow regime as a result of rainfall patterns, geology, and soils, impervious cover greater than 15%			
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	Stream Function Pyramid Level 1 Hydrology Overall EXISTING Condition F FAR NF Score:5											
	Stream Function Pyramid Level 1 Hydrology Overall PROPOSED Condition F FAR NF Score:11											
Stream Function Pyramid Level 2 Hydraulics												
Floodplain Connectivity (Vertical Stability)	3. Bank Height Ratio (BHR)	<1.20			1.21 - 1.50				>1.50			
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	4a. Entrenchment (Meandering streams in alluvial valleys or Rosgen C, E, DA Streams)	>2.2			2.1 - 1.4				<1.4			
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	4b. Entrenchment (Non meandering streams in colluvial valleys or Rosgen B Streams)	>1.4			1.3 - 1.1				<1.1			
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	5. Floodplain Drainage	no concentrated flow; runoff is primarily sheet flow; hillslopes < 10%; hillslopes >200 ft from stream; ponding or wetland areas and litter or debris jams are well represented			runoff is equally sheet and concentrated flow (minor gully and rill erosion occurring); hillslopes 10 - 40%; hillslopes 50 - 200 ft from stream; ponding or wetland areas and litter or debris jams are minimally represented				concentrated flows present (extensive gully and rill erosion); hillslopes >40%; hillslopes <50 ft from stream; ponding or wetland areas and litter or debris jams are not well represented or absent			
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	6. Vertical Stability Extent	Stable			Localized Instability				Widespread Instability			
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
Stream Function Pyramid Level 2 Hydraulics Overall EXISTING Condition F FAR NF Score:4												
Stream Function Pyramid Level 2 Hydraulics Overall PROPOSED Condition F FAR NF Score:26												

Reach ID:	Trib 1	Reach Score/Reach Total										Ex. 24/170 Prop.: 136/170										Quality: Ex: 0.14 Prop:0.8									
Function-based Rapid Reach Level Stream Assessment																															
Assessment Parameter	Measurement Method	Category																													
		Functioning						Functioning-at-Risk										Not Functioning													
Stream Function Pyramid Level 3 Geomorphology																															
Riparian Vegetation (Score = Average of Left and Right bank, max score of 10)	7. Riparian Vegetation Zone (EPA, 1999, modified)	Riparian zone extends to a width of >100 feet; good vegetation community diversity and density; human activities do not impact zone; invasive species not present or sparse						Riparian zone extends to a width of 25-100 feet; species composition is dominated by 2 or 3 species; human activities greatly impact zone; invasive species well represented and alter the community										Riparian zone extends to a width of <25 feet; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities; majority of vegetation is invasive													
	Left Bank Existing	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	Left Bank Proposed	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	Right Bank Existing	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	Right Bank Proposed	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	8. Dominant Bank Erosion Rate Potential	Dominate bank erosion rate potential is low or BEHI/NBS Rating: L/VL, L/L, L/M, L/H, L/VH, M/VL						Dominate bank erosion rate potential is moderate or BEHI/NBS Rating: M/L, M/M, M/H, L/Ex, H/L, M/VH, M/Ex, H/L, H/M, VH/VL, Ex/VL										Dominate bank erosion rate potential is high or BEHI/NBS Rating: H/H, H/Ex, VH/H, Ex/M, Ex/H, Ex/VH, VH/VH, Ex/Ex													
	Existing Condition (Right bank)	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	Proposed Condition (Right Bank)	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	Existing Condition (Left bank)	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	Proposed Condition (Left Bank)	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
Lateral Stability (Score =Average of Left and right bank, max score of 10)	9. Lateral Stability Extent	Stable						Localized Instability										Widespread Instability													
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
Bedform Diversity (Do not complete if stream is ephemeral)	10. Shelter for Fish and Macroinvertebrates (EPA 1999)	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, rubble, gravel, cobble and large rocks, or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient)						20-70% mix of stable habitat; suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of new fall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale)										Less than 20% mix of stable habitat; lack of habitat availability less than desirables obvious; substrate unstable or lacking													
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	11a. Pool-to-Pool Spacing Ratio (Watersheds < 10 mi²)	4.0 - 5.0						3.0 - 4.0 or 5.0 - 7.0										< 3.0 or >7.0													
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	11b. Pool-to-Pool Spacing Ratio (Watersheds > 10 mi²)	5.0 - 7.0						3.5 - 5.0 or 7.0 - 8.0										<3.5 or >8.0													
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	12a. Pool Max Depth Ratio/Depth Variability (Gravel Bed Streams)	>1.5						1.2 - 1.5										<1.2													
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	12b. Pool Max Depth Ratio/Depth Variability (Sand Bed Streams)	>1.2						1.1 - 1.2										<1.1													
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
Bedform Diversity (Do not complete if stream is ephemeral)	Moderate Gradient Perennial Streams in Colluvial Valleys																														
	11. Pool-to-Pool Spacing Ratio (3-5% Slope)	2.0 - 4.0						4.0 - 6.0										>6.0													
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	12. Pool Max Depth Ratio/Depth Variability	>1.5						1.2 - 1.5										<1.2													
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																					
Stream Function Pyramid Level 3 Geomorphology Overall EXISTING Condition F FAR NF Score:8																															
Stream Function Pyramid Level 3 Geomorphology Overall PROPOSED Condition F FAR NF Score:51																															

Reach ID:	Trib 1	Reach Score/Reach Total Ex. 24/170 Prop.: 136/170										Quality: Ex: 0.14 Prop:0.8	
Function-based Rapid Reach Level Stream Assessment													
Assessment Parameter	Measurement Method	Category											
		Functioning			Functioning-at-Risk				Not Functioning				
Water Quality and Nutrients (Do not complete if stream is ephemeral)	Stream Function Pyramid Level 4 Physicochemical												
	13. Water Appearance and Nutrient Enrichment (USDA 1999)	Very clear, or clear but tea-colored; objects visible at depth 3 to 6 ft (less if slightly colored); no oil sheen on surface; no noticeable film on submerged objects or rocks. Clear water along entire reach; diverse aquatic plant community includes low quantities of many species of macrophytes; little algal growth present			Frequent cloudiness especially after storm events; objects visible to depth 0.5 to 3.0 ft; may have slight green color; no oil sheen on water surface. Fairly clear or slightly greenish water along entire reach; moderate algal growth on stream substrate				Very turbid or muddy appearance most of the time; objects visible at depth< 0.5 ft; slow moving water maybe bright green; other obvious water pollutants; floating algal mats, surface scum, sheen or heavy coat of foam on surface; or strong odor of chemicals, oil, sewage, or other pollutants. Pea-green, gray, or brown water along entire reach; dense stands of macrophytes clogging stream; severe algal blooms creating thick algal				
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
	14. Detritus (Petersen, 1992)	Mainly consisting of leaves and wood without sediment covering it			Leaves and wood scarce; fine organic debris without sediment				Fine organic sediment - black in color and foul odor (anaerobic) or detritus absent				
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
	Stream Function Pyramid Level 4 Physicochemical Overall EXISTING Condition F FAR NF Score:4												
	Stream Function Pyramid Level 4 Physicochemical Overall PROPOSED Condition F FAR NF Score:16												
	Biology (Do not complete if stream is ephemeral)	Stream Function Pyramid Level 5 Biology											
15. Macroinvertebrate		Abundant			Rare				Not present				
Existing Condition		10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
Proposed Condition		10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
16. Macroinvertebrate Tolerance		Abundant intolerant species			Limited intolerant species				Only tolerant species				
Existing Condition		10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
Proposed Condition		10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
17. Fish Presence		Abundant			Rare				Not present				
Existing Condition		10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
Proposed Condition		10	9	8	7	6	5	4	4	2	1		
If existing biology is FAR or NF, provide description of cause(s)		Stream is currently piped.											
Stream Function Pyramid Level 5 Biology Overall EXISTING Condition F FAR NF Score: 3													
Stream Function Pyramid Level 5 Biology Overall PROPOSED Condition F FAR NF Score:24													

Reach ID:	Trib 1	Reach Score/Reach Total Ex. 24/170 Prop.: 136/170			Quality: Ex: 0.14 Prop:0.8
Function-based Rapid Reach Level Stream Assessment					
Assessment Parameter	Measurement Method	Category			
		Functioning	Functioning-at-Risk		Not Functioning
Bankfull Determination and Rosgen Stream Classification					
Rosgen Stream Type (Observation) EX - Stream is currently piped PRO - C					
Regional Curve (circle one): Piedmont Coastal Plain Allegheny Plateau/Ridge and Valley Urban Karst					
DA (sqmi)	0.15				
BF Width (ft)	5.6-7.1	BF Area (sqft)		2.7-4.4	
BF Depth (ft)	0.48-0.62	Percent Impervious (%)		21.3	
Field Measurements					
Parameter		Measurements and Ratios			
Water surface to geomorphic feature elevation difference		Ex- Channel is piped Upstream Reference: 0.3-0.7; avg: 0.51			
Riffle Mean Depth at Bankfull Stage (dbkf)		Ex- Channel is piped Upstream Reference: 0.32-0.65; avg.: 0.54	Proposed: 0.39 & 0.49		
Riffle Width at Bankfull Stage (Wbkf)		Ex- Channel is piped Upstream Reference: 4.7-8.1; avg.: 6.48	Proposed: 5.0 & 6.6		
Riffle XS Area at Bankfull Stage (Abkf = dbkf*Wbkf)		Ex- Channel is piped Upstream Reference: 2.5-4.3; avg.: 3.40	Proposed: 1.95 & 3.24		
Floodprone Area Width (Wfpa) (Wfpa=Width at elevation determined by 2xDmax)		Ex- Channel is piped Upstream Reference: 6.0-9.1; avg.: 8.4	Target: 20 & 26.4		
Entrenchment Ratio (ER) (ER=Wfpa/Wbkf)		Ex- Channel is piped Upstream Reference: 1.12-1.45; avg.: 1.31	Target 4.0		
Low Bank Height (LBH)		Ex- Channel is piped Upstream Reference: 0.96-3.75; avg.: 2.51	Proposed: 2.3 & 2.4		
Riffle Maximum Depth at Bankfull Stage (Dmax)		Ex- Channel is piped Upstream Reference: 0.42-1.10; avg.: 0.82	Proposed: 0.5 & 0.6		
Bank Height Ratio (BHR) (BHR=LBH/Dmax)		Ex- Channel is piped Upstream Reference: 2.3-3.6; avg.: 2.9	Proposed: 1.0		
BEHI/NBS Ratings and Lengths		Ex- Channel is piped	L/L		
Pool to Pool Spacing (P-P)		Ex- Channel is piped	Proposed: Min: 21, Max: 46, Avg.: 34		
Pool to Pool Spacing Ratio (P-P Ratio) (P-P Ratio=P-P/Wbkf)		Ex- Channel is piped	Proposed: Min:3.2, Max:7.3, Avg.:5.6		
Pool Maximum Depth at Bankfull Stage (Dmbkfp)		Ex- Channel is piped	Proposed: 1.0 & 1.2		
Pool Depth Ratio (Dmbkfp Ratio) (Dmbkfp Ratio=Dmbkfp/dbkf)		Ex- Channel is piped	Proposed: 2.5		
Macroinvertebrate Taxa Observed		Ex- Channel is piped	n/a		

EXISTING and PROPOSED REACH LEVEL STREAM FUNCTION-BASED RAPID ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET												
Watershed:	Middle Potomac - Catoctin				Rater(s):	RC/BW						
Stream:	Un -Named Tributary to Cabin Branch				Date:	3/10/2022						
Reach Length:	421 linear feet				Latitude:	39.177353						
Photo(s):	See Attached				Longitude:	-77.199137						
Reach ID:	Trib 2				Reach Score/Reach Total	Ex. 24/170		Prop.: 136/170		Quality: Ex: 0.14 Prop:0.8		
Function-based Rapid Reach Level Stream Assessment												
Assessment Parameter	Measurement Method	Category										
		Functioning			Functioning-at-Risk				Not Functioning			
Stream Function Pyramid Level 1 Hydrology												
Runoff	1. Concentrated Flow	No potential for concentrated flow/impairments from adjacent land use			Some potential for concentrated flow/impairments to reach restoration site, however, measures are in place to protect resources				Potential for concentrated flow/impairments to reach restoration site and no treatments are in place			
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	2. Flashiness	Non-flashy flow regime as a result of rainfall patterns, geology, and soils, impervious cover less than 6%			Semi-flashy flow regime as a result of rainfall patterns, geology, and soils, impervious cover 7 - 15%				Flashy flow regime as a result of rainfall patterns, geology, and soils, impervious cover greater than 15%			
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
Stream Function Pyramid Level 1 Hydrology Overall EXISTING Condition F FAR NF Score:5												
Stream Function Pyramid Level 1 Hydrology Overall PROPOSED Condition F FAR NF Score:11												
Stream Function Pyramid Level 2 Hydraulics												
Floodplain Connectivity (Vertical Stability)	3. Bank Height Ratio (BHR)	<1.20			1.21 - 1.50				>1.50			
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	4a. Entrenchment (Meandering streams in alluvial valleys or Rosgen C, E, DA Streams)	>2.2			2.1 - 1.4				<1.4			
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	4b. Entrenchment (Non meandering streams in colluvial valleys or Rosgen B Streams)	>1.4			1.3 - 1.1				<1.1			
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	5. Floodplain Drainage	no concentrated flow; runoff is primarily sheet flow; hillslopes < 10%; hillslopes >200 ft from stream; ponding or wetland areas and litter or debris jams are well represented			runoff is equally sheet and concentrated flow (minor gully and rill erosion occurring); hillslopes 10 - 40%; hillslopes 50 - 200 ft from stream; ponding or wetland areas and litter or debris jams are minimally represented				concentrated flows present (extensive gully and rill erosion); hillslopes >40%; hillslopes <50 ft from stream; ponding or wetland areas and litter or debris jams are not well represented or absent			
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	6. Vertical Stability Extent	Stable			Localized Instability				Widespread Instability			
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
Stream Function Pyramid Level 2 Hydraulics Overall EXISTING Condition F FAR NF Score:4												
Stream Function Pyramid Level 2 Hydraulics Overall PROPOSED Condition F FAR NF Score:26												

Reach ID:	Trib 2	Reach Score/Reach Total										Ex. 24/170 Prop.: 136/170										Quality: Ex: 0.14 Prop:0.8									
Function-based Rapid Reach Level Stream Assessment																															
Assessment Parameter	Measurement Method	Category																													
		Functioning								Functioning-at-Risk								Not Functioning													
Stream Function Pyramid Level 3 Geomorphology																															
Riparian Vegetation (Score = Average of Left and Right bank, max score of 10)	7. Riparian Vegetation Zone (EPA, 1999, modified)	Riparian zone extends to a width of >100 feet; good vegetation community diversity and density; human activities do not impact zone; invasive species not present or sparse								Riparian zone extends to a width of 25-100 feet; species composition is dominated by 2 or 3 species; human activities greatly impact zone; invasive species well represented and alter the community								Riparian zone extends to a width of <25 feet; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities; majority of vegetation is invasive													
	Left Bank Existing	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	Left Bank Proposed	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	Right Bank Existing	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	Right Bank Proposed	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	8. Dominant Bank Erosion Rate Potential	Dominate bank erosion rate potential is low or BEHI/NBS Rating: L/VL, L/L, L/M, L/H, L/VH, M/VL								Dominate bank erosion rate potential is moderate or BEHI/NBS Rating: M/L, M/M, M/H, L/Ex, H/L, M/VH, M/Ex, H/L, H/M, VH/VL, Ex/VL								Dominate bank erosion rate potential is high or BEHI/NBS Rating: H/H, H/Ex, VH/H, Ex/M, Ex/H, Ex/VH, VH/VH, Ex/Ex													
	Existing Condition (Right bank)	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	Proposed Condition (Right Bank)	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	Existing Condition (Left bank)	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	Proposed Condition (Left Bank)	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
Lateral Stability (Score =Average of Left and right bank, max score of 10)	9. Lateral Stability Extent	Stable								Localized Instability								Widespread Instability													
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
Bedform Diversity (Do not complete if stream is ephemeral)	10. Shelter for Fish and Macroinvertebrates (EPA 1999)	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, rubble, gravel, cobble and large rocks, or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient)								20-70% mix of stable habitat; suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of new fall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale)								Less than 20% mix of stable habitat; lack of habitat availability less than desirables obvious; substrate unstable or lacking													
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	11a. Pool-to-Pool Spacing Ratio (Watersheds < 10 mi²)	4.0 - 5.0								3.0 - 4.0 or 5.0 - 7.0								< 3.0 or >7.0													
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	11b. Pool-to-Pool Spacing Ratio (Watersheds > 10 mi²)	5.0 - 7.0								3.5 - 5.0 or 7.0 - 8.0								<3.5 or >8.0													
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	12a. Pool Max Depth Ratio/Depth Variability (Gravel Bed Streams)	>1.5								1.2 - 1.5								<1.2													
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	12b. Pool Max Depth Ratio/Depth Variability (Sand Bed Streams)	>1.2								1.1 - 1.2								<1.1													
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
Bedform Diversity (Do not complete if stream is ephemeral)	Moderate Gradient Perennial Streams in Colluvial Valleys																														
	11. Pool-to-Pool Spacing Ratio (3-5% Slope)	2.0 - 4.0								4.0 - 6.0								>6.0													
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	12. Pool Max Depth Ratio/Depth Variability	>1.5								1.2 - 1.5								<1.2													
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																					
Stream Function Pyramid Level 3 Geomorphology Overall EXISTING Condition F FAR NF Score:8																															
Stream Function Pyramid Level 3 Geomorphology Overall PROPOSED Condition F FAR NF Score:51																															

Reach ID:	Trib 2	Reach Score/Reach Total Ex. 24/170 Prop.: 136/170										Quality: Ex: 0.14 Prop:0.8	
Function-based Rapid Reach Level Stream Assessment													
Assessment Parameter	Measurement Method	Category											
		Functioning			Functioning-at-Risk				Not Functioning				
Water Quality and Nutrients (Do not complete if stream is ephemeral)	Stream Function Pyramid Level 4 Physicochemical												
	13. Water Appearance and Nutrient Enrichment (USDA 1999)	Very clear, or clear but tea-colored; objects visible at depth 3 to 6 ft (less if slightly colored); no oil sheen on surface; no noticeable film on submerged objects or rocks. Clear water along entire reach; diverse aquatic plant community includes low quantities of many species of macrophytes; little algal growth present			Frequent cloudiness especially after storm events; objects visible to depth 0.5 to 3.0 ft; may have slight green color; no oil sheen on water surface. Fairly clear or slightly greenish water along entire reach; moderate algal growth on stream substrate				Very turbid or muddy appearance most of the time; objects visible at depth < 0.5 ft; slow moving water maybe bright green; other obvious water pollutants; floating algal mats, surface scum, sheen or heavy coat of foam on surface; or strong odor of chemicals, oil, sewage, or other pollutants. Pea-green, gray, or brown water along entire reach; dense stands of macrophytes clogging stream; severe algal blooms creating thick algal				
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
	14. Detritus (Petersen, 1992)	Mainly consisting of leaves and wood without sediment covering it			Leaves and wood scarce; fine organic debris without sediment				Fine organic sediment - black in color and foul odor (anaerobic) or detritus absent				
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
	Stream Function Pyramid Level 4 Physicochemical Overall EXISTING Condition F FAR NF Score:4												
	Stream Function Pyramid Level 4 Physicochemical Overall PROPOSED Condition F FAR NF Score:16												
	Biology (Do not complete if stream is ephemeral)	Stream Function Pyramid Level 5 Biology											
15. Macroinvertebrate		Abundant			Rare				Not present				
Existing Condition		10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
Proposed Condition		10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
16. Macroinvertebrate Tolerance		Abundant intolerant species			Limited intolerant species				Only tolerant species				
Existing Condition		10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
Proposed Condition		10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
17. Fish Presence		Abundant			Rare				Not present				
Existing Condition		10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
Proposed Condition		10	9	8	7	6	5	4	4	2	1		
If existing biology is FAR or NF, provide description of cause(s)		Stream is currently piped.											
Stream Function Pyramid Level 5 Biology Overall EXISTING Condition F FAR NF Score: 3													
Stream Function Pyramid Level 5 Biology Overall PROPOSED Condition F FAR NF Score:24													

Reach ID:	Trib 2	Reach Score/Reach Total Ex. 24/170 Prop.: 136/170			Quality: Ex: 0.14 Prop:0.8
Function-based Rapid Reach Level Stream Assessment					
Assessment Parameter	Measurement Method	Category			
		Functioning	Functioning-at-Risk		Not Functioning
Bankfull Determination and Rosgen Stream Classification					
Rosgen Stream Type (Observation) EX - Stream is currently piped PRO - C					
Regional Curve (circle one): Piedmont Coastal Plain Allegheny Plateau/Ridge and Valley Urban Karst					
DA (sqmi)	0.02				
BF Width (ft)	2.3-3.3	BF Area (sqft)		0.6-1.1	
BF Depth (ft)	0.25-0.32	Percent Impervious (%)		21.3	
Field Measurements					
Parameter		Measurements and Ratios			
Water surface to geomorphic feature elevation difference		Ex- Channel is piped			
Riffle Mean Depth at Bankfull Stage (dbkf)		Ex- Channel is piped	Proposed: 0.24		
Riffle Width at Bankfull Stage (Wbkf)		Ex- Channel is piped	Proposed: 3.2		
Riffle XS Area at Bankfull Stage (Abkf = dbkf*Wbkf)		Ex- Channel is piped	Proposed: 0.78		
Floodprone Area Width (Wfpa) (Wfpa=Width at elevation determined by 2xDmax)		Ex- Channel is piped	Target: 12.8		
Entrenchment Ratio (ER) (ER=Wfpa/Wbkf)		Ex- Channel is piped	Target 4.0		
Low Bank Height (LBH)		Ex- Channel is piped	Proposed: 0.35		
Riffle Maximum Depth at Bankfull Stage (Dmax)		Ex- Channel is piped	Proposed: 0.35		
Bank Height Ratio (BHR) (BHR=LBH/Dmax)		Ex- Channel is piped	Proposed:1.0		
BEHI/NBS Ratings and Lengths		Ex- Channel is piped	L/L		
Pool to Pool Spacing (P-P)		Ex- Channel is piped	Proposed: Min: 16, Max: 24, Avg.: 20		
Pool to Pool Spacing Ratio (P-P Ratio) (P-P Ratio=P-P/Wbkf)		Ex- Channel is piped	Proposed: Min:5, Max:7.5, Avg.:6.3		
Pool Maximum Depth at Bankfull Stage (Dmbkfp)		Ex- Channel is piped	Proposed: 0.6		
Pool Depth Ratio (Dmbkfp Ratio) (Dmbkfp Ratio=Dmbkfp/dbkf)		Ex- Channel is piped	Proposed:2.5		
Macroinvertebrate Taxa Observed		Ex- Channel is piped	n/a		

EXISTING and PROPOSED REACH LEVEL STREAM FUNCTION-BASED RAPID ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET												
Watershed:	Middle Potomac - Catoctin				Rater(s):	RC/BW						
Stream:	Un -Named Tributary to Cabin Branch				Date:	3/10/2022						
Reach Length:	8016 linear feet				Latitude:	39.177353						
Photo(s):	See Attached				Longitude:	-77.199137						
Reach ID:	Trib 3				Reach Score/Reach Total	Ex. 24/170		Prop.: 136/170		Quality: Ex: 0.14 Prop:0.8		
Function-based Rapid Reach Level Stream Assessment												
Assessment Parameter	Measurement Method	Category										
		Functioning			Functioning-at-Risk				Not Functioning			
Stream Function Pyramid Level 1 Hydrology												
Runoff	1. Concentrated Flow	No potential for concentrated flow/impairments from adjacent land use			Some potential for concentrated flow/impairments to reach restoration site, however, measures are in place to protect resources				Potential for concentrated flow/impairments to reach restoration site and no treatments are in place			
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	2. Flashiness	Non-flashy flow regime as a result of rainfall patterns, geology, and soils, impervious cover less than 6%			Semi-flashy flow regime as a result of rainfall patterns, geology, and soils, impervious cover 7 - 15%				Flashy flow regime as a result of rainfall patterns, geology, and soils, impervious cover greater than 15%			
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
Stream Function Pyramid Level 1 Hydrology Overall EXISTING Condition F FAR NF Score:5												
Stream Function Pyramid Level 1 Hydrology Overall PROPOSED Condition F FAR NF Score:11												
Stream Function Pyramid Level 2 Hydraulics												
Floodplain Connectivity (Vertical Stability)	3. Bank Height Ratio (BHR)	<1.20			1.21 - 1.50				>1.50			
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	4a. Entrenchment (Meandering streams in alluvial valleys or Rosgen C, E, DA Streams)	>2.2			2.1 - 1.4				<1.4			
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	4b. Entrenchment (Non meandering streams in colluvial valleys or Rosgen B Streams)	>1.4			1.3 - 1.1				<1.1			
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	5. Floodplain Drainage	no concentrated flow; runoff is primarily sheet flow; hillslopes < 10%; hillslopes >200 ft from stream; ponding or wetland areas and litter or debris jams are well represented			runoff is equally sheet and concentrated flow (minor gully and rill erosion occurring); hillslopes 10 - 40%; hillslopes 50 - 200 ft from stream; ponding or wetland areas and litter or debris jams are minimally represented				concentrated flows present (extensive gully and rill erosion); hillslopes >40%; hillslopes <50 ft from stream; ponding or wetland areas and litter or debris jams are not well represented or absent			
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	6. Vertical Stability Extent	Stable			Localized Instability				Widespread Instability			
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
Stream Function Pyramid Level 2 Hydraulics Overall EXISTING Condition F FAR NF Score:4												
Stream Function Pyramid Level 2 Hydraulics Overall PROPOSED Condition F FAR NF Score:26												

Reach ID:	Trib 3	Reach Score/Reach Total Ex. 24/170 Prop.: 136/170 Quality: Ex: 0.14 Prop:0.8									
Function-based Rapid Reach Level Stream Assessment											
Assessment Parameter	Measurement Method	Category									
		Functioning			Functioning-at-Risk				Not Functioning		
Stream Function Pyramid Level 3 Geomorphology											
Riparian Vegetation (Score = Average of Left and Right bank, max score of 10)	7. Riparian Vegetation Zone (EPA, 1999, modified)	Riparian zone extends to a width of >100 feet; good vegetation community diversity and density; human activities do not impact zone; invasive species not present or sparse			Riparian zone extends to a width of 25-100 feet; species composition is dominated by 2 or 3 species; human activities greatly impact zone; invasive species well represented and alter the community				Riparian zone extends to a width of <25 feet; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities; majority of vegetation is invasive		
	Left Bank Existing	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	Left Bank Proposed	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	Right Bank Existing	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	Right Bank Proposed	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	8. Dominant Bank Erosion Rate Potential	Dominate bank erosion rate potential is low or BEHI/NBS Rating: L/VL, L/L, L/M, L/H, L/VH, M/VL			Dominate bank erosion rate potential is moderate or BEHI/NBS Rating: M/L, M/M, M/H, L/Ex, H/L, M/VH, M/Ex, H/L, H/M, VH/VL, Ex/VL				Dominate bank erosion rate potential is high or BEHI/NBS Rating: H/H, H/Ex, VH/H, Ex/M, Ex/H, Ex/VH, VH/VH, Ex/Ex		
	Existing Condition (Right bank)	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	Proposed Condition (Right Bank)	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	Existing Condition (Left bank)	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	Proposed Condition (Left Bank)	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Lateral Stability (Score =Average of Left and right bank, max score of 10)	9. Lateral Stability Extent	Stable			Localized Instability				Widespread Instability		
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Bedform Diversity (Do not complete if stream is ephemeral)	10. Shelter for Fish and Macroinvertebrates (EPA 1999)	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, rubble, gravel, cobble and large rocks, or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient)			20-70% mix of stable habitat; suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of new fall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale)				Less than 20% mix of stable habitat; lack of habitat availability less than desirables obvious; substrate unstable or lacking		
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	11a. Pool-to-Pool Spacing Ratio (Watersheds < 10 mi²)	4.0 - 5.0			3.0 - 4.0 or 5.0 - 7.0				< 3.0 or >7.0		
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	11b. Pool-to-Pool Spacing Ratio (Watersheds > 10 mi²)	5.0 - 7.0			3.5 - 5.0 or 7.0 - 8.0				<3.5 or >8.0		
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	12a. Pool Max Depth Ratio/Depth Variability (Gravel Bed Streams)	>1.5			1.2 - 1.5				<1.2		
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	12b. Pool Max Depth Ratio/Depth Variability (Sand Bed Streams)	>1.2			1.1 - 1.2				<1.1		
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Bedform Diversity (Do not complete if stream is ephemeral)	Moderate Gradient Perennial Streams in Colluvial Valleys										
	11. Pool-to-Pool Spacing Ratio (3-5% Slope)	2.0 - 4.0			4.0 - 6.0				>6.0		
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	12. Pool Max Depth Ratio/Depth Variability	>1.5			1.2 - 1.5				<1.2		
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Stream Function Pyramid Level 3 Geomorphology Overall EXISTING Condition F FAR NF Score:8											
Stream Function Pyramid Level 3 Geomorphology Overall PROPOSED Condition F FAR NF Score:51											

Reach ID:	Trib 3	Reach Score/Reach Total Ex. 24/170 Prop.: 136/170										Quality: Ex: 0.14 Prop:0.8	
Function-based Rapid Reach Level Stream Assessment													
Assessment Parameter	Measurement Method	Category											
		Functioning			Functioning-at-Risk				Not Functioning				
Water Quality and Nutrients (Do not complete if stream is ephemeral)	Stream Function Pyramid Level 4 Physicochemical												
	13. Water Appearance and Nutrient Enrichment (USDA 1999)	Very clear, or clear but tea-colored; objects visible at depth 3 to 6 ft (less if slightly colored); no oil sheen on surface; no noticeable film on submerged objects or rocks. Clear water along entire reach; diverse aquatic plant community includes low quantities of many species of macrophytes; little algal growth present			Frequent cloudiness especially after storm events; objects visible to depth 0.5 to 3.0 ft; may have slight green color; no oil sheen on water surface. Fairly clear or slightly greenish water along entire reach; moderate algal growth on stream substrate				Very turbid or muddy appearance most of the time; objects visible at depth < 0.5 ft; slow moving water maybe bright green; other obvious water pollutants; floating algal mats, surface scum, sheen or heavy coat of foam on surface; or strong odor of chemicals, oil, sewage, or other pollutants. Pea-green, gray, or brown water along entire reach; dense stands of macrophytes clogging stream; severe algal blooms creating thick algal				
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
	14. Detritus (Petersen, 1992)	Mainly consisting of leaves and wood without sediment covering it			Leaves and wood scarce; fine organic debris without sediment				Fine organic sediment - black in color and foul odor (anaerobic) or detritus absent				
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
	Stream Function Pyramid Level 4 Physicochemical Overall EXISTING Condition F FAR NF Score:4												
	Stream Function Pyramid Level 4 Physicochemical Overall PROPOSED Condition F FAR NF Score:16												
	Biology (Do not complete if stream is ephemeral)	Stream Function Pyramid Level 5 Biology											
15. Macroinvertebrate		Abundant			Rare				Not present				
Existing Condition		10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
Proposed Condition		10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
16. Macroinvertebrate Tolerance		Abundant intolerant species			Limited intolerant species				Only tolerant species				
Existing Condition		10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
Proposed Condition		10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
17. Fish Presence		Abundant			Rare				Not present				
Existing Condition		10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
Proposed Condition		10	9	8	7	6	5	4	4	2	1		
If existing biology is FAR or NF, provide description of cause(s)		Stream is currently piped.											
Stream Function Pyramid Level 5 Biology Overall EXISTING Condition F FAR NF Score: 3													
Stream Function Pyramid Level 5 Biology Overall PROPOSED Condition F FAR NF Score:24													

Reach ID:	Trib 3	Reach Score/Reach Total Ex. 24/170 Prop.: 136/170			Quality: Ex: 0.14 Prop:0.8
Function-based Rapid Reach Level Stream Assessment					
Assessment Parameter	Measurement Method	Category			
		Functioning	Functioning-at-Risk		Not Functioning
Bankfull Determination and Rosgen Stream Classification					
Rosgen Stream Type (Observation) EX - Stream is currently piped PRO - C					
Regional Curve (circle one): Piedmont Coastal Plain Allegheny Plateau/Ridge and Valley Urban Karst					
DA (sqmi)	0.13				
BF Width (ft)	5.2-6.6	BF Area (sqft)		2.3-3.9	
BF Depth (ft)	0.45-0.58	Percent Impervious (%)		21.3	
Field Measurements					
Parameter		Measurements and Ratios			
Water surface to geomorphic feature elevation difference		Ex- Channel is piped Upstream Reference: 0.57			
Riffle Mean Depth at Bankfull Stage (dbkf)		Ex- Channel is piped Upstream Reference: 0.5	Proposed: 0.36 & 0.48		
Riffle Width at Bankfull Stage (Wbkf)		Ex- Channel is piped Upstream Reference: 5.52	Proposed: 4.6 & 6.2		
Riffle XS Area at Bankfull Stage (Abkf = dbkf*Wbkf)		Ex- Channel is piped Upstream Reference: 2.8	Proposed: 1.65 & 2.97		
Floodprone Area Width (Wfpa) (Wfpa=Width at elevation determined by 2xDmax)		Ex- Channel is piped Upstream Reference: 5.9	Target: 18.4 & 24.8		
Entrenchment Ratio (ER) (ER=Wfpa/Wbkf)		Ex- Channel is piped Upstream Reference: 1.1	Target 4.0		
Low Bank Height (LBH)		Ex- Channel is piped Upstream Reference: 2.7	Proposed: 0.5 & 0.66		
Riffle Maximum Depth at Bankfull Stage (Dmax)		Ex- Channel is piped Upstream Reference: 0.7	Proposed: 0.5 & 0.66		
Bank Height Ratio (BHR) (BHR=LBH/Dmax)		Ex- Channel is piped Upstream Reference: 3.9	Proposed: 1.0		
BEHI/NBS Ratings and Lengths		Ex- Channel is piped	L/L		
Pool to Pool Spacing (P-P)		Ex- Channel is piped	Proposed: Min: 20, Max: 40, Avg.: 30		
Pool to Pool Spacing Ratio (P-P Ratio) (P-P Ratio=P-P/Wbkf)		Ex- Channel is piped	Proposed: Min:4.3, Max:8.3, Avg.:5.4		
Pool Maximum Depth at Bankfull Stage (Dmbkfp)		Ex- Channel is piped	Proposed: 0.9 & 1.2		
Pool Depth Ratio (Dmbkfp Ratio) (Dmbkfp Ratio=Dmbkfp/dbkf)		Ex- Channel is piped	Proposed: 2.5		
Macroinvertebrate Taxa Observed		Ex- Channel is piped	n/a		

EXISTING and PROPOSED REACH LEVEL STREAM FUNCTION-BASED RAPID ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET													
Watershed:		Middle Potomac - Catoctin				Rater(s):		RC/BW					
Stream:		Un -Named Tributary to Cabin Branch				Date:		3/10/2022					
Reach Length:		1033 linear feet				Latitude:		39.177353					
Photo(s):		See Attached				Longitude:		-77.199137					
Reach ID:		Trib 4				Reach Score/Reach Total		Ex. 66/170		Prop.: 136/170		Quality: Ex: 0.39 Prop:0.8	
Function-based Rapid Reach Level Stream Assessment													
Assessment Parameter	Measurement Method	Category											
		Functioning			Functioning-at-Risk				Not Functioning				
Stream Function Pyramid Level 1 Hydrology													
Runoff	1. Concentrated Flow	No potential for concentrated flow/impairments from adjacent land use			Some potential for concentrated flow/impairments to reach restoration site, however, measures are in place to protect resources				Potential for concentrated flow/impairments to reach restoration site and no treatments are in place				
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
	2. Flashiness	Non-flashy flow regime as a result of rainfall patterns, geology, and soils, impervious cover less than 6%			Semi-flashy flow regime as a result of rainfall patterns, geology, and soils, impervious cover 7 - 15%				Flashy flow regime as a result of rainfall patterns, geology, and soils, impervious cover greater than 15%				
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
	Stream Function Pyramid Level 1 Hydrology Overall EXISTING Condition F FAR NF											Score:9	
	Stream Function Pyramid Level 1 Hydrology Overall PROPOSED Condition F FAR NF											Score:11	
Stream Function Pyramid Level 2 Hydraulics													
Floodplain Connectivity (Vertical Stability)	3. Bank Height Ratio (BHR)	<1.20			1.21 - 1.50				>1.50				
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
	4a. Entrenchment (Meandering streams in alluvial valleys or Rosgen C, E, DA Streams)	>2.2			2.1 - 1.4				<1.4				
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
	4b. Entrenchment (Non meandering streams in colluvial valleys or Rosgen B Streams)	>1.4			1.3 - 1.1				<1.1				
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
	5. Floodplain Drainage	no concentrated flow; runoff is primarily sheet flow; hillslopes < 10%; hillslopes >200 ft from stream; ponding or wetland areas and litter or debris jams are well represented			runoff is equally sheet and concentrated flow (minor gully and rill erosion occurring); hillslopes 10 - 40%; hillslopes 50 - 200 ft from stream; ponding or wetland areas and litter or debris jams are minimally represented				concentrated flows present (extensive gully and rill erosion); hillslopes >40%; hillslopes <50 ft from stream; ponding or wetland areas and litter or debris jams are not well represented or absent				
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
	6. Vertical Stability Extent	Stable			Localized Instability				Widespread Instability				
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1			
Stream Function Pyramid Level 2 Hydraulics Overall EXISTING Condition F FAR NF											Score:15		
Stream Function Pyramid Level 2 Hydraulics Overall PROPOSED Condition F FAR NF											Score:34		

Reach ID:	Trib 4	Reach Score/Reach Total Ex. 66/170 Prop.: 136/170							Quality: Ex: 0.39 Prop:0.8		
Function-based Rapid Reach Level Stream Assessment											
Assessment Parameter	Measurement Method	Category									
		Functioning			Functioning-at-Risk				Not Functioning		
Stream Function Pyramid Level 3 Geomorphology											
Riparian Vegetation (Score = Average of Left and Right bank, max score of 10)	7. Riparian Vegetation Zone (EPA, 1999, modified)	Riparian zone extends to a width of >100 feet; good vegetation community diversity and density; human activities do not impact zone; invasive species not present or sparse			Riparian zone extends to a width of 25-100 feet; species composition is dominated by 2 or 3 species; human activities greatly impact zone; invasive species well represented and alter the community				Riparian zone extends to a width of <25 feet; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities; majority of vegetation is invasive		
	Left Bank Existing	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	Left Bank Proposed	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	Right Bank Existing	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	Right Bank Proposed	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	8. Dominant Bank Erosion Rate Potential	Dominate bank erosion rate potential is low or BEHI/NBS Rating: L/VL, L/L, L/M, L/H, L/VH, M/VL			Dominate bank erosion rate potential is moderate or BEHI/NBS Rating: M/L, M/M, M/H, L/Ex, H/L, M/VH, M/Ex, H/L, H/M, VH/VL, Ex/VL				Dominate bank erosion rate potential is high or BEHI/NBS Rating: H/H, H/Ex, VH/H, Ex/M, Ex/H, Ex/VH, VH/VH, Ex/Ex		
	Existing Condition (Right bank)	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	Proposed Condition (Right Bank)	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	Existing Condition (Left bank)	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	Proposed Condition (Left Bank)	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Lateral Stability (Score =Average of Left and right bank, max score of 10)	9. Lateral Stability Extent	Stable			Localized Instability				Widespread Instability		
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Bedform Diversity (Do not complete if stream is ephemeral)	10. Shelter for Fish and Macroinvertebrates (EPA 1999)	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, rubble, gravel, cobble and large rocks, or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient)			20-70% mix of stable habitat; suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of new fall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale)				Less than 20% mix of stable habitat; lack of habitat availability less than desirables obvious; substrate unstable or lacking		
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	11a. Pool-to-Pool Spacing Ratio (Watersheds < 10 mi²)	4.0 - 5.0			3.0 - 4.0 or 5.0 - 7.0				< 3.0 or >7.0		
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	11b. Pool-to-Pool Spacing Ratio (Watersheds > 10 mi²)	5.0 - 7.0			3.5 - 5.0 or 7.0 - 8.0				<3.5 or >8.0		
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	12a. Pool Max Depth Ratio/Depth Variability (Gravel Bed Streams)	>1.5			1.2 - 1.5				<1.2		
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	12b. Pool Max Depth Ratio/Depth Variability (Sand Bed Streams)	>1.2			1.1 - 1.2				<1.1		
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Bedform Diversity (Do not complete if stream is ephemeral)	Moderate Gradient Perennial Streams in Colluvial Valleys										
	11. Pool-to-Pool Spacing Ratio (3-5% Slope)	2.0 - 4.0			4.0 - 6.0				>6.0		
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	12. Pool Max Depth Ratio/Depth Variability	>1.5			1.2 - 1.5				<1.2		
Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
Stream Function Pyramid Level 3 Geomorphology Overall EXISTING Condition F FAR NF Score:22											
Stream Function Pyramid Level 3 Geomorphology Overall PROPOSED Condition F FAR NF Score:51											

Reach ID:	Trib 4	Reach Score/Reach Total Ex. 66/170 Prop.: 136/170										Quality: Ex: 0.39 Prop:0.8		
Function-based Rapid Reach Level Stream Assessment														
Assessment Parameter	Measurement Method	Category												
		Functioning			Functioning-at-Risk				Not Functioning					
Water Quality and Nutrients (Do not complete if stream is ephemeral)	Stream Function Pyramid Level 4 Physicochemical													
	13. Water Appearance and Nutrient Enrichment (USDA 1999)	Very clear, or clear but tea-colored; objects visible at depth 3 to 6 ft (less if slightly colored); no oil sheen on surface; no noticeable film on submerged objects or rocks. Clear water along entire reach; diverse aquatic plant community includes low quantities of many species of macrophytes; little algal growth present			Frequent cloudiness especially after storm events; objects visible to depth 0.5 to 3.0 ft; may have slight green color; no oil sheen on water surface. Fairly clear or slightly greenish water along entire reach; moderate algal growth on stream substrate				Very turbid or muddy appearance most of the time; objects visible at depth < 0.5 ft; slow moving water maybe bright green; other obvious water pollutants; floating algal mats, surface scum, sheen or heavy coat of foam on surface; or strong odor of chemicals, oil, sewage, or other pollutants. Pea-green, gray, or brown water along entire reach; dense stands of macrophytes clogging stream; severe algal blooms creating thick algal					
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1			
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1			
	14. Detritus (Petersen, 1992)	Mainly consisting of leaves and wood without sediment covering it			Leaves and wood scarce; fine organic debris without sediment				Fine organic sediment - black in color and foul odor (anaerobic) or detritus absent					
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1			
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1			
	Stream Function Pyramid Level 4 Physicochemical Overall EXISTING Condition F FAR NF Score:8													
	Stream Function Pyramid Level 4 Physicochemical Overall PROPOSED Condition F FAR NF Score:16													
	Biology (Do not complete if stream is ephemeral)	Stream Function Pyramid Level 5 Biology												
15. Macroinvertebrate		Abundant			Rare				Not present					
Existing Condition		10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1			
Proposed Condition		10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1			
16. Macroinvertebrate Tolerance		Abundant intolerant species			Limited intolerant species				Only tolerant species					
Existing Condition		10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1			
Proposed Condition		10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1			
17. Fish Presence		Abundant			Rare				Not present					
Existing Condition		10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1			
Proposed Condition		10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1			
If existing biology is FAR or NF, provide description of cause(s)														
Stream Function Pyramid Level 5 Biology Overall EXISTING Condition F FAR NF Score: 12														
Stream Function Pyramid Level 5 Biology Overall PROPOSED Condition F FAR NF Score:24														

Reach ID:	Trib 4	Reach Score/Reach Total Ex. 66/170 Prop.: 136/170			Quality: Ex: 0.39 Prop:0.8
Function-based Rapid Reach Level Stream Assessment					
Assessment Parameter	Measurement Method	Category			
		Functioning	Functioning-at-Risk	Not Functioning	
Bankfull Determination and Rosgen Stream Classification					
Rosgen Stream Type (Observation): EX - C/F PRO - C					
Regional Curve (circle one): Piedmont Coastal Plain Allegheny Plateau/Ridge and Valley Urban Karst					
DA (sqmi)	0.13				
BF Width (ft)	5.3-6.7	BF Area (sqft)		2.4-4.0	
BF Depth (ft)	0.46-0.59	Percent Impervious (%)		21.3	
Field Measurements					
Parameter		Measurements and Ratios			
Water surface to geomorphic feature elevation difference		Existing Min:0.39, Max: 0.55, Avg.: 0.48			
Riffle Mean Depth at Bankfull Stage (dbkf)		Existing Min:0.44, Max: 0.55, Avg.: 0.48	Proposed: 0.31, 0.47 & 0.39		
Riffle Width at Bankfull Stage (Wbkf)		Existing Min:2.93, Max: 4.59, Avg.: 3.82	3.8, 5.0 & 6.0		
Riffle XS Area at Bankfull Stage (Abkf = dbkf*Wbkf)		Existing Min:1.53, Max: 2.18, Avg.: 1.83	Proposed: 1.18, 1.95 & 2.82		
Floodprone Area Width (Wfpa) (Wfpa=Width at elevation determined by 2xDmax)		Existing Min:4.44, Max: 7.80, Avg.: 5.56	Target: 8.4, 20 & 24		
Entrenchment Ratio (ER) (ER=Wfpa/Wbkf)		Existing Min:1.10, Max: 1.84, Avg.: 1.47	Target 2.2 / 4.0		
Low Bank Height (LBH)		Existing Min:1.0, Max: 1.95, Avg.: 1.29	Proposed: 0.42, 0.5 & 0.6		
Riffle Maximum Depth at Bankfull Stage (Dmax)		Existing Min:0.56, Max: 0.72, Avg.: 0.63	Proposed: 0.42, 0.5 & 0.6		
Bank Height Ratio (BHR) (BHR=LBH/Dmax)		Existing Min:1.38, Max:2.86, Avg.: 1.98	Proposed: 1.0		
BEHI/NBS Ratings and Lengths		H/M, H/L, M/M, M/L, L/L	L/L		
Pool to Pool Spacing (P-P)		Existing Avg.: 39.4	Proposed: Min: 12, Max: 49, Avg.: 25		
Pool to Pool Spacing Ratio (P-P Ratio) (P-P Ratio=P-P/Wbkf)		Existing Avg.: 10.32	Proposed: Min:2.3, Max:5.1, Avg.:5.0		
Pool Maximum Depth at Bankfull Stage (Dmbkfp)		Existing Avg.: 1.29	Proposed: 0.8, 1.0 & 1.2		
Pool Depth Ratio (Dmbkfp Ratio) (Dmbkfp Ratio=Dmbkfp/dbkf)		Existing Avg.: 2.7	Proposed: 2.5		
Macroinvertebrate Taxa Observed		n/a	n/a		

EXISTING and PROPOSED REACH LEVEL STREAM FUNCTION-BASED RAPID ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET															
Watershed:		Middle Potomac - Catoctin				Rater(s):		RC/BW							
Stream:		Un -Named Tributary to Cabin Branch				Date:		3/10/2022							
Reach Length:		554 linear feet				Latitude:		39.177353							
Photo(s):		See Attached				Longitude:		-77.199137							
Reach ID:		Trib 5		Reach Score/Reach Total				Ex. 24/170		Prop.: 136/170		Quality: Ex: 0.14 Prop:0.8			
Function-based Rapid Reach Level Stream Assessment															
Assessment Parameter	Measurement Method	Category													
		Functioning			Functioning-at-Risk				Not Functioning						
Stream Function Pyramid Level 1 Hydrology															
Runoff	1. Concentrated Flow	No potential for concentrated flow/impairments from adjacent land use			Some potential for concentrated flow/impairments to reach restoration site, however, measures are in place to protect resources				Potential for concentrated flow/impairments to reach restoration site and no treatments are in place						
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1				
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1				
	2. Flashiness	Non-flashy flow regime as a result of rainfall patterns, geology, and soils, impervious cover less than 6%			Semi-flashy flow regime as a result of rainfall patterns, geology, and soils, impervious cover 7 - 15%				Flashy flow regime as a result of rainfall patterns, geology, and soils, impervious cover greater than 15%						
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1				
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1				
	Stream Function Pyramid Level 1 Hydrology Overall EXISTING Condition											F	FAR	NF	Score:5
	Stream Function Pyramid Level 1 Hydrology Overall PROPOSED Condition											F	FAR	NF	Score:11
Stream Function Pyramid Level 2 Hydraulics															
Floodplain Connectivity (Vertical Stability)	3. Bank Height Ratio (BHR)	<1.20			1.21 - 1.50				>1.50						
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1				
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1				
	4a. Entrenchment (Meandering streams in alluvial valleys or Rosgen C, E, DA Streams)	>2.2			2.1 - 1.4				<1.4						
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1				
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1				
	4b. Entrenchment (Non meandering streams in colluvial valleys or Rosgen B Streams)	>1.4			1.3 - 1.1				<1.1						
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1				
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1				
	5. Floodplain Drainage	no concentrated flow; runoff is primarily sheet flow; hillslopes < 10%; hillslopes >200 ft from stream; ponding or wetland areas and litter or debris jams are well represented			runoff is equally sheet and concentrated flow (minor gully and rill erosion occurring); hillslopes 10 - 40%; hillslopes 50 - 200 ft from stream; ponding or wetland areas and litter or debris jams are minimally represented				concentrated flows present (extensive gully and rill erosion); hillslopes >40%; hillslopes <50 ft from stream; ponding or wetland areas and litter or debris jams are not well represented or absent						
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1				
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1				
	6. Vertical Stability Extent	Stable			Localized Instability				Widespread Instability						
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1				
Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1					
Stream Function Pyramid Level 2 Hydraulics Overall EXISTING Condition											F	FAR	NF	Score:4	
Stream Function Pyramid Level 2 Hydraulics Overall PROPOSED Condition											F	FAR	NF	Score:26	

Reach ID:	Trib 5	Reach Score/Reach Total										Ex. 24/170 Prop.: 136/170										Quality: Ex: 0.14 Prop:0.8									
Function-based Rapid Reach Level Stream Assessment																															
Assessment Parameter	Measurement Method	Category																													
		Functioning								Functioning-at-Risk								Not Functioning													
Stream Function Pyramid Level 3 Geomorphology																															
Riparian Vegetation (Score = Average of Left and Right bank, max score of 10)	7. Riparian Vegetation Zone (EPA, 1999, modified)	Riparian zone extends to a width of >100 feet; good vegetation community diversity and density; human activities do not impact zone; invasive species not present or sparse								Riparian zone extends to a width of 25-100 feet; species composition is dominated by 2 or 3 species; human activities greatly impact zone; invasive species well represented and alter the community								Riparian zone extends to a width of <25 feet; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities; majority of vegetation is invasive													
	Left Bank Existing	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	Left Bank Proposed	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	Right Bank Existing	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	Right Bank Proposed	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	Lateral Stability (Score =Average of Left and right bank, max score of 10)	8. Dominant Bank Erosion Rate Potential	Dominate bank erosion rate potential is low or BEHI/NBS Rating: L/VL, L/L, L/M, L/H, L/VH, M/VL								Dominate bank erosion rate potential is moderate or BEHI/NBS Rating: M/L, M/M, M/H, L/Ex, H/L, M/VH, M/Ex, H/L, H/M, VH/VL, Ex/VL								Dominate bank erosion rate potential is high or BEHI/NBS Rating: H/H, H/Ex, VH/H, Ex/M, Ex/H, Ex/VH, VH/VH, Ex/Ex												
		Existing Condition (Right bank)	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																			
		Proposed Condition (Right Bank)	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																			
		Existing Condition (Left bank)	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																			
		Proposed Condition (Left Bank)	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																			
9. Lateral Stability Extent		Stable								Localized Instability								Widespread Instability													
Existing Condition		10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
Proposed Condition		10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
Bedform Diversity (Do not complete if stream is ephemeral)	10. Shelter for Fish and Macroinvertebrates (EPA 1999)	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, rubble, gravel, cobble and large rocks, or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient)								20-70% mix of stable habitat; suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of new fall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale)								Less than 20% mix of stable habitat; lack of habitat availability less than desirables obvious; substrate unstable or lacking													
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	11a. Pool-to-Pool Spacing Ratio (Watersheds < 10 mi²)	4.0 - 5.0								3.0 - 4.0 or 5.0 - 7.0								< 3.0 or >7.0													
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	11b. Pool-to-Pool Spacing Ratio (Watersheds > 10 mi²)	5.0 - 7.0								3.5 - 5.0 or 7.0 - 8.0								<3.5 or >8.0													
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	12a. Pool Max Depth Ratio/Depth Variability (Gravel Bed Streams)	>1.5								1.2 - 1.5								<1.2													
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	12b. Pool Max Depth Ratio/Depth Variability (Sand Bed Streams)	>1.2								1.1 - 1.2								<1.1													
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
Bedform Diversity (Do not complete if stream is ephemeral)	Moderate Gradient Perennial Streams in Colluvial Valleys																														
	11. Pool-to-Pool Spacing Ratio (3-5% Slope)	2.0 - 4.0								4.0 - 6.0								>6.0													
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
	12. Pool Max Depth Ratio/Depth Variability	>1.5								1.2 - 1.5								<1.2													
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																				
Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1																					
Stream Function Pyramid Level 3 Geomorphology Overall EXISTING Condition F FAR NF Score:8																															
Stream Function Pyramid Level 3 Geomorphology Overall PROPOSED Condition F FAR NF Score:51																															

Reach ID:	Trib 5	Reach Score/Reach Total Ex. 24/170 Prop.: 136/170										Quality: Ex: 0.14 Prop:0.8	
Function-based Rapid Reach Level Stream Assessment													
Assessment Parameter	Measurement Method	Category											
		Functioning			Functioning-at-Risk				Not Functioning				
Water Quality and Nutrients (Do not complete if stream is ephemeral)	Stream Function Pyramid Level 4 Physicochemical												
	13. Water Appearance and Nutrient Enrichment (USDA 1999)	Very clear, or clear but tea-colored; objects visible at depth 3 to 6 ft (less if slightly colored); no oil sheen on surface; no noticeable film on submerged objects or rocks. Clear water along entire reach; diverse aquatic plant community includes low quantities of many species of macrophytes; little algal growth present			Frequent cloudiness especially after storm events; objects visible to depth 0.5 to 3.0 ft; may have slight green color; no oil sheen on water surface. Fairly clear or slightly greenish water along entire reach; moderate algal growth on stream substrate				Very turbid or muddy appearance most of the time; objects visible at depth < 0.5 ft; slow moving water maybe bright green; other obvious water pollutants; floating algal mats, surface scum, sheen or heavy coat of foam on surface; or strong odor of chemicals, oil, sewage, or other pollutants. Pea-green, gray, or brown water along entire reach; dense stands of macrophytes clogging stream; severe algal blooms creating thick algal				
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
	14. Detritus (Petersen, 1992)	Mainly consisting of leaves and wood without sediment covering it			Leaves and wood scarce; fine organic debris without sediment				Fine organic sediment - black in color and foul odor (anaerobic) or detritus absent				
	Existing Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
	Proposed Condition	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
	Stream Function Pyramid Level 4 Physicochemical Overall EXISTING Condition F FAR NF Score:4												
	Stream Function Pyramid Level 4 Physicochemical Overall PROPOSED Condition F FAR NF Score:16												
	Biology (Do not complete if stream is ephemeral)	Stream Function Pyramid Level 5 Biology											
15. Macroinvertebrate		Abundant			Rare				Not present				
Existing Condition		10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
Proposed Condition		10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
16. Macroinvertebrate Tolerance		Abundant intolerant species			Limited intolerant species				Only tolerant species				
Existing Condition		10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
Proposed Condition		10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
17. Fish Presence		Abundant			Rare				Not present				
Existing Condition		10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
Proposed Condition		10	9	8	7	6	5	4	4	2	1		
If existing biology is FAR or NF, provide description of cause(s)		Stream is currently a concrete channel.											
Stream Function Pyramid Level 5 Biology Overall EXISTING Condition F FAR NF Score: 3													
Stream Function Pyramid Level 5 Biology Overall PROPOSED Condition F FAR NF Score:24													

Reach ID:	Trib 5	Reach Score/Reach Total Ex. 24/170 Prop.: 136/170			Quality: Ex: 0.14 Prop:0.8
Function-based Rapid Reach Level Stream Assessment					
Assessment Parameter	Measurement Method	Category			
		Functioning	Functioning-at-Risk	Not Functioning	
Bankfull Determination and Rosgen Stream Classification					
Rosgen Stream Type (Observation) EX - Stream is currently concrete channel PRO - C					
Regional Curve (circle one): Piedmont Coastal Plain Allegheny Plateau/Ridge and Valley Urban Karst					
DA (sqmi)	0.06				
BF Width (ft)	3.6-4.9	BF Area (sqft)		1.3-2.2	
BF Depth (ft)	0.35-0.45	Percent Impervious (%)		21.3	
Field Measurements					
Parameter		Measurements and Ratios			
Water surface to geomorphic feature elevation difference		Ex- Channel is in concrete channel			
Riffle Mean Depth at Bankfull Stage (dbkf)		Ex- Channel is in concrete channel	Proposed: 0.36		
Riffle Width at Bankfull Stage (Wbkf)		Ex- Channel is in concrete channel	Proposed: 4.6		
Riffle XS Area at Bankfull Stage (Abkf = dbkf*Wbkf)		Ex- Channel is in concrete channel	Proposed: 1.65		
Floodprone Area Width (Wfpa) (Wfpa=Width at elevation determined by 2xDmax)		Ex- Channel is in concrete channel	Target: 18.4		
Entrenchment Ratio (ER) (ER=Wfpa/Wbkf)		Ex- Channel is in concrete channel	Target 4.0		
Low Bank Height (LBH)		Ex- Channel is in concrete channel	Proposed: 0.5		
Riffle Maximum Depth at Bankfull Stage (Dmax)		Ex- Channel is in concrete channel	Proposed: 0.5		
Bank Height Ratio (BHR) (BHR=LBH/Dmax)		Ex- Channel is in concrete channel	Proposed: 1.0		
BEHI/NBS Ratings and Lengths		Ex- Channel is in concrete channel	L/L		
Pool to Pool Spacing (P-P)		Ex- Channel is in concrete channel	Proposed: Min: 21, Max: 30, Avg.: 26		
Pool to Pool Spacing Ratio (P-P Ratio) (P-P Ratio=P-P/Wbkf)		Ex- Channel is in concrete channel	Proposed: Min:4.6, Max:6.5, Avg.:5.7		
Pool Maximum Depth at Bankfull Stage (Dmbkfp)		Ex- Channel is in concrete channel	Proposed: 0.9		
Pool Depth Ratio (Dmbkfp Ratio) (Dmbkfp Ratio=Dmbkfp/dbkf)		Ex- Channel is in concrete channel	Proposed: 2.5		
Macroinvertebrate Taxa Observed		Ex- Channel is in concrete channel	n/a		

Cabin Branch



Cabin Branch



Tributary 1, 2, and 3 (general riparian conditions)



Tributary 4



Tributary 5





Appendix D: Maryland Stream Mitigation Framework (MSMF) Stream Mitigation Calculator

DRAFT

STREAM MITIGATION CALCULATOR

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Corps Project ID #:		Corps PM:	
Project Name:	RFP-2 Cabin Branch	Date:	3/10/2022
Lat/Long:	N 39D 10' 43", W 77D 12' 08"	Sponsor:	MDOT SHA
County:	Montgomery	Collaborators:	HGS, LLC/MLA

Total Stream Gains (Functional Feet)

5583

Raw Change in Reach Value (Functional Feet)											Stream Mitigation Adjustments					Stream Gains (Functional Feet)	REMARKS
Reach Name	Physiographic Region	Evaluation	Activity	Resource Type	Length (Feet)	Stream Quality	Channel Thread	Drainage Area (sqmi)	Raw Reach Value (Functional Feet)	Raw Change in Value (Functional Feet)	Site Sensitivity	Site Protection	Buffer Adjustment				
Cabin Branch	Piedmont	Existing	Preliminary Resource Evaluation	Perennial Wadeable	4670	<div><div></div></div> 35%	Primary	4.33	2895	3653	1	Easement	Evaluation	Buffer Area (Acres)	Buffer Quality	4236	
							1	1.77			0.1	0.03	Existing Buffer	6.08	<div><div></div></div> 63%		
	Piedmont	Proposed	Restoration/Enhancement	Perennial Wadeable	4680	<div><div></div></div> 79%	Primary	4.33	6548		374	123	Proposed Buffer	6.08	<div><div></div></div> 94%		
							1	1.77					Functional Feet		86		
Tributary 1	Piedmont	Existing	Preliminary Resource Evaluation	Intermittent	0	<div><div></div></div> 14%	Primary	0.15	0	190	1	Easement	Evaluation	Buffer Area (Acres)	Buffer Quality	225	
							1	0.48			0.1	0.03	Existing Buffer	0.58	<div><div></div></div> 60%		
	Piedmont	Proposed	Restoration/Enhancement	Intermittent	497.5	<div><div></div></div> 80%	Primary	0.15	190		20	Z	Proposed Buffer	0.58	<div><div></div></div> 94%		
							1	0.48					Functional Feet		9		
Tributary 2	Piedmont	Existing	Preliminary Resource Evaluation	Intermittent	0	<div><div></div></div> 14%	Primary	0.02	0	154	1	Easement	Evaluation	Buffer Area (Acres)	Buffer Quality	184	
							1	0.41			0.1	0.03	Existing Buffer	0.54	<div><div></div></div> 60%		
	Piedmont	Proposed	Restoration/Enhancement	Intermittent	471	<div><div></div></div> 80%	Primary	0.02	154		16	5	Proposed Buffer	0.54	<div><div></div></div> 94%		
							1	0.41					Functional Feet		8		
Tributary 3	Piedmont	Existing	Preliminary Resource Evaluation	Intermittent	0	<div><div></div></div> 14%	Primary	0.08	0	237	1	Easement	Evaluation	Buffer Area (Acres)	Buffer Quality	283	
							1	0.41			0.1	0.03	Existing Buffer	0.83	<div><div></div></div> 60%		
	Piedmont	Proposed	Restoration/Enhancement	Intermittent	723.6	<div><div></div></div> 80%	Primary	0.08	237		25	8	Proposed Buffer	0.83	<div><div></div></div> 94%		
							1	0.41					Functional Feet		13		
Tributary 4	Piedmont	Existing	Preliminary Resource Evaluation	Intermittent	713	<div><div></div></div> 39%	Primary	0.13	125	168	1	Easement	Evaluation	Buffer Area (Acres)	Buffer Quality	206	
							1	0.45			0.1	0.03	Existing Buffer	0.93	<div><div></div></div> 60%		
	Piedmont	Proposed	Restoration/Enhancement	Intermittent	812.6	<div><div></div></div> 80%	Primary	0.13	293		18	6	Proposed Buffer	0.93	<div><div></div></div> 94%		
							1	0.45					Functional Feet		14		
Tributary 5	Piedmont	Existing	Preliminary Resource Evaluation	Intermittent	0	<div><div></div></div> 14%	Primary	0.06	0	13	1	Easement	Evaluation	Buffer Area (Acres)	Buffer Quality	15	
							1	0.41			0.1	0.03	Existing Buffer	0.04	<div><div></div></div> 60%		
	Piedmont	Proposed	Restoration/Enhancement	Intermittent	38.6	<div><div></div></div> 80%	Primary	0.06	13		1	0	Proposed Buffer	0.04	<div><div></div></div> 94%		
							1	0.41					Functional Feet		1		
Cabin Branch (PEPCO)	Piedmont	Existing	Preliminary Resource Evaluation	Perennial Wadeable	252	<div><div></div></div> 35%	Primary	4.3	156	235	1	Improved Protection	Evaluation	Buffer Area (Acres)	Buffer Quality	256	
							1	1.77			0.1	-0.03	Existing Buffer	0.33	<div><div></div></div> 63%		
	Piedmont	Proposed	Restoration/Enhancement	Perennial Wadeable	280	<div><div></div></div> 79%	Primary	4.3	391		24	-8	Proposed Buffer	0.33	<div><div></div></div> 94%		
							1	1.77					Functional Feet		5		
Trib 4 (PEPCO)	Piedmont	Existing	Preliminary Resource Evaluation	Intermittent	122	<div><div></div></div> 39%	Primary	0.13	21	23	1	Improved Protection	Evaluation	Buffer Area (Acres)	Buffer Quality	27	
							1	0.45			0.1	-0.03	Existing Buffer	0.16	<div><div></div></div> 60%		
	Piedmont	Proposed	Restoration/Enhancement	Intermittent	123	<div><div></div></div> 80%	Primary	0.13	44		3	-1	Proposed Buffer	0.16	<div><div></div></div> 94%		
							1	0.45					Functional Feet		2		
Trib 5 (PEPCO)	Piedmont	Existing	Preliminary Resource Evaluation	Intermittent	0	<div><div></div></div> 14%	Primary	0.06	0	133	1	Improved Protection	Evaluation	Buffer Area (Acres)	Buffer Quality	150	
							1	0.41			0.1	-0.03	Existing Buffer	0.46	<div><div></div></div> 60%		
	Piedmont	Proposed	Restoration/Enhancement	Intermittent	407	<div><div></div></div> 80%	Primary	0.06	133		14	-5	Proposed Buffer	0.46	<div><div></div></div> 94%		
							1	0.41					Functional Feet		Z		
	Not Selected	Existing	Preliminary Resource Evaluation	NA	0	<div><div></div></div> 0%	NA	0	0	0	0	Select From List	Evaluation	Buffer Area (Acres)	Buffer Quality	NA	
							0	FALSE			0	0	Existing Buffer				
	Not Selected	Proposed	Restoration/Enhancement	NA	0	<div><div></div></div> 0%	NA	0	0		0	NA	Proposed Buffer				

Maryland Stream Mitigation Framework Version 1: Stream Buffer Quality Assessment

Project Name:	RFP-2 Cabin Branch	SBAA (Acres):	6.08
CSBA Name:	Cabin Branch	Infrastructure Area (Acres):	0
Assessor(s):	RC	Wetland Area (Acres):	0
Date:	3/10/2022	Area Credited By Other Prog:	0
Latitude(dec. deg.):	39.177353	CSBA (Acres):	6.08
Longitude (dec. deg.):	-77.199137	Existing Buffer Quality (%)*:	62.9
Corps Permit Number:		Proposed Buffer Quality (%)*:	94.3

General Notes: Cabin Branch riparian conditions are similar throughout the project site so were scored with a composite score for the entire reach.

*General Instructions: Identify your **Stream Buffer Assessment Area (SBAA)**. The Stream Buffer Assessment Area is the area where the Stream Buffer Quality Assessment Metrics 1 and 2 will occur. The SBAA includes the project area (future conservation easement area) for a given stream reach and any inholdings (Easements/infrastructure, credited wetlands, etc between the SBAA boundary and the stream). The SBAA may not exceed 200 feet from the baseflow channel edge. To determine the **Credited Stream Buffer Area (CSBA)**, subtract the Infrastructure Area and Area Credited by other Programs (TMDL, Wetland Credits, Forest Conservation, etc.) from the SBAA. A CSBA should be selected where vegetation or topography changes significantly. Please use the Wetland Delineation Forms applying the appropriate regional supplement to determine the extent of wetlands in the SBAA and to collect vegetation data. In the metrics below, circle the most applicable metric for your assessed area. Please use the comments box below each metric for any discussion items. Mapping is required showing landscape and project context for the SBAA and CSBA. More information can be found in the **MSMF Version 1: Stream Buffer Assessment Detailed Instructions**. Highlighted cells above are MSMF V.1. Mitigation Calculator input values.*

Metrics Applied to Stream Buffer Assessment Area (SBAA)

Metric 1: % SBAA as wetlands					
Ranges	50%+	30-49%	15-30%	5-15%	0%
Existing	4	3	2	1	0
Proposed	4	3	2	1	0

Notes: Only POW within the existing buffer. Areas will be converted to PFO to increase wetlands within the buffer area.

Metric 2: % of SBAA as Utilities/Infrastructure					
Ranges	0	1-5%	5-10%	10-15%	>15%
Existing	4	3	2	1	0
Proposed	4	3	2	1	0

Notes: All utilities have been removed from the credit calculations and buffer scores.

Metrics Applied to Credited Stream Buffer Area (CSBA)

Metric 3: Plant Species Richness in CSBA (MDWAM 2022)					
Ranges	11+	9-10	6-8	2-5	2 or less

Existing	4	3	2	1	0
Proposed	4	3	2	1	0
Notes: Historic golf course with limited existing plant species.					
Metric 4: % Canopy Cover in the CSBA₃ (VA Unified 2008)					
Ranges	>60%	30-60%	10%-29%	1-9%	0%
Existing	4	3	2	1	0
Proposed	4	3	2	1	0
Notes: Very limited existing trees on the site and are situated primarily along Cabin Branch and randomly throughout the historic fairways.					
Metric 5: # of Strata in CSBA₁ (MDWAM 2022)					
Ranges	4+	3	2	1	0
Existing	4	3	2	1	0
Proposed	4	3	2	1	0
Notes:					
Metric 6: Total Cover of herbaceous, emergent, and submergent plants in CSBA₁ (MDWAM 2022)					
Ranges	>75%	51-74%	26-50%	<25%	NA
Existing	4	3	2	1	0
Proposed	4	3	2	1	0
Notes: Since the golf course has been out of operation for several years there is very little bare areas outside of the eroding stream banks.					
Metric 7: Invasive Plant Species (Total Relative % Cover) in CSBA₁ (MDWAM 2022)					
Ranges	<1%	1-10%	11-25%	26-50%	51-100%
Existing	4	3	2	1	0
Proposed	4	3	2	1	0
Notes: Typical invasive species found throughout the region. Due to the large watershed of Cabin Branch it will be very difficult to maintain invasive species cover below 1%.					
Metric 8: Mircotopgraphy and Woody Debris in CSBA_{1,2} (MDWAM 2022 & MDE 2021)					
Description	Woody debris and topographic deviations widespread, covering >15% of the CSBA. Multiple types of woody debris (Snags, downed wood, etc)	Woody debris and topographic deviations common, covering 10-15% of CSBA. Woody debris may lack diversity.	Occasional woody debris and topographic deviations present (Covering 5-9% CSBA) and/or woody debris lacking diversity.	Woody debris and topographic deviations very limited (<5% CSBA coverage) and/or Either woody debris or topographic deviations absent or scarce.	Woody debris and deviations in topography very scarce or not present
Existing	4	3	2	1	0
Proposed	4	3	2	1	0
Notes: Limited diversity in the riparian condition due to the historic land use as a golf course and the area being maintained over those years.					
Metric 9: Height Above Nearest Drainage in CSBA₄ (Nobre et al. 2011)					
Ranges	0-2 ft	2.1-3 ft	3.1-4 ft	4.1-6 ft	>6 ft
Existing	4	3	2	1	0
Proposed	4	3	2	1	0
Notes:					

Maryland Stream Mitigation Framework Version 1: Stream Buffer Quality Assessment

Project Name:	RFP-2 Cabin Branch	SBAA (Acres):	2.8
CSBA Name:	Tributaries	Infrastructure Area (Acres):	0
Assessor(s):	RC	Wetland Area (Acres):	0
Date:	3/10/2022	Area Credited By Other Prog:	0
Latitude(dec. deg.):	39.177353	CSBA (Acres):	2.8
Longitude (dec. deg.):	-77.199137	Existing Buffer Quality (%)*:	60
Corps Permit Number:		Proposed Buffer Quality (%)*:	94.3

General Notes: Tributaries are primarily piped so scored the surrounding buffer in the vicinity of the piped channel.

*General Instructions: Identify your **Stream Buffer Assessment Area (SBAA)**. The Stream Buffer Assessment Area is the area where the Stream Buffer Quality Assessment Metrics 1 and 2 will occur. The SBAA includes the project area (future conservation easement area) for a given stream reach and any inholdings (Easements/infrastructure, credited wetlands, etc between the SBAA boundary and the stream). The SBAA may not exceed 200 feet from the baseflow channel edge. To determine the **Credited Stream Buffer Area (CSBA)**, subtract the Infrastructure Area and Area Credited by other Programs (TMDL, Wetland Credits, Forest Conservation, etc.) from the SBAA. A CSBA should be selected where vegetation or topography changes significantly. Please use the Wetland Delineation Forms applying the appropriate regional supplement to determine the extent of wetlands in the SBAA and to collect vegetation data. In the metrics below, circle the most applicable metric for your assessed area. Please use the comments box below each metric for any discussion items. Mapping is required showing landscape and project context for the SBAA and CSBA. More information can be found in the **MSMF Version 1: Stream Buffer Assessment Detailed Instructions**. Highlighted cells above are MSMF V.1. Mitigation Calculator input values.*

Metrics Applied to Stream Buffer Assessment Area (SBAA)

Metric 1: % SBAA as wetlands					
Ranges	50%+	30-49%	15-30%	5-15%	0%
Existing	4	3	2	1	0
Proposed	4	3	2	1	0

Notes:

Metric 2: % of SBAA as Utilities/Infrastructure					
Ranges	0	1-5%	5-10%	10-15%	>15%
Existing	4	3	2	1	0
Proposed	4	3	2	1	0

Notes:

Metrics Applied to Credited Stream Buffer Area (CSBA)

Metric 3: Plant Species Richness in CSBA (MDWAM 2022)					
Ranges	11+	9-10	6-8	2-5	2 or less

Existing	4	3	2	1	0
Proposed	4	3	2	1	0
Notes:					
Metric 4: % Canopy Cover in the CSBA₃ (VA Unified 2008)					
Ranges	>60%	30-60%	10%-29%	1-9%	0%
Existing	4	3	2	1	0
Proposed	4	3	2	1	0
Notes:					
Metric 5: # of Strata in CSBA₁ (MDWAM 2022)					
Ranges	4+	3	2	1	0
Existing	4	3	2	1	0
Proposed	4	3	2	1	0
Notes:					
Metric 6: Total Cover of herbaceous, emergent, and submergent plants in CSBA₁ (MDWAM 2022)					
Ranges	>75%	51-74%	26-50%	<25%	NA
Existing	4	3	2	1	0
Proposed	4	3	2	1	0
Notes:					
Metric 7: Invasive Plant Species (Total Relative % Cover) in CSBA₁ (MDWAM 2022)					
Ranges	<1%	1-10%	11-25%	26-50%	51-100%
Existing	4	3	2	1	0
Proposed	4	3	2	1	0
Notes:					
Metric 8: Mircotopgraphy and Woody Debris in CSBA_{1,2} (MDWAM 2022 & MDE 2021)					
Description	Woody debris and topographic deviations widespread, covering >15% of the CSBA. Multiple types of woody debris (Snags, downed wood, etc)	Woody debris and topographic deviations common, covering 10-15% of CSBA. Woody debris may lack diversity.	Occasional woody debris and topographic deviations present (Covering 5-9% CSBA) and/or woody debris lacking diversity.	Woody debris and topographic deviations very limited (<5% CSBA coverage) and/or Either woody debris or topographic deviations absent or scarce.	Woody debris and deviations in topography very scarce or not present
Existing	4	3	2	1	0
Proposed	4	3	2	1	0
Notes:					
Metric 9: Height Above Nearest Drainage in CSBA₄ (Nobre et al. 2011)					
Ranges	0-2 ft	2.1-3 ft	3.1-4 ft	4.1-6 ft	>6 ft
Existing	4	3	2	1	0
Proposed	4	3	2	1	0
Notes:					



Appendix E: Cabin Branch Land Use Vicinity Map

DRAFT

05001,0001,500

FEET

1 INCH = 500 FEET

LEGEND

LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE

LAND COVER TYPE

DECIDUOUS FOREST

DEVELOPED, LOW INTENSITY

DEVELOPED, OPEN SPACE

OPEN WATER

SHRUB/SCRUB



NOTES:
1. LAND USE DATA OBTAINED FROM USGS NLCD.

Corporate | 6575 West Loop South, Suite 300, Bellaire, TX 77401
P: 713.520.5400
www.res.us

PROJECT: CABIN BRANCH

LAND USE VICINITY MAP

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

PROJECT MANAGER:	RC
DRAWN:	TB
JOB NUMBER:	102054
DATE:	01/29/2021
REVISIONS:	NONE